

# FISCAL NOTE

**Bill #:** HB0062

**Title:** Revise juvenile probation officer salary structure

**Primary Sponsor:** Jeff Mangan

**Status:** As Introduced

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sponsor signature Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director Date

## Fiscal Summary

	<b><u>FY2002</u></b> <b><u>Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY2003</u></b> <b><u>Difference</u></b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>		
General Fund	923,643	933,643
<b>Revenue:</b>		
General Fund	0	0
<b>Net Impact on General Fund Balance:</b>	<b>(\$923,643)</b>	<b>(\$933,643)</b>

<b><u>Yes</u></b>	<b><u>No</u></b>		<b><u>Yes</u></b>	<b><u>No</u></b>	
	X	Significant Local Gov. Impact		X	Technical Concerns
	X	Included in the Executive Budget		X	Significant Long-Term Impacts
	X	Dedicated Revenue Form Attached		X	Family Impact Form Attached

## Fiscal Analysis

### ASSUMPTIONS:

1. There are 22 chief juvenile probation officers and 67 deputy juvenile probation officers who are all paid at the maximum allowed by this legislation.
2. The bill would require the state to pay one half of the total salaries for chief juvenile probation officers and the entire salary increase for deputy juvenile probation officers.

FISCAL IMPACT:

	<u>FY2002</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY2003</u> <u>Difference</u>
<u>Expenditures:</u>		
Transfers	923,643	933,643
<u>Funding:</u>		
General Fund (01)	923,643	933,643
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure):</u>		
General Fund (01)	(\$923,643)	(\$933,643)

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

County expenses may be reduced because the state would be paying for more than the current cost of the chiefs salaries.