1	SENATE BILL NO. 233
2	INTRODUCED BY J. KEANE, VUCKOVICH, OLSON, KLOCK, HINER, MCCHESNEY, TUTVEDT, ANKNEY,
3	WINDY BOY, HAMLETT
4	
5	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REVISING ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT LAWS; REVISING
6	STATUTES RELATED TO AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS AND AN ENVIRONMENTAL
7	ASSESSMENT; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS; CLARIFYING THAT ALTERNATIVES INCLUDED IN AN
8	ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS ARE DISCRETIONARY; PROVIDING THAT THE SCOPE OF AN
9	ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW IS ONLY WITHIN MONTANA'S BORDERS; PROVIDING THE REMEDY FOR
10	FAILURE BY AN AGENCY TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MONTANA ENVIRONMENTAL
11	POLICY ACT; REVISING THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FEE ASSESSMENT; AMENDING SECTIONS
12	75-1-102, 75-1-201, <u>75-1-203,</u> 75-1-208, AND 75-1-220, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE
13	DATE AND AN APPLICABILITY DATE EFFECTIVE DATES, AN APPLICABILITY DATE, AND A CONTINGENT
14	TERMINATION DATE."
15	
16	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
17	
18	Section 1. Section 75-1-102, MCA, is amended to read:
19	"75-1-102. Intent purpose. (1) The legislature, mindful of its constitutional obligations under Article
20	II, section 3, and Article IX of the Montana constitution, has enacted the Montana Environmental Policy Act. The
21	Montana Environmental Policy Act is procedural, and it is the legislature's intent that the requirements of parts
22	1 through 3 of this chapter provide for the adequate review of state actions in order to ensure that:
23	(a) environmental attributes are fully considered by the legislature in enacting laws to fulfill constitutional
24	obligations; and
25	(b) the public is informed of the anticipated impacts in Montana of potential state actions.
26	(2) The purpose of parts 1 through 3 of this chapter is to declare a state policy that will encourage
27	productive and enjoyable harmony between humans and their environment, to protect the right to use and enjoy
28	private property free of undue government regulation, to promote efforts that will prevent, mitigate, or eliminate
29	damage to the environment and biosphere and stimulate the health and welfare of humans, to enrich the
30	understanding of the ecological systems and natural resources important to the state, and to establish an

1 environmental quality council.

(3) (a) The purpose of requiring an environmental assessment and an environmental impact statement under part 2 of this chapter is to assist the legislature in determining whether laws are adequate to address impacts to Montana's environment and to inform the public AND PUBLIC OFFICIALS of potential impacts resulting from decisions made by state agencies.

(b) Except to the extent that an applicant agrees to the incorporation of measures in a permit pursuant to 75-1-201(6)(b), it is not the purpose of parts 1 through 3 of this chapter to provide for regulatory authority, beyond authority explicitly provided for in existing statute, to a state agency."

- Section 2. Section 75-1-201, MCA, is amended to read:
- **"75-1-201. General directions -- environmental impact statements.** (1) The legislature authorizes and directs that, to the fullest extent possible:
 - (a) the policies, regulations, and laws of the state must be interpreted and administered in accordance with the policies set forth in parts 1 through 3;
 - (b) under this part, all agencies of the state, except the legislature and except as provided in subsection (2) subsections (2) and (3), shall:
 - (i) use a systematic, interdisciplinary approach that will ensure:
 - (A) the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts in planning and in decisionmaking <u>for a state-sponsored project</u> that may have an impact on the <u>Montana</u> human environment by projects in Montana; and
 - (B) that in any environmental review that is not subject to subsection (1)(b)(iv), when an agency considers alternatives, the alternative analysis will be in compliance with the provisions of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(II) through (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) and, if requested by the project sponsor or if determined by the agency to be necessary, subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C)(IIV) (1)(b)(iv)(C)(IIII);
 - (ii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that presently unquantified environmental amenities and values may be given appropriate consideration in decisionmaking <u>for state-sponsored projects</u>, along with economic and technical considerations;
 - (iii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that state government actions that may impact the human environment in Montana are evaluated for regulatory restrictions on private property, as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D);



(iv) include in each recommendation or report on proposals for projects, programs, and other major actions of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment <u>in Montana</u> a detailed statement on:

- (A) the environmental impact of the proposed action;
- (B) any adverse environmental effects on Montana's environment that cannot be avoided if the proposal is implemented;
- (C) alternatives to the proposed action. An analysis of any alternative included in the environmental review must comply with the following criteria:
- (I) any alternative proposed must be reasonable, in that the alternative must be achievable under current technology and the alternative must be economically feasible as determined solely by the economic viability for similar projects having similar conditions and physical locations and determined without regard to the economic strength of the specific project sponsor;
- (II) the agency proposing the alternative shall consult with the project sponsor regarding any proposed alternative, and the agency shall give due weight and consideration to the project sponsor's comments regarding the proposed alternative;
- (1)(b)(iv)(C)(l), the project sponsor may request a review by the appropriate board, if any, of the agency's determination regarding the reasonableness of the alternative. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue. The agency may not charge the project sponsor for any of its activities associated with any review under this section. The period of time between the request for a review and completion of a review under this subsection may not be included for the purposes of determining compliance with the time limits established for environmental review in 75-1-208.
- (IV)(III) the agency shall complete a meaningful no-action alternative analysis. The no-action alternative analysis must include the projected beneficial and adverse environmental, social, and economic impact of the project's noncompletion.
- (D) any regulatory impacts on private property rights, including whether alternatives that reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights have been analyzed. The analysis in this subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D) need not be prepared if the proposed action does not involve the regulation of private property.
 - (E) the relationship between local short-term uses of the Montana human environment and the



1 maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity;

