Summary of Conflict Check: SB 90

Prepared for the House State Administration Committee
By Sheri Heffelfinger, Legislative Services
As of March 3, 2009

Bill (Sponsor)	Most recent status	Overlapping MCA Section(s)	Substantive content of changes to the current section amended in both bills	Conflict with SB 90? YES/NO
SB 452 *(Curtis)*	In Senate Finance and Claims, hearing on 3/5	2-4-302	Requires rule proposal notice for rule implementing federal statute to state how criteria in 2-1-404(2), MCA, concerning Montana policy on implementation of federal statutes have been applied	NO
HB 50 *(Lake)*	Taken from table in House State Admin, re-referred to House Appropriations	2-4-305	Adds a new subsection to sub (6) to provide that a rule is not valid or effective unless it is consistent with legislative intent as expressed in statute, committee minutes, committee report, or other report of the legislature	NO
HB 475 *(Phillips)*	In Senate State Administration awaiting hearing	2-4-305	Strikes "printed", inserts "published" in sub (1)	NO
SB 20 *(Squires)*	House State Admin, hearing on 3/6	2-4-305	Adds language to sub (7) specifying that "substantial compliance" is not only that notice was properly given	NO
SB 123 *(Jent)*	House State Admin, pending exec action	2-4-305	Adds language to sub (8) concerning amended notices to specify that an amended proposal notice may not be used to correct a deficiency in or lack of a statement of reasonable necessity, unless certain listed conditions are met	NO

EXHIBIT 5
DATE 3/4/09
SB 90

AD