FISCAL NOTE

| Bill # | : | HB0020 | | Title: | | Exclude election judges from unemployment coverage | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Prima Spons | • | Jim Shockley | | Statu | s: | Third Reading | |
| Sponsor signature | | | Date | Chuck | Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director Da | | |
| Fiscal Summary Expenditures: | | | | FY2 Differe | | FY2003 <u>Difference</u> 0 | |
| Revenue: | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Net Impact on General Fund Balance: | | | | | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Yes | <u>No</u> X X | Significant Local Gov. Impact Included in the Executive Budget | Yes | <u>s</u> <u>No</u> X | | chnical Concerns mificant Long-Term Impacts | |
| | X | Dedicated Revenue Form Attached | | X | | mily Impact Form Attached | |

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1. There would be no fiscal impact to the state funded judiciary.
- 2. The amendments place on the bill by the House Business and Labor Committee do not change the fiscal impact of the bill.

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES: Because election judges are employed by the counties, any fiscal impact would be in the counties.