- (F) any irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources that would be involved in the proposed action if it is implemented;
 - (G) the customer fiscal impact analysis, if required by 69-2-216; and
- (H) the details of the beneficial aspects of the proposed project, both short-term and long-term, and the economic advantages and disadvantages of the proposal;
 - (v) in accordance with the criteria set forth in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C), study, develop, and describe appropriate alternatives to recommend courses of action in any proposal that involves unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources; If the alternatives analysis is conducted for a project that is not a state-sponsored project and alternatives are recommended, the project sponsor may volunteer to implement the alternative. Neither the alternatives analysis nor the resulting recommendations bind the project sponsor to take a recommended course of action, but the project sponsor may agree pursuant to subsection (6)(b) to a specific course of action.
 - (vi) recognize the national and potential long-range character of environmental problems impacts in Montana and, when consistent with the policies of the state, lend appropriate support to initiatives, resolutions, and programs designed to maximize national cooperation in anticipating and preventing a decline in the quality of the world Montana's environment;
 - (vii) make available to counties, municipalities, institutions, and individuals advice and information useful in restoring, maintaining, and enhancing the quality of the Montana's environment;
- (viii) initiate and use ecological information in the planning and development of resource-oriented projects; and
 - (ix) assist the <u>legislature and the</u> environmental quality council established by 5-16-101;
- (c) prior to making any detailed statement as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv), the responsible state official shall consult with and obtain the comments of any state agency that has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved in Montana and with any Montana local government, as defined in 7-12-1103, that may be directly impacted by the project. The responsible state official shall also consult with and obtain comments from any state agency in Montana with respect to any regulation of private property involved. Copies of the statement and the comments and views of the appropriate state, federal, and local agencies that are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards must be made available to the governor, the environmental quality council, and the public and must accompany the proposal through the

1 existing agency review processes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 2 (d) a transfer of an ownership interest in a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement for use or permission to act by an agency, either singly or in combination with other state agencies, does not trigger review under subsection (1)(b)(iv) if there is not a material change in terms or conditions of the entitlement or unless otherwise provided by law.
 - (2) An (A) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (2)(B), AN environmental review conducted pursuant to subsection (1) may not include a review of actual or potential impacts beyond Montana's borders. It may not include actual or potential impacts that are regional, national, or global in nature.
 - (B) AN ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (1) MAY INCLUDE A REVIEW OF ACTUAL OR POTENTIAL IMPACTS BEYOND MONTANA'S BORDERS IF IT IS CONDUCTED BY:
 - (I) THE DEPARTMENT OF FISH, WILDLIFE, AND PARKS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISH;
 - (II) AN AGENCY REVIEWING AN APPLICATION FOR A PROJECT THAT IS NOT A STATE-SPONSORED PROJECT TO THE EXTENT THAT THE REVIEW IS REQUIRED BY LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION; OR
 - (III) BY A STATE AGENCY AND A FEDERAL AGENCY TO THE EXTENT THE REVIEW IS REQUIRED BY THE FEDERAL AGENCY.
 - (2)(3) The department of public service regulation, in the exercise of its regulatory authority over rates and charges of railroads, motor carriers, and public utilities, is exempt from the provisions of parts 1 through 3.
 - (3)(4) (a) In any action challenging or seeking review of an agency's decision that a statement pursuant to subsection (1)(b)(iv) is not required or that the statement is inadequate, the burden of proof is on the person challenging the decision. Except as provided in subsection (3)(b), in a challenge to the adequacy of a statement, a court may not consider any issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document or evidence that was not first presented to the agency for the agency's consideration prior to the agency's decision. A court may not set aside the agency's decision unless it finds that there is clear and convincing evidence that the decision was arbitrary or capricious or not in compliance with law. A customer fiscal impact analysis pursuant to 69-2-216 or an allegation that the customer fiscal impact analysis is inadequate may not be used as the basis of any action challenging or seeking review of the agency's decision.
 - (b) When new, material, and significant evidence or issues relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document are presented to the district court that had not previously been presented to the agency for its consideration, the district court shall remand the new evidence or issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document back to the agency for the agency's

consideration and an opportunity to modify its findings of fact and administrative decision environmental review document before the district court considers the evidence or issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document within the administrative record under review. Immaterial or insignificant evidence or issues relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document may not be remanded to the agency. The district court shall review the agency's findings and decision to determine whether they are supported by substantial, credible evidence within the administrative record under review.

- (4)(5) To the extent that the requirements of subsections subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C)(l) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) are inconsistent with federal requirements, the requirements of subsections subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C)(l) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) do not apply to an environmental review that is being prepared by a state agency pursuant to this part and a federal agency pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act or to an environmental review that is being prepared by a state agency to comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act.
- (5)(6) (a) The agency may not withhold, deny, or impose conditions on any permit or other authority to act based on parts 1 through 3 of this chapter.
- (b) Nothing in this subsection (5) (6) prevents a project sponsor and an agency from mutually developing measures that may, at the request of a project sponsor, be incorporated into a permit or other authority to act.
- (c) Parts 1 through 3 of this chapter do not confer authority to an agency that is a project sponsor to modify a proposed project or action.
- (6)(7) (a) (i) A challenge to an agency action under this part may only be brought against a final agency action and may only be brought in district court or in federal court, whichever is appropriate.
- (ii) Any action or proceeding challenging a final agency action alleging failure to comply with or inadequate compliance with a requirement under this part must be brought within 60 days of the action that is the subject of the challenge.
- (iii) For an action taken by the board of land commissioners or the department of natural resources and conservation under Title 77, "final agency action" means the date that the board of land commissioners or the department of natural resources and conservation issues a final environmental review document under this part or the date that the board approves the action that is subject to this part, whichever is later.
- (b) Any action or proceeding under subsection (6)(a)(ii) (7)(a)(ii) must take precedence over other cases or matters in the district court unless otherwise provided by law.
- (c) Any judicial action or proceeding brought in district court under subsection (6)(a) (7)(a) involving an equine slaughter or processing facility must comply with 81-9-240 and 81-9-241.



(d) The remedy in any action brought for failure to comply with or for inadequate compliance with a requirement of parts 1 through 3 of this chapter is limited to remand to the agency to correct deficiencies in the environmental review conducted pursuant to subsection (1).

- (e) A permit, license, lease, or other authorization issued by an agency is valid and may not be enjoined, voided, nullified, revoked, modified, or suspended pending the completion of an environmental review that may be remanded by a court.
- (7)(8) The director of the agency responsible for the determination or recommendation shall endorse in writing any determination of significance made under subsection (1)(b)(iv) or any recommendation that a determination of significance be made.
- (8)(9) A project sponsor may request a review of the significance determination or recommendation made under subsection (7) (8) by the appropriate board, if any. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue. The period of time between the request for a review and completion of a review under this subsection may not be included for the purposes of determining compliance with the time limits established for environmental review in 75-1-208."

