

## 1 HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 20

2 INTRODUCED BY D. SKEES

3

4 A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF  
5 MONTANA RESOLVING THAT THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT, PUBLIC LAW  
6 111-148, AND THE HEALTH CARE AND EDUCATION RECONCILIATION ACT OF 2010, AND PUBLIC LAW  
7 111-152, ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL, NULL AND VOID, AND UNENFORCEABLE; AND URGING EACH STATE  
8 LEGISLATURE TO ADOPT A SIMILAR RESOLUTION.

9

10 WHEREAS, the members of the Montana Senate and Montana House of Representatives have taken  
11 an oath of office to support, protect, and defend the United States Constitution; and

12 WHEREAS, while the American legal and political system does not draw exclusively from a single  
13 tradition, the American system draws heavily on the English system with certain principles dating back to the  
14 Magna Carta; and

15 WHEREAS, in the era when the Magna Carta was drafted, King John was unjustly accumulating powers  
16 to himself deemed to be beyond the proper scope of his office; and

17 WHEREAS, the English barons checked the unjust exercise of power by King John by obtaining his  
18 assent to the terms of the Magna Carta; and

19 WHEREAS, the 18th century American colonials protested the overreaching of British authority through  
20 lesser magistrates after the fashion of the barons at Runnymede; and

21 WHEREAS, with the success of the American Revolution, the claim of temporal sovereignty being unified  
22 within the British crown was cast off; and

23 WHEREAS, it follows that the former American colonies were populated by residents possessing  
24 individual sovereignty; and

25 WHEREAS, the signers of the Declaration of Independence recognized that liberty and other human  
26 rights were the grant of God, the eternal creator; and

27 WHEREAS, to secure to themselves and posterity the blessing of divinely bestowed sovereignty and  
28 liberty, well-limited, specific grants of individual sovereignty were contracted to government through compact for  
29 specifically limited exercise; and

30 WHEREAS, the limitation of the grant of sovereignty is memorialized in the 10th Amendment to the

1 United States Constitution; and

2 WHEREAS, for the sake of the preservation of liberty, the drafters of the United States Constitution  
3 sought to thwart the accumulation and concentration of power and the resulting despotism by diffusing  
4 governmental power at the federal level among three separate but equal branches of government; and

5 WHEREAS, the United States Constitution was designed to very narrowly limit the purview of the federal  
6 government and preserve to individual citizens first and then to state and local governments those powers not  
7 specifically granted to the federal government; and

8 WHEREAS, such 18th century luminaries as James Madison and Thomas Jefferson readily discerned  
9 the unconstitutional nature of the Alien and Sedition Acts of 1798; and

10 WHEREAS, the Commonwealth of Virginia and the State of Kentucky, with the aid of James Madison  
11 and Thomas Jefferson, respectively, reviewed and declared unconstitutional the Alien and Sedition Acts by  
12 means of resolutions in the legislatures of Virginia and Kentucky passed in 1798 and 1799; and

13 WHEREAS, the resolutions regarding the Alien and Sedition Acts of 1798 that were passed in Virginia  
14 and Kentucky predated *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137 (1803); and

15 WHEREAS, in 1809 the General Court of Massachusetts passed a resolution concerning the Embargo  
16 Act and stated "the act of the Congress of the United States . . . for enforcing the act laying an embargo . . . is,  
17 in the opinion of the legislature, in many respects, unjust, oppressive and unconstitutional, and not legally binding  
18 on the citizens of the state"; and

19 WHEREAS, in 1820 when Ohio was fighting against the unconstitutional Bank of the United States, it  
20 recognized and approved "the doctrines asserted by the legislatures of Virginia and Kentucky, in their resolutions  
21 of November and December, 1798 and January 1800 . . . and do consider that their principles have been  
22 recognized and adopted by a majority of the American people"; and

23 WHEREAS, courts are not infallible arbiters of justice and constitutionality as notoriously evidenced by  
24 *Dred Scott v. Sandford*, 60 U.S. 393 (1857); and

25 WHEREAS, for preservation of liberty, constitutional review must not be confined to a horizontal course  
26 that is solely among the three branches of government at the federal level because such confinement would  
27 tempt toward the accumulation of power by the federal government; and

28 WHEREAS, there is need for a vertical course that is a review conducted by discourse between the  
29 federal government and the states to prevent an unjust accumulation of power to the federal judicial branch with  
30 respect to the federal executive and legislative branches; and

