

AMERICA'S FUTURE®

VOLUME 49

JANUARY/FEBRUARY 2007

NUMBER 1/2

A North American United Nations?

By U.S. Representative Ron Paul

Globalists and one-world promoters never seem to tire of coming up with ways to undermine the sovereignty of the United States. The most recent attempt comes in the form of the misnamed "Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America (SPP)." In reality, this new "partnership" will likely make us far less secure and certainly less prosperous.

According to the U.S. government website dedicated to the project, the SPP is neither a treaty nor a formal agreement. Rather, it is a "dialogue" launched by the heads of state of Canada, Mexico, and the United States at a summit in Waco, Texas in March 2005. Congress has had no role at all in a "dialogue" that many see as a plan for a North American union.

According to the SPP website, this "dialogue" will create new supra-national organizations to "coordinate" border security, health policy, economic and trade policy, and energy policy between the governments of Mexico, Canada, and the United States. As such, it is but an extension of NAFTA- and CAFTA-like agreements that have far less to do with the free movement of goods and services than they do with government coordination and management of international trade.

Critics of NAFTA and CAFTA

warned at the time that the agreements were actually a move toward more government control over international trade and an eventual merging of North America into a border-free area. Proponents of these agreements dismissed this as conspiratorial. Now we see that the criticisms appear to be justified.

Let's examine a couple of the many troubling statements on the SPP's U.S. government website: "We affirm our commitment to strengthen regulatory cooperation . . . and to have our central regulatory agencies complete a trilateral regulatory cooperation framework by 2007." Though the Administration insists that the SPP does not undermine US sovereignty, how else can one take statements like this? How can establishing a "trilateral regulatory cooperation" not undermine our national sovereignty?

The website also states SPP's goal to "improve the health of our indigenous people through targeted bilateral and/or trilateral activities, including health promotion, health education, disease prevention, and research." Who can read this and not see massive foreign aid transferred from U.S. taxpayers to foreign governments and well-connected private companies?

Also alarming are SPP pledges to "work towards the identification and adoption of best prac-

tices relating to the registration of medicinal products." That sounds like the much-criticized *Codex Alimentarius*, which seeks to radically limit Americans' health freedom.

Even more troubling are reports that a massive highway is being planned to stretch from Canada into Mexico. This is likely to cost U.S. taxpayers billions of dollars, require eminent domain takings on an almost unimaginable scale, and make us more vulnerable to those who seek to enter our country to do us harm.

This all adds up to not only more and bigger government, but to the establishment of an unelected mega-government. As the SPP website itself admits, "The Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America represents a broad and ambitious agenda." I hope my colleagues in Congress and American citizens will join me in opposing any "broad and ambitious" effort to undermine the security and sovereignty of the United States.

AMERICA'S FUTURE®

7800 BONHOMME AVENUE
ST. LOUIS MO 63105

JANUARY/FEBRUARY 2007

Vol. 49 No. 1/2

And we're longing for real global warming.

© F.R.D.