SECTION 3. SECTION 75-1-203, MCA, IS AMENDED TO READ:

- "75-1-203. Fee schedule -- maximums. (1) In prescribing fees to be assessed against applicants for a lease, permit, contract, license, or certificate as specified in 75-1-202, an agency may adopt a fee schedule that may be adjusted depending upon the size and complexity of the proposed project. A fee may not be assessed unless the application for a lease, permit, contract, license, or certificate will result in the agency incurring expenses in excess of \$2,500 \$2,501 to compile an environmental impact statement.
- (2) The maximum fee that may be imposed by an agency may not exceed 2% of any estimated cost up to \$1 million, plus 1% of any estimated cost over \$1 million and up to \$20 million, plus 1/2 of 1% of any estimated cost over \$20 million and up to \$100 million, plus 1/4 of 1% of any estimated cost over \$100 million and up to \$300 million, plus 1/8 of 1% of any estimated cost in excess of \$300 million.
- (3) If an application consists of two or more facilities, the filing fee must be based on the total estimated cost of the combined facilities. The estimated cost must be determined by the agency and the applicant at the time the application is filed.
- (4) Each agency shall review and revise its rules imposing fees as authorized by this part at least every
 2 years.



(5) In calculating fees under this section, the agency may not include in the estimated project cost the project sponsor's property or other interests already owned by the project sponsor at the time the application is submitted. Any fee assessed may be based only on the projected cost of acquiring all of the information and data needed for the environmental impact statement."

- **Section 4.** Section 75-1-208, MCA, is amended to read:
- "75-1-208. Environmental review procedure. (1) (a) Except as provided in 75-1-205(4) and subsection (1)(b) of this section, an agency shall comply with this section when completing any environmental review required under this part.
- (b) To the extent that the requirements of this section are inconsistent with federal requirements, the requirements of this section do not apply to an environmental review that is being prepared jointly by a state agency pursuant to this part and a federal agency pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act or to an environmental review that must comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act.
- (2) A project sponsor may, after providing a 30-day notice, appear before the environmental quality council at any regularly scheduled meeting to discuss issues regarding the agency's environmental review of the project. The environmental quality council shall ensure that the appropriate agency personnel are available to answer questions.
- (3) If a project sponsor experiences problems in dealing with the agency or any consultant hired by the agency regarding an environmental review, the project sponsor may submit a written request to the agency director requesting a meeting to discuss the issues. The written request must sufficiently state the issues to allow the agency to prepare for the meeting. If the issues remain unresolved after the meeting with the agency director, the project sponsor may submit a written request to appear before the appropriate board, if any, to discuss the remaining issues. A written request to the appropriate board must sufficiently state the issues to allow the agency and the board to prepare for the meeting.
- (4) (a) Subject to the requirements of subsection (5), to ensure a timely completion of the environmental review process, an agency is subject to the time limits listed in this subsection (4) unless other time limits are provided by law. All time limits are measured from the date the agency receives a complete application. An agency has:
 - (i) 60 days to complete a public scoping process, if any;
 - (ii) 90 days to complete an environmental review unless a detailed statement pursuant to



1 75-1-201(1)(b)(iv) or 75-1-205(4) is required; and

- 2 (iii) 180 days to complete a detailed statement pursuant to 75-1-201(1)(b)(iv).
 - (b) The period of time between the request for a review by a board and the completion of a review by a board under 75-1-201(1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) or (8) <u>75-1-201(9)</u> or subsection (10) of this section may not be included for the purposes of determining compliance with the time limits established for conducting an environmental review under this subsection or the time limits established for permitting in 75-2-211, 75-2-218, 75-20-216, 75-20-231, 76-4-125, 82-4-122, 82-4-231, 82-4-337, and 82-4-432.
 - (5) An agency may extend the time limits in subsection (4) by notifying the project sponsor in writing that an extension is necessary and stating the basis for the extension. The agency may extend the time limit one time, and the extension may not exceed 50% of the original time period as listed in subsection (4). After one extension, the agency may not extend the time limit unless the agency and the project sponsor mutually agree to the extension.
 - (6) If the project sponsor disagrees with the need for the extension, the project sponsor may request that the appropriate board, if any, conduct a review of the agency's decision to extend the time period. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue.
 - (7) (a) Except as provided in subsection (7)(b), if an agency has not completed the environmental review by the expiration of the original or extended time period, the agency may not withhold a permit or other authority to act unless the agency makes a written finding that there is a likelihood that permit issuance or other approval to act would result in the violation of a statutory or regulatory requirement.
 - (b) Subsection (7)(a) does not apply to a permit granted under Title 75, chapter 2, or under Title 82, chapter 4, parts 1 and 2.
 - (8) Under this part, an agency may only request that information from the project sponsor that is relevant to the environmental review required under this part.
 - (9) An agency shall ensure that the notification for any public scoping process associated with an environmental review conducted by the agency is presented in an objective and neutral manner and that the notification does not speculate on the potential impacts of the project.
 - (10) An agency may not require the project sponsor to provide engineering designs in greater detail than that necessary to fairly evaluate the proposed project. The project sponsor may request that the appropriate board, if any, review an agency's request regarding the level of design detail information that the agency believes is necessary to conduct the environmental review. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an



- 1 advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue.
- 2 (11) An agency shall, when appropriate, consider evaluate the cumulative impacts of a proposed project.
- 3 However, related future actions may only be considered when these actions are under concurrent consideration
- 4 by any agency through preimpact statement studies, separate impact statement evaluations, or permit processing
- 5 procedures."

