FISCAL NOTE

Bill #	:	SB73			Title	:	Generally revise distribution of m vehicle revenue	otor
Prima Spons	•	Bill Glaser			Stat	us:	House 3 rd Reading	
Spons	sor sign	ature	Date	_	Chu	ck Sw	ysgood, Budget Director	Date
Reve G H D U A Lo	nue: eneral l ighway istrict (niversi ssumpt ocal Go	Account			Differ \$(478, \$549 \$11 \$(58, \$(49,	675) 9,120 ,016 189) 237) 5,965	FY2003 Difference \$(1,018,953) \$1,144,642 \$41,408 \$(121,339) \$(102,671) \$56,913 \$(1,018,953)	
<u>Yes</u> X	<u>No</u>	Significant Local Gov. Impact		<u>Yes</u>	No X		nnical Concerns	
	X X	Included in the Executive Budget Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	d	Х	X	C	ificant Long-Term Impacts	

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Table 1 below shows the current law distribution of the fee in lieu of taxes to the accounts/funds for watercrafts, snowmobiles, OHV's, motor homes, motorcycles/quadricycles, heavy vehicles, trailers, travel trailers, and campers. Additionally, Table 1 shows the distribution of the registration flat fee for light vehicles.

Table 1 Current Law Distribution										
VEHICLES	Highway Account	District Courts	DofAg. SSRA	FWP SSRA	Univ. <u>6-mill</u>	Assump. 9-mill	GF 95-mills	GF 1.5-mills	GF General	Local Gov Mills
Watercrafts	No	No	No	20% ¹ /No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Snowmobiles	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
OHV's	No	No	\$1/vehicle	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Motorhomes	New Only	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Motorcycles/Quads	New Only	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Heavy Vehicles	New Only	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Trailers	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Travel Trailers	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Campers	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
LIGHT VEHICLES	New Only	10%	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes

2. Table 2 below shows the proposed law distribution of the fee in lieu of taxes to the accounts/funds for watercrafts, snowmobiles, OHV's, motor homes, motorcycles/quadricycles, heavy vehicles, trailers, travel trailers, and campers. Additionally, Table 2 shows the distribution of the registration flat fee for light vehicles.

Table 2 Proposed Law Distribution										
VEHICLES	Highway Account	District Courts	DofAg. SSRA	FWP SSRA	Univ. 6-mill	Assump. 9-mill	GF 95-mills	GF 1.5-mills	GF General	Local Gov Mills
Watercrafts	12.1%	7.7%	No	20% ¹ /No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes
Snowmobiles	12.1%	7.7%	No	No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes
OHV's	12.1%	7.7%	\$1/vehicle	No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes
Motorhomes	12.1%	7.7%	No	No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes
Motorcycles/Quads	12.1%	7.7%	No	No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes
Heavy Vehicles	12.1%	7.7%	No	No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes
Trailers	12.1%	7.7%	No	No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes
Travel Trailers	12.1%	7.7%	No	No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes
Campers	12.1%	7.7%	No	No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes
LIGHT VEHICLES	12.1%	7.7%	No	No	No	No	No	No	1.3%	Yes

Fiscal Note Request, <u>SB73</u>, <u>House 3rd Reading</u> Page 3

(continued)

3. Under this proposal, a portion of the amount collected from the light vehicle registration flat fee and the fee in lieu of tax on all vehicle types is deposited 12.1% in the state special revenue highway account; 7.7% in the district courts; and 1.3% in the state general fund. The remaining revenue is allocated across local mills. Under this proposed change in distribution there will be revenue *decreases* to the state general fund of \$478,675 in fiscal 2002 and \$1,018,953 in fiscal 2003; the university system 6-mill account of \$58,189 in fiscal 2002 and \$121,339 in fiscal 2003; and the state assumption of public assistance 9-mill account of \$49,237 in fiscal 2002 and \$102,671 in fiscal 2003. Revenues increase for local governments by \$25,965 in fiscal 2002 and \$56,913 in fiscal 2003; for district courts by \$11,016 in fiscal 2002 and \$1,144,642 in fiscal 2003. Table 3 below shows the revenue impacts under this proposal by account/fund.

Table 3 Revenue Impacts Under this Proposal								
Account/Funds		FY2002		FY2003				
Highway Account District Courts University System 6-mill State Assumption 9-mill Local Gov Mills	\$ \$ \$ \$	549,120 11,016 (58,189) (49,237) 25,965	\$\$\$\$	1,144,642 41,408 (121,339) (102,671) 56,913				
State General Fund 95 mills allocation 1.5 mills allocation 1.3% allocation Net impact on State G.F.	\$ \$ \$	(907,746) (57,585) 486,657 (478,675)	\$ \$ \$ \$	(1,892,887) (117,195) 991,129 (1,018,953)				

4. The above impacts in assumption three are calculated using a 1.5% annual growth rate in the number of vehicles. The calendar year 2000 motor vehicle database is used as the base year in making the calculations in assumption three.

Fiscal Note Request, <u>SB73</u>, <u>House 3rd Reading</u> Page 4 (continued) FISCAL IMPACT:

	FY2002 Difference	FY2003 Difference
Revenues:		
General Fund (01)	\$(478,675)	\$(1,018,953)
Highway Account (02)	\$549,120	\$1,144,642
District Courts (03)	\$11,016	\$41,408
University 6-mill (04)	\$(58,189)	\$(121,339)
Assumption 9-mill (05)	\$(49,237)	\$(102,671)
Local Governments (06)	\$25,965	\$56,913
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure	<u>e):</u>	
General Fund (01)	\$(478,675)	\$(1,018,953)
Highway Account (02)	\$549,120	\$1,144,642
District Courts (03)	\$11,016	\$41,408
University 6-mill (04)	\$(58,189)	\$(121,339)
Assumption 9-mill (05)	\$(49,237)	\$(102,671)
Local Governments (06)	\$25,965	\$56,913

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

Under this proposal, the revenue going to local governments (statewide) will be slightly larger than under current law.

LONG-RANGE IMPACTS:

Under this proposal, the revenue going to the state general fund, the university system 6-mill, and the state assumption of public assistance 9-mill, will be less than under current law. The revenue going to the district courts, local governments (statewide), and the state special revenue highway account will be larger than under current law.