

Top Ten Reasons to vote NO on SJ0024

1. The Bill must be rejected because it is based upon a false Hypothesis:

- a. The Hypothesis says human carbon dioxide emissions harm the earth's climate.
- b. This Hypothesis has been scientifically proven to be false.
- c. Also proven to be useless, unnecessary and very costly are actions based upon the "Precautionary Principal" hyped by Republican candidate John McCain.

2. Who is behind promoting the Global Warming Hypothesis?

- a. The United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) which is a political body and not a scientific body.
- b. The IPCC Summary Reports, published for busy politicians, have conveyed virtually the direct opposite of what the scientists have been saying.
- c. The powerful IPCC has obtained the help of the media, Al Gore, James Hansen of NOAA, and university professors like Dr. Steve Running.
- d. Very powerful environmental groups who are making a lot of money promoting the false Global Warming Hypothesis.
- e. A lot of people have been brainwashed by the consistent, Joe Camel like, declarations of the media and those who help the UN IPCC.
- f. So, if you "believe" in global warming it is probably not your fault ... until now!

3. Why is the Global Warming Hypothesis false?

- a. **The IPCC has faked the earth's temperature data.** They say the global temperature today is the highest in 1000 years. In truth, the temperature today is average for the last 1000 years and below the average for the last 3000 years.
- b. **They have faked the earth's carbon dioxide data.** They say CO2 concentration today is the highest in 200 years. They say if CO2 concentration rises from the present 385 to 400 ppm, the earth will go into runaway warming. In truth, CO2 concentration was 440 ppm in 1820 and 1940 and it caused no problems. Furthermore, CO2 will decrease again in the coming cold period because it is controlled by climatological forces rather than by the emissions of man.
- c. **They have claimed climate models predict climate. Climate models cannot predict climate.** Climate model predictions have been falsified. Therefore, climate model predictions must be rejected. Climate models contain invalid assumptions and invalid underlying physics. They are fundamentally incapable of predicting the climate even if the computers were 1000 times more powerful.
- d. **The atmosphere does not behave like a greenhouse and those educators who teach it does are wrong.** To quote a comprehensive 2007 paper by Gerlich & Tschuschner: "**Lee's (1973) paper is a milestone marking the day after which**

Testimony on SJ0024
Edwin X Berry, Ph.D., Atmospheric Physicist
American Meteorological Society, Certified Consulting Meteorologist #180
439 Grand Ave #147, Bigfork, MT

every serious scientist or science educator is no longer allowed to compare a greenhouse with the atmosphere."

- e. The recent hypothesis by Miskolczi (2007) explains how our atmosphere self-regulates the earth's temperature independent of carbon dioxide ... somewhat like our bodies self-regulate our internal temperature.
4. **The 2009 International Conference on Climate Change is being held March 8-10 in NYC. Attending and presenting will be 1000 qualified atmospheric physicists and some economists, lawyers and politicians.**
- a. The atmospheric scientists represent the best in the world in climate change and they will all tell you global warming is an illusion.
 - b. These are the atmospheric scientists the media and promoters of global warming tell you do not exist.
 - c. The Conference includes four sessions: Paleoclimatology, Climatology, Impact of Climate Change, and Economics and Politics.
 - d. **The last two sessions will include more information than SJ 24 will produce.**
 - e. Proponents of this Bill should send their own representative to this Conference.
5. **Why should you believe me?**
- a. You don't have to. Send your representative to the Conference to verify what I am telling you is true.
 - b. But for starters, I am an atmospheric physicist.
 - c. Global Warming is about atmospheric physics.
 - d. It is not about ecology, environmentalism, feely goodism or religion.
6. **Some of you still don't believe me. OK. Let's try to discover why.**
- a. **Do you think a consensus of atmospheric scientists supports global warming?**
You are not even close. The majority says global warming is an illusion and this majority is rapidly growing. The Conference in March is proof of what I say.
 - b. **Do you think carbon dioxide is related to air pollution?** CO₂ is not a pollutant.
 - c. **Do you believe the UN IPCC?** Then you believe the fox will guard the chickens.
 - d. **Do you believe the people in Washington DC?** They are part of the problem.
 - e. **Do you believe the Governor's Advisory Committee on Climate Change?** They are not atmospheric physicists. They do not have the expertise to judge the Hypothesis or the likely effect of any proposed action.
 - f. **Do you believe media reports like the one below?**
 - g. **Please review Exhibit A.** This is one example of the brainwashing the UN IPCC and the media push on us every day. My comments show how the claims in this article are false. Yet this article has received very wide distribution.