6 7

9

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- **Section 5.** Section 75-1-220, MCA, is amended to read:
- 8 **"75-1-220. Definitions.** For the purposes of this part, the following definitions apply:
 - (1) "Alternatives analysis" means an evaluation of different parameters, mitigation measures, or control

For a project that is not a state-sponsored project, it does not include an alternative facility or an alternative to

- measures that would accomplish the same objectives as those included in the proposed action by the applicant.
- 12 the proposed project itself. The TERM INCLUDES ALTERNATIVES REQUIRED PURSUANT TO TITLE 75, CHAPTER 20.
- 13 (1)(2) "Appropriate board" means, for administrative actions taken under this part by the:
- 14 (a) department of environmental quality, the board of environmental review, as provided for in 2-15-3502;
- (b) department of fish, wildlife, and parks, the fish, wildlife, and parks commission, as provided for in2-15-3402;
- 17 (c) department of transportation, the transportation commission, as provided for in 2-15-2502;
 - (d) department of natural resources and conservation for state trust land issues, the board of land commissioners, as provided for in Article X, section 4, of the Montana constitution;
 - (e) department of natural resources and conservation for oil and gas issues, the board of oil and gas conservation, as provided for in 2-15-3303; and
 - (f) department of livestock, the board of livestock, as provided for in 2-15-3102.
 - (2)(3) "Complete application" means, for the purpose of complying with this part, an application for a permit, license, or other authorization that contains all data, studies, plans, information, forms, fees, and signatures required to be included with the application sufficient for the agency to approve the application under the applicable statutes and rules.
 - (3)(4) "Cumulative impacts" means the collective impacts on the human environment within the borders of Montana of the proposed action when considered in conjunction with other past, present, and future actions related to the proposed action by location or generic type.
- 30 (4)(5) "Environmental review" means any environmental assessment, environmental impact statement,

or other written analysis required under this part by a state agency of a proposed action to determine, examine, 1 2 or document the effects and impacts of the proposed action on the quality of the human and physical environment 3 within the borders of Montana as required under this part. 4 (5)(6) "Project sponsor" means any applicant, owner, operator, agency, or other entity that is proposing 5 an action that requires an environmental review. If the action involves state agency-initiated actions on state trust 6 lands, the term also includes each institutional beneficiary of any trust as described in The Enabling Act of 7 Congress (approved February 22, 1899, 25 Stat. 676), as amended, the Morrill Act of 1862 (7 U.S.C. 301 through 8 308), and the Morrill Act of 1890 (7 U.S.C. 321 through 329). 9 (6)(7) "Public scoping process" means any process to determine the scope of an environmental review. 10 (8) (a) "State-sponsored project" means: 11 (i) a project, program, or activity initiated and directly undertaken by a state agency; 12 (ii) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (8)(B)(I)(D), a project or activity supported through a contract, grant, 13 subsidy, loan, or other form of funding assistance from a state agency, either singly or in combination with one 14 or more other state agencies; or 15 (iii) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (8)(B)(I)(D), a project or activity authorized by a state agency acting 16 in a land management capacity for a lease, easement, license, or other authorization to act. 17 (b) The term does not include: 18 (i) A PROJECT OR ACTIVITY UNDERTAKEN BY A PRIVATE ENTITY THAT IS MADE POSSIBLE BY the issuance of 19 permits, licenses, LEASES, EASEMENTS, GRANTS, LOANS, or other authorizations to act by the: 20 (A) department of environmental quality pursuant to Titles 75, 76, or 82; 21 (B) department of fish, wildlife, and parks pursuant to Title 87, chapter 4, part 4; 22 (C) board of oil and gas conservation pursuant to Title 82, chapter 11; or 23 (D) department of natural resources and conservation OR THE BOARD OF LAND COMMISSIONERS pursuant

2728

29

30

24

25

26

COORDINATION SECTION. Section 6. Coordination instruction. If [this act] is passed and approved and Senate Bill No. 317 is not passed and approved or if both [this act] and Senate Bill No. 317

permission to act by another agency acting in a regulatory capacity, either singly or in combination with other

(ii) a project or activity involving the issuance of a permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement for



state agencies."

to Title TITLES 76, 77, 82, AND 85; or

1 ARE PASSED AND APPROVED, THEN SENATE BILL NO. 317 IS VOID, THE AMENDMENTS TO 75-1-201 CONTAINED IN 2 [SECTION 2 OF THIS ACT] ARE VOID, AND 75-1-201 MUST BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

- "75-1-201. General directions -- environmental impact statements. (1) The legislature authorizes and directs that, to the fullest extent possible:
- (a) the policies, regulations, and laws of the state must be interpreted and administered in accordance with the policies set forth in parts 1 through 3;
- (b) under this part, all agencies of the state, except the legislature and except as provided in subsection (2) subsections (2) and (3), shall:
 - (i) use a systematic, interdisciplinary approach that will ensure:
- (A) the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts in planning and in decisionmaking for a state-sponsored project that may have an impact on the Montana human environment by projects in Montana; and
- (B) that in any environmental review that is not subject to subsection (1)(b)(iv), when an agency considers alternatives, the alternative analysis will be in compliance with the provisions of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(II) through (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) and, if requested by the project sponsor or if determined by the agency to be necessary, subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C)(IV) (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III);
- (ii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that presently unquantified environmental amenities and values may be given appropriate consideration in decisionmaking <u>for state-sponsored projects</u>, along with economic and technical considerations;
- (iii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that state government actions that may impact the human environment in Montana are evaluated for regulatory restrictions on private property, as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D);
- (iv) include in each recommendation or report on proposals for projects, programs, and other major actions of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment <u>in Montana</u> a detailed statement on:
 - (A) the environmental impact of the proposed action;
- 27 (B) any adverse environmental effects on Montana's environment that cannot be avoided if the proposal 28 is implemented;
- 29 (C) alternatives to the proposed action. An analysis of any alternative included in the environmental review must comply with the following criteria:



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(I) any alternative proposed must be reasonable, in that the alternative must be achievable under current technology and the alternative must be economically feasible as determined solely by the economic viability for similar projects having similar conditions and physical locations and determined without regard to the economic strength of the specific project sponsor;

(II) the agency proposing the alternative shall consult with the project sponsor regarding any proposed alternative, and the agency shall give due weight and consideration to the project sponsor's comments regarding the proposed alternative;

(1)(b)(iv)(C)(I), the project sponsor may request a review by the appropriate board, if any, of the agency's determination regarding the reasonableness of the alternative. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue. The agency may not charge the project sponsor for any of its activities associated with any review under this section. The period of time between the request for a review and completion of a review under this subsection may not be included for the purposes of determining compliance with the time limits established for environmental review in 75-1-208.

