Grant:	
Grant Program:	
Funding Agency:	

STOP VAWA Violence Against Women Act Office on Violence Against Women

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant

enhances the capacity of local communities to

and prosecution strategies to combat violent

strengthen victim services in cases involving

enforcement, 25 percent for prosecutors, 30

percent for victim services (of which at least 10

percent must be distributed to culturally specific

discretionary distribution. Twenty percent of the

overall award is allocated for "meaningful" sexual

community-based organizations), 5 percent to

violent crimes against women. Each state and

crimes against women and to develop and

territory must allocate 25 percent for law

state and local courts, and 15percent for

assault response and

Program, awarded to states and territories,

SASP Sexual Assault Services Program Office on Violence Against Women

VOCA Victims of Crime Act Office for Victims of Crime/Office of Justice Programs

Sexual Assault Services Formula Grant Program is The Crime Victims Fund (the Fund) was established by the first federal funding stream solely dedicated to the provision of direct intervention and related develop and strengthen effective law enforcement Formula Grant Program directs grant dollars to states and territories to assist them in supporting rape crisis centers and other nonprofit, nongovernmental organizations or tribal programs that provide services, direct intervention, and related assistance to victims of sexual assault. Funds provided through SASP are designed to supplement other funding sources directed at addressing sexual assault on the state and territorial level.

the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984. The Fund is financed by fines and penalties paid by convicted federal assistance for victims of sexual assault. The SASP offenders, not from tax dollars. As of September 2013, the Fund balance had reached almost \$9 billion and includes deposits from federal criminal fines, forfeited bail bonds, penalties, and special assessments collected by U.S. Attornevs' Offices, federal U.S. courts, and the Federal Bureau of Prisons. Federal revenues deposited into the Fund also come from gifts, donations, and bequests by private parties, as provided by an amendment to VOCA through the USA PATRIOT Act in 2001 that went into effect court ordered sanctions such as in 2002. From 2002 – 2013, over \$300 thousand dollars have been deposited into the Fund through this provision.

> When the Fund was authorized in 1984, a cap was placed on how much could be deposited into it for the first 8 years. During this time, the annual cap varied from \$100 million to \$150 million. The lifting of the cap in 1993 allowed for the deposit of all criminal fines, special assessments, and forfeited bail bonds to support crime victim program activities.

For the first 15 years of the Fund's existence, the total deposits for each fiscal year were distributed the following year to support services to crime victims.

Starting in 2000, in response to large fluctuations in deposits. Congress placed a cap on funds available for distribution. These annual caps were intended to maintain the Fund as a stable source of support for future victim services. From 2000 to 2012, the amount of the annual cap varied from \$500 million to \$705 million. In FY 2013, the cap was set at \$730 million.

Organizations, called "subrecipients", use the VOCA victim assistance funds to provide direct service - such as crisis intervention, emergency shelter, transportation, crisis intervention, counselingand criminal justice advocacy - to crime victims free of charge. Victim advocates in these programs inform victims about the eligibility requirements of compensation and assist victims with the required paperwork.

MDVI Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Intervention State Special Revenue

The purpose of this program is to promote victim safety and offender accountability through a variety of case management and compliance monitoring methods. These methods include but are not limited to: probation personnel, electronic or GPS monitoring, and case management systems that track recidivism and revocation. Recidivism and risk of serious injury or death to victims can be reduced when offenders are held accountable by the criminal justice system and are required to comply with payment of fines and restitution, batterer counseling/treatment, Orders of Protection, and drug and alcohol screening. Communities should demonstrate a collaborative approach in program development between courts, law enforcement, prosecution, and victim assistance programs. Involvement by participating agencies should be documented and include specific contributions to be made.

Purpose:

Grant: Grant Program: Funding Agency:	<b>STOP VAWA</b> Violence Against Women Act Office on Violence Against Women	<b>SASP</b> Sexual Assault Services Program Office on Violence Against Women	VOCA Victims of Crime Act Office for Victims of Crime/Office of Justice Programs	MDVI Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Intervention State Special Revenue	
Funding over last five	years:				
2011	\$903,568	\$154,150	\$1,768,028	n/a	
2012	\$898,052	\$234,297	\$1,614,757	\$100,000	
2013	\$878,501	\$239,964	\$1,758,418	\$125,000	
2014	\$943,633	\$273,962	\$1,859,354	\$110,000	
2015	\$933,135	\$303,043	\$6,625,856	\$169,000	
2016	\$974,678	\$353,573	\$7,461,053	\$131,000	
2017	\$971,361	\$353,638	\$6,302,074	\$123,000	
2018	\$982,735	\$353,637	\$11,025,542	\$125,000	
Total amount requested by applicants that exceeds allocations (see note below):					
2011	\$494,698	\$105,639	n/a	n/a	
2012	\$314,918	\$63,106	\$707,595	n/a	
2013	\$513,213	\$40,588	\$702,287	\$71,148	
2014	\$479,894	\$83,308	\$465,866	\$28,405	
2015	\$412,317	\$13,649	requests met	\$95,208	
2016	\$336,983	\$31,858	requests met	\$45,401	
2017	\$555,551	\$52,914	\$10,964,367 in requests was met *	\$38,236 in requests was met	
2018	\$304,844	\$55,749	\$3,461,713 in requests was met	\$80,864 in requests was met	
NOTES:	1-year project period	1-year project period	* 2017 and 2018 grants are 2-year project periods	1-year project period	

Note: Applicants have historically reduced their requests over the years, particularly with SASP funding, after being denied their full requests.