FISCAL NOTE

Bill #:	HB0366		Title:	Study public assistance and TANF programs	
Primary Sponsor:	Norma Bixby		Status:	As Introduced	
Sponsor Signature Date		Date	Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director Date		Date
			FY2002 <u>Difference</u> \$250,000	Difference 0 \$250,000	
<u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> X X X			X T X S	echnical Concerns ignificant Long-Term Impacts amily Impact Form Attached	

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

Department of Public Health and Human Services

- 1. The study will be a program evaluation with formal program design, sampling procedures, and other elements of an extensive program evaluation.
- 2. This welfare reform program evaluation will be managed and completed by an independent contractor qualified to conduct such a program evaluation.
- 3. The Department is currently in the process of conducting a Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program evaluation, which is evaluating most of the items listed in this bill. The scope of the

Fiscal Note Request, <u>HB0366</u>, <u>As Introduced</u> Page 2 (continued)

current TANF evaluation is not as extensive as the scope of the study called for in this legislation nor is it an annual effort.

- 4. Based of the historical contract costs of that evaluation, the cost of this bill is estimated at \$250,000 per year.
- This study will be paid for with federal TANF funding. The study would be considered an administrative expense under TANF regulations must be included in the 15% TANF administrative cap.
 FISCAL IMPACT:

	FY2002 Difference	FY2003 Difference			
Expenditures: Operating Expenses	\$250,000	\$250,000			
<u>Funding:</u> Federal Special Revenue (03)	\$250,000	\$250,000			
Revenue:	\$0	0\$			
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditures):Federal Special Revenue (03)(\$250,000)(\$250,000)					

TECHNICAL NOTES:

- 1. Standards would have to be developed to define "adequacy of services" per Section 1 (a).
- 2. Section 1 (c) is not clear as to whether the study would cover all participants in Foster Care and out-ofhome placements or only the ones who are eligible for TANF funding.
- 3. The "adequacy of training for staff" in Section 1 (e) is not defined.