(IV)(III) the agency shall complete a meaningful no-action alternative analysis. The no-action alternative analysis must include the projected beneficial and adverse environmental, social, and economic impact of the project's noncompletion.

- (D) any regulatory impacts on private property rights, including whether alternatives that reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights have been analyzed. The analysis in this subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D) need not be prepared if the proposed action does not involve the regulation of private property.
- (E) the relationship between local short-term uses of the <u>Montana</u> human environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity;
- (F) any irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources that would be involved in the proposed action if it is implemented;
 - (G) the customer fiscal impact analysis, if required by 69-2-216; and
- (H) the details of the beneficial aspects of the proposed project, both short-term and long-term, and the economic advantages and disadvantages of the proposal;
- (v) in accordance with the criteria set forth in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C), study, develop, and describe appropriate alternatives to recommend courses of action in any proposal that involves unresolved conflicts



concerning alternative uses of available resources;. If the alternatives analysis is conducted for a project that is
not a state-sponsored project and alternatives are recommended, the project sponsor may volunteer to implement
the alternative. Neither the alternatives analysis nor the resulting recommendations bind the project sponsor to
take a recommended course of action, but the project sponsor may agree pursuant to subsection (4)(b) to a
specific course of action.

- (vi) recognize the national and potential long-range character of environmental problems impacts in Montana and, when consistent with the policies of the state, lend appropriate support to initiatives, resolutions, and programs designed to maximize national cooperation in anticipating and preventing a decline in the quality of the world Montana's environment;
- (vii) make available to counties, municipalities, institutions, and individuals advice and information useful in restoring, maintaining, and enhancing the quality of the Montana's environment;
- (viii) initiate and use ecological information in the planning and development of resource-oriented projects; and
 - (ix) assist the legislature and the environmental quality council established by 5-16-101;
- (c) prior to making any detailed statement as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv), the responsible state official shall consult with and obtain the comments of any state agency that has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved in Montana and with any Montana local government, as defined in 7-12-1103, that may be directly impacted by the project. The responsible state official shall also consult with and obtain comments from any state agency in Montana with respect to any regulation of private property involved. Copies of the statement and the comments and views of the appropriate state, federal, and local agencies that are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards must be made available to the governor, the environmental quality council, and the public and must accompany the proposal through the existing agency review processes.
- (d) a transfer of an ownership interest in a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement for use or permission to act by an agency, either singly or in combination with other state agencies, does not trigger review under subsection (1)(b)(iv) if there is not a material change in terms or conditions of the entitlement or unless otherwise provided by law.
- (2) (a) Except as provided in subsection (2)(b), an environmental review conducted pursuant to subsection (1) may not include a review of actual or potential impacts beyond Montana's borders. It may not include actual or potential impacts that are regional, national, or global in nature.



(b) An environmental review conducted pursuant to subsection (1) may include a review of actual or potential impacts beyond Montana's borders if it is conducted by:

- (i) the department of fish, wildlife, and parks for the management of wildlife and fish;
- (ii) an agency reviewing an application for a project that is not a state-sponsored project to the extent that the review is required by law, rule, or regulation; or
 - (iii) a state agency and a federal agency to the extent the review is required by the federal agency.
- (2)(3) The department of public service regulation, in the exercise of its regulatory authority over rates and charges of railroads, motor carriers, and public utilities, is exempt from the provisions of parts 1 through 3.
- (3) (a) In any action challenging or seeking review of an agency's decision that a statement pursuant to subsection (1)(b)(iv) is not required or that the statement is inadequate, the burden of proof is on the person challenging the decision. Except as provided in subsection (3)(b), in a challenge to the adequacy of a statement, a court may not consider any issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document or evidence that was not first presented to the agency for the agency's consideration prior to the agency's decision. A court may not set aside the agency's decision unless it finds that there is clear and convincing evidence that the decision was arbitrary or capricious or not in compliance with law. A customer fiscal impact analysis pursuant to 69-2-216 or an allegation that the customer fiscal impact analysis is inadequate may not be used as the basis of any action challenging or seeking review of the agency's decision.
- (b) When new, material, and significant evidence or issues relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document are presented to the district court that had not previously been presented to the agency for its consideration, the district court shall remand the new evidence or issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document back to the agency for the agency's consideration and an opportunity to modify its findings of fact and administrative decision before the district court considers the evidence or issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document within the administrative record under review. Immaterial or insignificant evidence or issues relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document may not be remanded to the agency. The district court shall review the agency's findings and decision to determine whether they are supported by substantial, credible evidence within the administrative record under review.
- (4) To the extent that the requirements of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) are inconsistent with federal requirements, the requirements of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) do not apply to an environmental review that is being prepared by a state agency pursuant to this part and a federal agency



pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act or to an environmental review that is being prepared by a state
 agency to comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act.

- (5)(4) (a) The agency may not withhold, deny, or impose conditions on any permit or other authority to act based on parts 1 through 3 of this chapter.
- (b) Nothing in this subsection (5) (4) prevents a project sponsor and an agency from mutually developing measures that may, at the request of a project sponsor, be incorporated into a permit or other authority to act.
- (c) Parts 1 through 3 of this chapter do not confer authority to an agency that is a project sponsor to modify a proposed project or action.
- (6)(5) (a) (i) A challenge to an agency action under this part may only be brought against a final agency action and may only be brought in district court or in federal court, whichever is appropriate.
- (ii) Any action or proceeding challenging a final agency action alleging failure to comply with or inadequate compliance with a requirement under this part must be brought within 60 days of the action that is the subject of the challenge.
- (iii) For an action taken by the board of land commissioners or the department of natural resources and conservation under Title 77, "final agency action" means the date that the board of land commissioners or the department of natural resources and conservation issues a final environmental review document under this part or the date that the board approves the action that is subject to this part, whichever is later.
- (b) Any action or proceeding under subsection (6)(a)(ii) (5)(a)(ii) must take precedence over other cases or matters in the district court unless otherwise provided by law.
- (c) Any judicial action or proceeding brought in district court under subsection (6)(a) (5)(a) involving an equine slaughter or processing facility must comply with 81-9-240 and 81-9-241.
- (6) (a) (i) In an action alleging noncompliance or inadequate compliance with a requirement of parts 1 through 3, including a challenge to an agency's decision that an environmental review is not required or a claim that the environmental review is inadequate, the agency shall compile and submit to the court the certified record of its decision at issue, and except as provided in subsection (6)(b), the person challenging the decision has the burden of proving the claim by clear and convincing evidence contained in the record.
- (ii) Except as provided in subsection (6)(b), in a challenge to the agency's decision or the adequacy of an environmental review, a court may not consider any information, including but not limited to an issue, comment, argument, proposed alternative, analysis, or evidence, that was not first presented to the agency for the agency's consideration prior to the agency's decision or within the time allowed for comments to be submitted.



