EXHIBIT 11
DATE 1/25/05
HB 238

pro-choice movement as abortion on demand — and nothing can be farther from the truth." Perhaps the senator might wish to explain her voting record, which to a fair reader might prove abortion on demand is precisely what she favors.

Feinstein voted no on a criminal penalty for harming an unborn fetus during a crime (March 2004), voted no on banning partial birth abortions except for maternal life (March 2003, October 1999) and voted no on maintaining the ban on military abortions (June 2000). Feinstein was recommended by the liberal Emily's List of pro-choice women (April 2001). She received a 100 percent rating by NARAL for her pro-choice voting record (December 2003).

If the public perceives that the Democratic Party favors abortion on demand, it is because of senators (and many other Democrats in Congress) like Dianne Feinstein who have not done anything to curtail abortion.

Just one reason

There is only one reason to restrict abortion and that is because what is being killed is a human being. Any other "reason" seeks to invoke a moral standard one has just denied.

There is a way Democrats can do something about their image and still remain "pro-choice." They can back laws requiring women to receive full disclosure before receiving an abortion. We do this with automobiles, food and bank loans. Consumers increasingly benefit from laws designed to give them information so their choices will be educated. Why do so many pregnant women lack information about the procedure and alternatives?

Over the last 30 years, I have spoken to hundreds of post-abortive women. They say they would not have had abortions if they had known more about the procedure, such as sonograms and information about adoption and pregnancy help centers that care for the woman and baby before and after birth.

What would be wrong with laws that empower women through additional information, even while abortion remains legal?

If Democrats won't back empowerment by informing women seeking an abortion — at least as much basic information as they receive at the Department of Motor Vehicles before the state issues a license to drive — one can only conclude that the party's reported interest in changing its image is based not on convictions, but on political pragmatism. If that is their game, they will deservedly continue to lose elections.