



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

Fiscal Note 2009 Biennium

To open, right click on "Select a bill", select Worksheet Object/Edit. To exit, click outside the spreadsheet.

Bill #	SB0412	Title:	Authorize university construction projects using nonstate funds
---------------	--------	---------------	---

Primary Sponsor:	Brueggeman, John	Status:	As Introduced
-------------------------	------------------	----------------	---------------

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2008 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2009 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>
Expenditures:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Description of fiscal impact: The bill exempts the Montana University System from certain construction and contracting laws providing the ability to accept donations-in-kind that do not involve state appropriations. The projects are related to constructing or renovating athletic facilities.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Montana University System (MUS)

1. Allowing the university units to work directly with donated service providers for items such as the design and build of a project should cost less than the current processes by virtue of avoiding bidding costs such as printing, advertising and bid securities.
2. Alternative delivery methods in donated construction projects could also save substantial time in project delivery, which will minimize the effects of steadily increasing construction costs.
3. The MUS is unable to calculate the cost savings from this bill since it depends on the type of amount of future donations.
4. The MUS does not expect additional costs as a result of this bill.

Architecture and Engineering

5. Architecture and Engineering (A&E) charges a 3% supervisory fees on of projects, funded with moneys other than Long Range Building Fund. It is not determinable on how much revenue would be lost if athletic projects are not administred by A&E.

	<u>FY 2008 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2009 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>
<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>				
TOTAL Expenditures	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Funding of Expenditures:</u>				
General Fund (01)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Revenues:</u>				
General Fund (01)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
TOTAL Revenues	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u>				
General Fund (01)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date