(iii) Except as provided in subsection (6)(b), the court shall confine its review to the record certified by the agency. The court shall affirm the agency's decision or the environmental review unless the court specifically finds that the agency's decision was arbitrary and capricious or was otherwise not in accordance with law.

(iv) A customer fiscal impact analysis pursuant to 69-2-216 or an allegation that the customer fiscal impact analysis is inadequate may not be used as the basis of an action challenging or seeking review of the agency's decision.

(b) (i) When a party challenging the decision or the adequacy of the environmental review or decision presents information not in the record certified by the agency, the challenging party shall certify under oath in an affidavit that the information is new, material, and significant evidence that was not publicly available before the agency's decision and that is relevant to the decision or the adequacy of the agency's environmental review.

(ii) If upon reviewing the affidavit the court finds that the proffered information is new, material, and significant evidence that was not publicly available before the agency's decision and that is relevant to the decision or to the adequacy of the agency's environmental review, the court shall remand the new evidence to the agency for the agency's consideration and an opportunity to modify its decision or environmental review before the court considers the evidence as a part of the administrative record under review.

(iii) If the court finds that the information in the affidavit does not meet the requirements of subsection (6)(b)(i), the court may not remand the matter to the agency or consider the proffered information in making its decision.

(c) The remedy in any action brought for failure to comply with or for inadequate compliance with a requirement of parts 1 through 3 of this chapter is limited to remand to the agency to correct deficiencies in the environmental review conducted pursuant to subsection (1).

(d) A permit, license, lease, or other authorization issued by an agency is valid and may not be enjoined, voided, nullified, revoked, modified, or suspended pending the completion of an environmental review that may be remanded by a court.

(e) An individual or entity seeking a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement or authority to act may intervene in a lawsuit in court challenging a decision or statement by a department or agency of the state as a matter of right if the individual or entity has not been named as a defendant.

(f) Attorney fees or costs may not be awarded to the prevailing party in an action alleging noncompliance or inadequate compliance with a requirement of parts 1 through 3.

(7) For purposes of judicial review, to the extent that the requirements of this section are inconsistent with



1 the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, the requirements of this section apply to an environmental

- 2 review or any severable portion of an environmental review within the state's jurisdiction that is being prepared
- 3 by a state agency pursuant to this part in conjunction with a federal agency proceeding pursuant to the National
- 4 Environmental Policy Act.
 - (7)(8) The director of the agency responsible for the determination or recommendation shall endorse in writing any determination of significance made under subsection (1)(b)(iv) or any recommendation that a determination of significance be made.
 - (8)(9) A project sponsor may request a review of the significance determination or recommendation made under subsection (7) (8) by the appropriate board, if any. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue. The period of time between the request for a review and completion of a review under this subsection may not be included for the purposes of determining compliance with the time limits established for environmental review in 75-1-208."

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- COORDINATION SECTION. Section 7. Coordination instruction. If [This act] is passed and approved and Senate Bill No. 317 is not passed and approved or if both [This act] and Senate Bill No. 317 are passed and approved, then Senate Bill No. 317 is void, the amendments to 75-1-201 contained in [Section 2 of this act] are void, and 75-1-201 must be amended as follows:
- "**75-1-201. General directions -- environmental impact statements.** (1) The legislature authorizes and directs that, to the fullest extent possible:
- (a) the policies, regulations, and laws of the state must be interpreted and administered in accordance with the policies set forth in parts 1 through 3;
- (b) under this part, all agencies of the state, except the legislature and except as provided in subsection (2) subsections (2) and (3), shall:
 - (i) use a systematic, interdisciplinary approach that will ensure:
- (A) the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts in planning and in decisionmaking <u>for a state-sponsored project</u> that may have an impact on the <u>Montana</u> human environment <u>by projects in Montana</u>; and
- (B) that in any environmental review that is not subject to subsection (1)(b)(iv), when an agency considers alternatives, the alternative analysis will be in compliance with the provisions of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I) through (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) and, if requested by the project sponsor or if

- determined by the agency to be necessary, subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C)(IV) (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III);
 - (ii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that presently unquantified environmental amenities and values may be given appropriate consideration in decisionmaking <u>for</u> state-sponsored projects, along with economic and technical considerations;
 - (iii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that state government actions that may impact the human environment in Montana are evaluated for regulatory restrictions on private property, as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D);
 - (iv) include in each recommendation or report on proposals for projects, programs, and other major actions of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment <u>in Montana</u> a detailed statement on:
 - (A) the environmental impact of the proposed action;
 - (B) any adverse environmental effects on Montana's environment that cannot be avoided if the proposal is implemented;
 - (C) alternatives to the proposed action. An analysis of any alternative included in the environmental review must comply with the following criteria:
 - (I) any alternative proposed must be reasonable, in that the alternative must be achievable under current technology and the alternative must be economically feasible as determined solely by the economic viability for similar projects having similar conditions and physical locations and determined without regard to the economic strength of the specific project sponsor;
 - (II) the agency proposing the alternative shall consult with the project sponsor regarding any proposed alternative, and the agency shall give due weight and consideration to the project sponsor's comments regarding the proposed alternative;
 - (III) if the project sponsor believes that an alternative is not reasonable as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I), the project sponsor may request a review by the appropriate board, if any, of the agency's determination regarding the reasonableness of the alternative. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue. The agency may not charge the project sponsor for any of its activities associated with any review under this section. The period of time between the request for a review and completion of a review under this subsection may not be included for the purposes of determining compliance with the time limits established for environmental review in 75-1-208.
 - (IV) (III) the agency shall complete a meaningful no-action alternative analysis. The no-action alternative



analysis must include the projected beneficial and adverse environmental, social, and economic impact of the project's noncompletion.

