# **FISCAL NOTE**

Bill #	:	HB0501		Title:	Study on feasability (sic) of establi agri-business center in Montana	shing	
Prima Spons	•	Dave Gallik		Status:	As Introduced		
Sponsor signature		Date	Chuck Sw	ysgood, Budget Director Date			
Fisca	al Sui	mmary		FY2002 <u>Difference</u>	FY2003 <u>Difference</u>		
Expenditures: General Fund				<u>95,000</u>	0		
Revenue:				0	0		
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:				(95,000)	0		
Yes	No X	Significant Local Gov. Impact	<u>Ye</u> 2	Yes No   X Technical Concerns			
	X X	Included in the Executive Budget Dedicated Revenue Form Attache	d	<ul><li>X Significant Long-Term Impacts</li><li>X Family Impact Form Attached</li></ul>			

## **Fiscal Analysis**

### ASSUMPTIONS:

## **Department of Agriculture**

- 1. The bill becomes effective October 1, 2001.
- 2. The appropriation in Section 4 of HB 501 is assumed to be available until the act terminates January 1, 2003.
- 3. The department would use the appropriation in the bill to complete the study and report by January 1, 2003 when the act terminates.

Fiscal Note Request, <u>HB0501</u>, <u>As Introduced</u> Page 2 (continued)

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

	FY2002	FY2003					
	Difference	Difference					
Expenditures:							
Operating Expenses	95,000	0					
Funding:		0					
General Fund (01)	95,000	0					
Davanuagi	0	0					
Revenues:	0	0					
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure):							
General Fund (01)	(95,000)	0					
	()0,000)	0					

#### TECHNICAL NOTES:

1. In the title of the bill, "feasability" (sic) is spelled wrong. It should be "feasibility".

2. The bill would be clarified if page 2, line 15, were amended to read that "there is a biennial appropriation of \$95,000". A biennial appropriation will allow the department to pay costs associated with the contractor in FY 2002 and FY 2003 and to pay expenses of the Agri-business Study Council in both years. There will be expenditures in FY 2003 since the final report is due to the legislature January 1, 2003.