

Fiscal Note Request HB0405, As Introduced-Revised

(continued)

anticipate raising rates in the 2005 biennium, as fire assessment rates are limited to one third of the department's fire program appropriation as per 76-13-207, MCA.

7. An increase in revenue above the current assessment rate would amount to approximately \$750,000 annually, if the rates were assessed at the proposed maximum of \$40 minimum fee for the first 20 acres, and 25 cents per acre for each acre in excess of 20 acres.

Revenue

8. In tax year 2002 the total taxable value of residential improvements were \$583,054,927 (DOR Biennial Report). There was \$222,951,961 of taxable value in residential improvements located within cities and towns. This would leave improvements worth a total of \$340,102,966 ($\$583,054,927 - \$222,951,961 = \$340,102,966$) in taxable value outside the limits of cities and towns.
9. In tax year 2002 the taxable value of commercial improvement was \$210,669,990 (DOR Biennial Report). There were commercial improvements with a taxable value of \$137,118,745 located within cities and towns. This would leave commercial improvement worth \$73,551,245 ($\$210,669,990 - \$137,118,745 = 73,551,245$) in taxable value outside the limits of cities and towns.
10. The total taxable value of commercial and residential improvements outside the limits would be \$413,654,211 ($\$340,102,966 + \$73,551,245 = \$413,654,211$). This taxable value of improvement includes property that would not be subject to the 10-mill levy.
11. For the purposes of this fiscal note, it is estimated that residential improvements with a taxable value of \$47,090,400 would be exempt from this tax due to provisions in (2)(b)(iii) (improvements to 1 acre farmsteads). It is also estimated that a further \$120,000,000 in improvements would not be subject to the 10 mills. This leaves a total of \$167,090,400 ($\$47,090,400 + \$120,000,000 = \$167,090,400$) in residential and commercial improvements would be exempt from the 10-mill levy. The total taxable value of residential and commercial improvements subject to the 10-mill levy is estimated \$246,563,811 ($\$413,654,211 - \$167,019,400 = \$246,563,811$).
12. It is estimated that applying a 10 mill levy to the estimated tax value of residential and commercial improvements within a wildland-urban interface areas will generate \$2,464,638 ($\$246,563,811 \times 10 \text{ mills} / 1000 = \$2,465,638$) in revenues for the fire suppression account.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Department of Revenue
FTE

FY 2004
Difference
7.00

FY 2005
Difference

Expenditures:

Personal Services	\$188,510
Operating Expenses	\$14,715
Equipment	<u>\$29,900</u>
TOTAL	\$232,125

Funding of Expenditures:

General Fund (01)	\$232,125
-------------------	-----------

Revenues:

State Special Rev (02) Wildland Fire Suppression Acct	\$2,464,638
---	-------------

Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):

General Fund (01)	(\$232,125)
State Special Rev (02) Wildland Fire Suppression Acct	\$2,464,638

Fiscal Note Request HB0405, As Introduced-Revised

(continued)

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

In the fiscal year the fire suppression account exceeds \$20 million, 15% of the account's interest earning will go counties for land use planning. The portion of revenue each county will receive for land use planning is based on the ratio of the amount of fire suppression tax collected in each county.

LONG-RANGE IMPACTS:

Beginning in FY05 and continuing until the fire suppression account reaches a balance of \$50 million dollars, it is estimated that over \$2.4 million a year will be collected from the 10 mill levy. Once the balance in the account reaches \$20 million funds may be expended on fire suppression and land planning. Once the balance of the account reaches \$50 million the 10 mills would stop being levied. However, when the balance of the fund drops below \$50 million the levy would be re-instituted. It will take approximately 8 years for the account to reach \$20 million.

TECHNICAL NOTES:

1. The department will use a straight mileage test to determine if a property is subject to section 1(2)(b)(iii). The department will not determine if the property is within a wildland-urban interface area as set forth in section 1 subsection (3).
2. There appears to be some conflict between section 1 (2)(b)(iii) and (2)(b)(iv). All properties in section 1 (2)(b)(iii) "must be at least 4.5 miles from the limits of an incorporated city or town." If improvements are already subject to section 1 (2)(b)(iii) they are located at least 4.5 miles from the limits of a city or town and therefore cannot be subject to section 1 (2)(b)(iv) "located within an area closer than 4.5 miles."
3. In sections section 1 (2)(b)(iv),(2)(c) and (2)(d) of the bill requires the department of revenue to approve a county's commission inclusion or exclusion of a property in an wildland-urban interface area. However, the bill is unclear about what is meant by approval. If it means that the department of revenue must determine if a property is at risk for wildfires then the cost included in this note will be increased. The department of revenue does not have the expertise to evaluate the threat wildfires have to a property.