- (D) any regulatory impacts on private property rights, including whether alternatives that reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights have been analyzed. The analysis in this subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D) need not be prepared if the proposed action does not involve the regulation of private property.
- (E) the relationship between local short-term uses of the <u>Montana</u> human environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity;
- (F) any irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources that would be involved in the proposed action if it is implemented;
 - (G) the customer fiscal impact analysis, if required by 69-2-216; and
- (H) the details of the beneficial aspects of the proposed project, both short-term and long-term, and the economic advantages and disadvantages of the proposal;
- (v) in accordance with the criteria set forth in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C), study, develop, and describe appropriate alternatives to recommend courses of action in any proposal that involves unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources;. If the alternatives analysis is conducted for a project that is not a state-sponsored project and alternatives are recommended, the project sponsor may volunteer to implement the alternative. Neither the alternatives analysis nor the resulting recommendations bind the project sponsor to take a recommended course of action, but the project sponsor may agree pursuant to subsection (4)(b) to a specific course of action.
- (vi) recognize the national and potential long-range character of environmental problems impacts in Montana and, when consistent with the policies of the state, lend appropriate support to initiatives, resolutions, and programs designed to maximize national cooperation in anticipating and preventing a decline in the quality of the world Montana's environment;
- (vii) make available to counties, municipalities, institutions, and individuals advice and information useful in restoring, maintaining, and enhancing the quality of the Montana's environment;
- (viii) initiate and use ecological information in the planning and development of resource-orientedprojects; and
 - (ix) assist the legislature and the environmental quality council established by 5-16-101;
 - (c) prior to making any detailed statement as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv), the responsible state



official shall consult with and obtain the comments of any state agency that has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved in Montana and with any Montana local government, as defined in 7-12-1103, that may be directly impacted by the project. The responsible state official shall also consult with and obtain comments from any state agency in Montana with respect to any regulation of private property involved. Copies of the statement and the comments and views of the appropriate state, federal, and local agencies that are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards must be made available to the governor, the environmental quality council, and the public and must accompany the proposal through the existing agency review processes.

- (d) a transfer of an ownership interest in a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement for use or permission to act by an agency, either singly or in combination with other state agencies, does not trigger review under subsection (1)(b)(iv) if there is not a material change in terms or conditions of the entitlement or unless otherwise provided by law.
- (2) (a) Except as provided in subsection (2)(b), an environmental review conducted pursuant to subsection (1) may not include a review of actual or potential impacts beyond Montana's borders. It may not include actual or potential impacts that are regional, national, or global in nature.
- (b) An environmental review conducted pursuant to subsection (1) may include a review of actual or potential impacts beyond Montana's borders if it is conducted by:
 - (i) the department of fish, wildlife, and parks for the management of wildlife and fish;
- (ii) an agency reviewing an application for a project that is not a state-sponsored project to the extent that the review is required by law, rule, or regulation; or
 - (iii) a state agency and a federal agency to the extent the review is required by the federal agency.
- (2)(3) The department of public service regulation, in the exercise of its regulatory authority over rates and charges of railroads, motor carriers, and public utilities, is exempt from the provisions of parts 1 through 3.
- (3) (a) In any action challenging or seeking review of an agency's decision that a statement pursuant to subsection (1)(b)(iv) is not required or that the statement is inadequate, the burden of proof is on the person challenging the decision. Except as provided in subsection (3)(b), in a challenge to the adequacy of a statement, a court may not consider any issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document or evidence that was not first presented to the agency for the agency's consideration prior to the agency's decision. A court may not set aside the agency's decision unless it finds that there is clear and convincing evidence that the decision was arbitrary or capricious or not in compliance with law. A customer fiscal

impact analysis pursuant to 69-2-216 or an allegation that the customer fiscal impact analysis is inadequate may
 not be used as the basis of any action challenging or seeking review of the agency's decision.

(b) When new, material, and significant evidence or issues relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document are presented to the district court that had not previously been presented to the agency for its consideration, the district court shall remand the new evidence or issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document back to the agency for the agency's consideration and an opportunity to modify its findings of fact and administrative decision before the district court considers the evidence or issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document within the administrative record under review. Immaterial or insignificant evidence or issues relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document may not be remanded to the agency. The district court shall review the agency's findings and decision to determine whether they are supported by substantial, credible evidence within the administrative record under review.

(4) To the extent that the requirements of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) are inconsistent with federal requirements, the requirements of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) do not apply to an environmental review that is being prepared by a state agency pursuant to this part and a federal agency pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act or to an environmental review that is being prepared by a state agency to comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act.

- (5)(4) (a) The agency may not withhold, deny, or impose conditions on any permit or other authority to act based on parts 1 through 3 of this chapter.
- (b) Nothing in this subsection (5) (4) prevents a project sponsor and an agency from mutually developing measures that may, at the request of a project sponsor, be incorporated into a permit or other authority to act.
- (c) Parts 1 through 3 of this chapter do not confer authority to an agency that is a project sponsor to modify a proposed project or action.
- (6)(5) (a) (i) A challenge to an agency action under this part may only be brought against a final agency action and may only be brought in district court or in federal court, whichever is appropriate.
- (ii) Any action or proceeding challenging a final agency action alleging failure to comply with or inadequate compliance with a requirement under this part must be brought within 60 days of the action that is the subject of the challenge.
- (iii) For an action taken by the board of land commissioners or the department of natural resources and conservation under Title 77, "final agency action" means the date that the board of land commissioners or the



department of natural resources and conservation issues a final environmental review document under this part or the date that the board approves the action that is subject to this part, whichever is later.