Testimony on SJ0024
Edwin X Berry, Ph.D., Atmospheric Physicist
American Meteorological Society, Certified Consulting Meteorologist #180
439 Grand Ave #147, Bigfork, MT

7. **The Bill must be rejected because all 5 of the Bill's WHEREAS's are invalid:**
 - a. WHEREAS #1 was a serious mistake
 - b. WHEREAS #2 is false because the bill implies climate changes results from CO2.
 - c. WHEREAS #3 is entirely not necessary.
 - d. WHEREAS #4 is based upon false science and is untrue.
 - e. WHEREAS #5 accepts unnecessary and costly spending of tax-payer money.
8. **The Bill must be rejected because all 4 of the Bill's proposed Actions are based on the false Hypothesis and will waste money.**
9. **The Bill must be rejected because we can answer all the questions right now.**
 - a. Cap and Trade will destroy Montana's energy production and thereby Montana's economy. As a result, it will damage the environment.
 - b. All greenhouse reduction programs are a waste of money and will unnecessarily and significantly damage Montana's energy production and economy.
 - c. Signing the Western Climate Initiative was a mistake and the signature should be retracted. Why would Montana want to follow in the path of California which is deep in debt and is still passing legislation to stop energy production?
 - d. The Western Climate Initiative will significantly reduce Montana's use of coal, gas and oil resources, decrease Montana's energy production, increase the cost of energy to Montana's citizens, and severely reduce their standard of living.
10. **The Bill must be rejected because continued participation in the Western Climate Initiative will cause serious political problems:**
 - a. The WCI will cause the citizens of Montana to realize how their elected officials shafted them by passing legislation that was not needed, solved nothing, raised energy costs, put Montana in debt, reduced property values, and made it almost impossible to heat their homes in the coming colder winters.
 - b. **By the way, we are heading into a colder climate for the next 30 or so years!**
 - c. The WCI will cause the citizens of Montana to remove from office every elected official who voted for carbon reduction legislation, i.e., you won't get reelected.
 - d. The WCI will probably make Montana a one-party state. If that is what you want, then vote for SJ0024.

Exhibit A: The Propaganda Article with Comments by Berry

Global warming seen worse than predicted

Sat Feb 14, 2009 4:46pm EST

By Julie Steenhuysen

CHICAGO (Reuters) - The climate is heating up far faster than scientists had predicted, spurred by sharp increases in greenhouse gas emissions from developing countries like China and India, a top climate scientist said on Saturday.

The claim is false because it is not based on temperature data. The claim is based upon the false assumption that "greenhouse" gas emissions will cause higher temperatures.- EXB

"The consequence of that is we are basically looking now at a future climate that is beyond anything that we've considered seriously," Chris Field, a member of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, or IPCC, told the American Association for the Advancement of Science meeting in Chicago.

Field said "the actual trajectory of climate change is more serious" than any of the climate predictions in the IPCC's fourth assessment report called "Climate Change 2007."

Chris Field is a member of the IPCC. The IPCC is a political body having the goal of attempting to convince humanity that carbon dioxide emissions will heat the atmosphere. Field is incorrectly assuming the IPCC's report is accurate. Field's extreme claims go way beyond the bounds of science. There is no basis for his claims except political expediency. - EXB

He said recent climate studies suggested the continued warming of the planet from greenhouse gas emissions could touch off large, destructive wildfires in tropical rain forests and melt permafrost in the Arctic tundra, releasing billions of tons of greenhouse gasses that could raise global temperatures even more.

Field is has no scientific basis for suggesting wildfires, melting permafrost releasing more greenhouse gases, and that such gases will raise global temperatures even more. If the earth were that fragile it would have self destructed millions of years ago. A person who extrapolates from (a) more CO2 emissions to (b) higher temperatures to (c) melting permafrost to (d) more greenhouse gases to (e) even higher temperatures, when none of the cause-effect relationships are true, gets an F in science.- EXB

Testimony on SJ0024
Edwin X Berry, Ph.D., Atmospheric Physicist
American Meteorological Society, Certified Consulting Meteorologist #180
439 Grand Ave #147, Bigfork, MT

"There is a real risk that human-caused climate change will accelerate the release of carbon dioxide from forest and tundra ecosystems, which have been storing a lot of carbon for thousands of years," Field, of Stanford University and the Carnegie Institution for Science, said in a statement.