1 WHEREAS, the appropriation of power at the federal level must be thwarted by the just exercise of power  
2 by the individual states, including Montana, on the basis of sound principles tracing their course in history through  
3 the Virginia and Kentucky resolutions and the Magna Carta; and

4 WHEREAS, Governor Brian Schweitzer provided a clear and excellent example of opposition to the  
5 unjust and unconstitutional federal overreach of power when, in his television advertising promoting his election  
6 to his second term as Governor of Montana, he rightly and proudly proclaimed that he stood up and said no to  
7 Public Law 109-13 known as the REAL ID Act of 2005; and

8 WHEREAS, as of October of 2009, 25 states have approved resolutions or legislation against  
9 participation in the requirements of the REAL ID Act of 2005; and

10 WHEREAS, the Sedition Act of Montana was passed during a special session of the Legislature in 1918  
11 and became the basis of the federal Sedition Act of 1918; and

12 WHEREAS, the United States Supreme Court erred in its decision in *Abrams v. United States*, 250 U.S.  
13 616 (1919); and

14 WHEREAS, within the great State of Montana, Governor Brian Schweitzer has given a clear and excellent  
15 example of opposition to unjust laws in his posthumous pardons of those convicted under the World War I era  
16 Sedition Act of Montana (and by logical extension the federal Sedition Act of 1918) memorialized in the television  
17 program "Jailed for Their Words" aired on Montana Public Television; and

18 WHEREAS, the pardons by Governor Brian Schweitzer are also discussed on the internet site for the  
19 Montana Sedition Project; and

20 WHEREAS, the Montana House of Representatives and the Senate of Montana have ~~reviewed~~  
21 DETERMINED Public Law 111-148 and Public Law 111-152 ~~and have found these laws~~ to be grossly deficient by  
22 any test by which they could be judged to be in compliance with the United States Constitution; and

23 WHEREAS, these deficiencies include an overly broad application of Article I, section 8, clause 3, of the  
24 United States Constitution, known as the Commerce Clause, by affecting commerce in such a way as to exceed  
25 the breadth and scope of the Commerce Clause; and

26 WHEREAS, the drafters of the United States Constitution intended the document to place strict limitations  
27 on the federal government by granting only narrow and limited areas of authority; and

28 WHEREAS, the strict limitations upon the federal government are plainly evident in the 10th Amendment  
29 to the United States Constitution; and

30 WHEREAS, within Montana the Legislature is given authority to recognize relations with other

1 governmental agencies under legislative Joint Rule 40-60; and

2 WHEREAS, the United States constitution does not specifically grant the federal government authority  
3 to compel people to purchase a particular product or service; and

4 WHEREAS, because the federal government does not have the grant of authority to compel the purchase  
5 of a particular product or service, Public Law 111-148 and Public Law 111-152 violate the United States  
6 Constitution, and Public Law 111-148 and Public Law 111-152 were enacted in defiance of the United States  
7 Constitution; and

8 WHEREAS, Article VI, clause 2, of the United States Constitution containing the Supremacy clause only  
9 applies to those laws that are consistent with the United States Constitution as a whole; and

10 WHEREAS, by simple and self-evident logic, Article VI, clause 2, of the United States Constitution  
11 containing the Supremacy Clause does not trump the 9th and 10th Amendments to the United States Constitution  
12 because an amendment to the United States Constitution that does not restrict the federal government would  
13 have been empty, vain, and meaningless and thus, would not have been proposed and adopted.

14  
15 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE  
16 STATE OF MONTANA:

17 That Public Law 111-148 and Public Law 111-152 are unconstitutional, and therefore the 62nd Session  
18 of the Montana Legislature finds that Public Law 111-148 and Public Law 111-152 are null and void and  
19 unenforceable under the United States Constitution and the compact of the state of Montana with the United  
20 States.

21 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Public Law 111-148 and Public Law 111-152 cannot be implemented  
22 or enforced in the state of Montana.

23 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the 62nd Session of the Montana Legislature urges each of the  
24 legislatures of the other 49 states of the United States to adopt similar resolutions.

25 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Secretary of State send a copy of this resolution to the legislature  
26 of each of the other 49 states of the United States, to the Governor of Montana, and to the Chief Justice of the  
27 Montana Supreme Court.

28 - END -