- (b) Any action or proceeding under subsection (6)(a)(ii) (5)(a)(ii) must take precedence over other cases or matters in the district court unless otherwise provided by law.
- (c) Any judicial action or proceeding brought in district court under subsection (6)(a) (5)(a) involving an equine slaughter or processing facility must comply with 81-9-240 and 81-9-241.
- (6) (a) (i) In an action alleging noncompliance or inadequate compliance with a requirement of parts 1 through 3, including a challenge to an agency's decision that an environmental review is not required or a claim that the environmental review is inadequate, the agency shall compile and submit to the court the certified record of its decision at issue, and except as provided in subsection (6)(b), the person challenging the decision has the burden of proving the claim by clear and convincing evidence contained in the record.
- (ii) Except as provided in subsection (6)(b), in a challenge to the agency's decision or the adequacy of an environmental review, a court may not consider any information, including but not limited to an issue, comment, argument, proposed alternative, analysis, or evidence, that was not first presented to the agency for the agency's consideration prior to the agency's decision or within the time allowed for comments to be submitted.
- (iii) Except as provided in subsection (6)(b), the court shall confine its review to the record certified by the agency. The court shall affirm the agency's decision or the environmental review unless the court specifically finds that the agency's decision was arbitrary and capricious or was otherwise not in accordance with law.
- (iv) A customer fiscal impact analysis pursuant to 69-2-216 or an allegation that the customer fiscal impact analysis is inadequate may not be used as the basis of an action challenging or seeking review of the agency's decision.
- (b) (i) When a party challenging the decision or the adequacy of the environmental review or decision presents information not in the record certified by the agency, the challenging party shall certify under oath in an affidavit that the information is new, material, and significant evidence that was not publicly available before the agency's decision and that is relevant to the decision or the adequacy of the agency's environmental review.
- (ii) If upon reviewing the affidavit the court finds that the proffered information is new, material, and significant evidence that was not publicly available before the agency's decision and that is relevant to the decision or to the adequacy of the agency's environmental review, the court shall remand the new evidence to the agency for the agency's consideration and an opportunity to modify its decision or environmental review before the court considers the evidence as a part of the administrative record under review.



(iii) If the court finds that the information in the affidavit does not meet the requirements of subsection (6)(b)(i), the court may not remand the matter to the agency or consider the proffered information in making its decision.

(c) (i) The remedies provided in this section for successful challenges to a decision of the agency or the adequacy of the statement are exclusive.

(ii) Notwithstanding the provisions of 27-19-201 and 27-19-314, a court having considered the pleadings of parties and intervenors opposing a request for a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, permanent injunction, or other equitable relief may not enjoin the issuance or effectiveness of a license or permit or a part of a license or permit issued pursuant to Title 75 or Title 82 unless the court specifically finds that the party requesting the relief is more likely than not to prevail on the merits of its complaint given the uncontroverted facts in the record and applicable law and, in the absence of a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, a permanent injunction, or other equitable relief, that the:

(A) party requesting the relief will suffer irreparable harm in the absence of the relief;

(B) issuance of the relief is in the public interest. In determining whether the grant of the relief is in the public interest, a court:

(I) may not consider the legal nature or character of any party; and

(II) shall consider the implications of the relief on the local and state economy and make written findings with respect to both.

(C) relief is as narrowly tailored as the facts allow to address both the alleged noncompliance and the irreparable harm the party asking for the relief will suffer. In tailoring the relief, the court shall ensure, to the extent possible, that the project or as much of the project as possible can go forward while also providing the relief to which the applicant has been determined to be entitled.

(d) The court may issue a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, permanent injunction, or other injunctive relief only if the party seeking the relief provides a written undertaking to the court in an amount reasonably calculated by the court as adequate to pay the costs and damages sustained by any party that may be found to have been wrongfully enjoined or restrained by a court through a subsequent judicial decision in the case. If the party seeking an injunction or a temporary restraining order objects to the amount of the written undertaking for any reason, including but not limited to its asserted inability to pay, that party shall file an affidavit with the court that states the party's income, assets, and liabilities in order to facilitate the court's consideration of the amount of the written undertaking that is required. The affidavit must be served on the party enjoined.



1 (e) An individual or entity seeking a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement or authority to 2 act may intervene in a lawsuit in court challenging a decision or statement by a department or agency of the state 3 as a matter of right if the individual or entity has not been named as a defendant. 4 (f) Attorney fees or costs may not be awarded to the prevailing party in an action alleging noncompliance 5 or inadequate compliance with a requirement of parts 1 through 3. 6 (7) For purposes of judicial review, to the extent that the requirements of this section are inconsistent with 7 the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, the requirements of this section apply to an environmental 8 review or any severable portion of an environmental review within the state's jurisdiction that is being prepared 9 by a state agency pursuant to this part in conjunction with a federal agency proceeding pursuant to the National 10 **Environmental Policy Act.** 11 (7)(8) The director of the agency responsible for the determination or recommendation shall endorse in 12 writing any determination of significance made under subsection (1)(b)(iv) or any recommendation that a 13 determination of significance be made. 14 (8)(9) A project sponsor may request a review of the significance determination or recommendation 15 made under subsection (7) (8) by the appropriate board, if any. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue. The period of time between the request 16 17 for a review and completion of a review under this subsection may not be included for the purposes of 18 determining compliance with the time limits established for environmental review in 75-1-208." 19 20 NEW SECTION. Section 8. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invalid, all valid parts that are severable 21 from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its applications, the part 22 remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid applications. 23 24 NEW SECTION. Section 6. Effective date. [This act] is effective on passage and approval. 25 26 NEW SECTION. Section 9. Effective dates. (1) Except as provided in subsection (2), [this act] is 27 EFFECTIVE ON PASSAGE AND APPROVAL. 28 (2) THE AMENDMENTS TO 75-1-201 CONTAINED IN [SECTION 7] ARE EFFECTIVE ON THE DATE THAT THE 29 CONTINGENCY PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION 11 OCCURS.



1	NEW SECTION. Section 10. Applicability. [This act] applies to an environmental assessment and an
2	environmental impact statement begun on or after [the effective date of this act].
3	
4	NEW SECTION. Section 11. Termination Contingency. IF EITHER SUBSECTION (6)(C) OR (6)(D) OF
5	75-1-201, AS INCLUDED IN [SECTION 6], IS INVALIDATED OR FOUND TO BE UNCONSTITUTIONAL BY THE MONTANA SUPREMENTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
6	COURT, THEN THE AMENDMENTS TO 75-1-201 CONTAINED IN [SECTION 6] TERMINATE ON THE DATE OF THE INVALIDATION
7	OR THE FINDING OF UNCONSTITUTIONALITY.
8	- END -

