



U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinguency Prevention







## **Restorative Justice** For Victims, Offenders, and Communities

Mark Umbreit, Ph.D Center for Restorative Justice & Peacemaking University of Minnesota School of Social Work

10/15/09

Key Questions: *Retributive Justice* 





- What laws were broken?
- Who did it?
- What punishment do they deserve?

Dr. Howard Zehr Eastern Mennonite University

#### Key Questions: *Restorative Justice*





- Who has been hurt by this event?
- What are their needs?
- Whose obligations are they?

Dr. Howard Zehr Eastern Mennonite University Key Characteristics: Restorative Justice





- Victim-centered
- Offender-focused
- Community-driven
- Government as safeguard

Dr. Marlene Young National Organization for Victim Assistance

### Current Justice System: Holding Offenders Accountable





- Victim & Offender in passive roles
- Focus on deficits
- Debt is abstract
- Little, if any, victim restitution





- Victim and offender in active roles
- Focus on strengths
- Debt is concrete
- Victim restitution/restoration is norm

## Key Elements of Restorative Justice

(Howard Zehr 12/98)



# Harm-focused

- Victim-centered responses
- Offender accountably addresses the harm

## Key Elements of Restorative Justice

(Howard Zehr 12/98)





## • Engagement

 Stakeholders involved in the process: victims, community, offender

-Dialogue, exchange of information, mutual agreement encouraged

## RJ Dialogue Processes Prototypes





- <u>Victim Offender Mediation</u> (VOM)
  - Victim and offender
  - Multi-party
- <u>Restorative Group Conferencing</u> (RGC)
  - Family Group Conferencing (FGC)
  - Community Conferencing (CC)
- <u>Circles</u>
  - Peacemaking circles
  - Talking circles

### Evidence-based Best Practices Restorative Justice Dialogue



- A Meta-Analysis conducted by the Canadian Department of Justice, 2001
- Examined 27 victim offender mediation program evaluations
- Examined 8 family group conferencing program evaluations
- 26 youth studies, 9 adult studies



- Victim Satisfaction
- Offender Satisfaction
- Restitution Compliance
- Recidivism







 Higher victim satisfaction ratings when compared to a comparison group

• Total of 13 studies, 9 VOM, 4 FGC

### **Offender Satisfaction**





 Moderate to weak positive impact on offender satisfaction in all but one of the 13 studies when compared to non-restorative approaches

• Total of 13 studies, 7 VOM, 6 FGC







 Substantially higher compliance rates than offenders exposed to other arrangements

Total of 8 studies

### Recidivism





 Restorative justice dialogue or conferencing, on average, yield reductions in recidivism when compared to non-restorative approaches

• Total of 32 studies, 24 VOM, 8 FGC

Participation in Victim Offender Mediation and the Prevalence and Severity of Subsequent Delinquent Behavior: A Meta-Analysis

- Total sample of 9,307 juvenile offenders
- Sample came from 19 program sites (15 prior studies)
- The meta-analysis suggests a 26% reduction in recidivism
- For those who recidivated, their future delinquent behavior decreased in severity (statistically significant)
- The effect size is 2-3 times greater than 2 prior meta-analyses of juvenile recidivism in nonrestorative programs
- William Nugent, Mona Williams, Mark Umbreit (University of Tennessee and University of Minnesota, Utah Law Review, December 2003)