There is no risk that human-caused climate change will do this. The IPCC has not proven that human emissions cause any significant climate change to begin with. The IPCC claims of such proof are themselves based upon the invalid assumption that water vapor will increase with carbon dioxide increase. Using this invalid positive feedback assumption is the only way the IPCC has found to claim carbon dioxide will cause global warming. Without this fictitious positive feedback, carbon dioxide will not cause global warming even by the IPCC's calculations. - EXB

He pointed to recent studies showing the fourth assessment report underestimated the potential severity of global warming over the next 100 years.

"We now have data showing that from 2000 to 2007, greenhouse gas emissions increased far more rapidly than we expected, primarily because developing countries, like China and India, saw a huge surge in electric power generation, almost all of it based on coal," Field said.

Here is the truth: China and India are developing their energy production capabilities. This will make them the economic and military powerhouses of the future. Meanwhile, the USA is reducing its energy production in the name of the false god of global warming. This will make the USA a third world economic and military power in the future and force US citizens to lick the boots of the Chinese and Indians. - EXB

He said that trend was likely to continue if more countries turned to coal and other carbon-intensive fuels to meet their energy needs. If so, he said the impact of climate change would be "more serious and diverse" than the IPCC's most recent predictions.


The goal of the UN is to bring down America. They want speech control, gun control, economic control and people control. They are making great progress. They have convinced half of America to believe in global warming. The UN is on the way to achieving its goal of taking over America without firing a shot. America, will you wake up and will you wake up in time before you find yourselves slaves to the new Egyptians? - EXB

UN IPCC changes science input to create Political Global Warming

Scientists input their
information

UN IPCC revises input
and writes the reports

IPCC Summary Report
contradicts science input



Physics: Open Letter to UN IPCC by 101 Contributing Scientists

December 13, 2007

No consensus

- The IPCC Summary Reports do not represent the input, views or consensus of scientists

No evidence

- Changes in glaciers, sea-level, species, etc., are not evidence of abnormal climate change

Models fail

- Climate models cannot predict climate (even IPCC reps agree)

Hypothesis falsified

- Significant peer-reviewed research has discredited the global warming hypothesis

Actions: Open Letter to UN IPCC by 101 Contributing Scientists

December 13, 2007

No basis to cut
CO2 emissions

- Not shown that CO2 alters climate
- Not possible to stop climate change

Cutting CO2 is
bad economics


- Are tragic misallocations of our resources
- Will decrease our ability to adapt
- Will increase human suffering

Produce energy
and forget CO2

- We need energy to adapt to natural climate change
- The “precautionary principal” is irrational

Measured CO2 Data 1812-1965


Beck (2007)



Reconstructed CO2 trends based upon more than 90,000 direct measurements at 43 stations. Lower line is from Antarctic ice cores with data after 1950 from Mauna Loa, Hawaii. (From Beck, 2007)


Global Temperature: 0000-2000

Loehle




Corrected IPCC Temperature 1400-1998

McIntyre & McKittrick (2003)



Scientific Hierarchy



Atmospheric “Greenhouse” History

by Gerlich & Tschuschner, 2007

Get F in
physics

Invalid
Hypothesis

Arrhenius
1896

Callendar
1938

Keeling
1958

Invalid
Hypothesis

US DOE
1985

UN IPCC
1990

Climate
Models

Falsify CO2
Effect

Wood
1909

Schack
1972

Get A in
physics

Physical
Milestone

Lee
1973

Berry
1973

Maccracken
1985

“Lee’s paper is a milestone marking the day after which every serious scientist or science educator is no longer allowed to compare a greenhouse with the atmosphere.”

Miskolczi explains how our atmosphere maintains temperature stability



Dr. Miklos Zagoni, atmospheric physicist, was Hungary's most outspoken supporter of Kyoto Protocol

He learned of Miskolczi's hypothesis about how our atmosphere removes heat

Now Zagoni is presenting Miskolczi's new hypothesis to other climatologists

"Nature's regulatory instrument is water vapor: more carbon dioxide leads to less moisture in the air, keeping the overall GHG content in accord with the necessary balance conditions."

**Get A in
physics**