



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

Fiscal Note 2009 Biennium

Bill # HB0556

Title: Allow special fuel user to use dyed fuel under certain conditions

Primary Sponsor: McChesney, Bill

Status: As Introduced

- Significant Local Gov Impact
 Needs to be included in HB 2
 Technical Concerns
 Included in the Executive Budget
 Significant Long-Term Impacts
 Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2008 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2009 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>
Expenditures:				
State Special Revenue	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:				
State Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Description of fiscal impact: The bill allows the use of dyed special fuel on certain off highway construction projects with a permit issued by the Department of Transportation. Fiscal impacts are driven by the need to have the required permits issued from the tax system module, requiring modification to that existing system.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

1. The Motor Carrier Services Division at Department of Transportation would need to issue two new types of special fuel user permits. MCS would need the ability to issue, suspend, revoke, cancel, reinstate, or extend these temporary permits. Currently, the TS (Tax System) module of the ACS VISTA computer program used the department is used to issue SU (Special User) licenses. The permits would be issued at no cost.
2. The two permit types would be for 50,000 gallons or less and for 50,001 gallons or greater.
3. A low volume of permits is anticipated to be issued.
4. In order to accommodate the issuing and reporting requirements, the permits must be issued on the automated system.

5. Modifications to the department’s computer system to accommodate the new permits are estimated at \$20,000 in FY 2008.
6. Project reporting requirements will be reconciled to quarterly reports within the Fuel Tax Management and Analysis Bureau’s existing workload.
7. The departments’ internal audit may be required to follow up on the irreconcilable reports within current processing activities.

	<u>FY 2008</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2009</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2010</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011</u> <u>Difference</u>
<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>				
<u>Expenditures:</u>				
Operating Expenses	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Funding of Expenditures:</u>				
State Special Revenue (02)	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Revenues:</u>				
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u>				
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$20,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0

Sponsor’s Initials

Date

Budget Director’s Initials

Date