62nd Legislature

| 1 | SENATE BILL NO. 237 |
|----|--|
| 2 | INTRODUCED BY R. ARTHUN, WAGNER, ZINKE, CLARK, MURPHY, KERNS, DE. BARRETT, HUTTON, |
| 3 | SALOMON, HARRIS, BROWN, STEINBEISSER, BRENDEN, JONES, R. RIPLEY |
| 4 | |
| 5 | A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT PROVIDING AUTHORITY FOR TESTING AND PREVALENCE |
| 6 | REDUCTION OF BRUCELLOSIS IN LIVESTOCK AND WILDLIFE; ESTABLISHING RESPONSIBILITY FOR |
| 7 | TESTING COSTS; REQUIRING REPORTING; PROVIDING RULEMAKING AUTHORITY; AND PROVIDING AN |
| 8 | IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND A RETROACTIVE APPLICABILITY DATE." |
| 9 | |
| 10 | WHEREAS, brucellosis transmission from wildlife to domestic livestock has been documented in |
| 11 | Montana; and |
| 12 | WHEREAS, the department of livestock has implemented temporary surveillance and testing areas for |
| 13 | cattle exposed to wildlife with brucellosis; and |
| 14 | WHEREAS, during the 2-year period since wildlife transmitted brucellosis to cattle within the designated |
| 15 | testing areas, there have not been any cattle in the temporary surveillance and testing areas found to be carrying |
| 16 | brucellosis; and |
| 17 | WHEREAS, data from the department of fish, wildlife, and parks shows an increase in the prevalence |
| 18 | of brucellosis in wildlife within areas proposed by the department of livestock for continual, long-term testing of |
| 19 | domestic livestock; and |
| 20 | WHEREAS, continual, long-term testing of domestic livestock in areas where there is a prevalence of |
| 21 | brucellosis in wildlife is a burden on livestock producers situated within the areas. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA: |
| 24 | |
| 25 | NEW SECTION. Section 1. Brucellosis testing for livestock and wildlife testing costs |
| 26 | rulemaking. (1) Pursuant to 81-1-302, the administrator provided for in 81-1-301 may, upon notification that |
| 27 | livestock in the state are infected with brucellosis, promulgate orders or regulations for an area in order to enforce |
| 28 | brucellosis surveillance and eradication procedures for livestock. |
| 29 | (2) If the source of brucellosis transmission resulting in an order or regulation promulgated under |
| 30 | subsection (1) is: |

- 1 -

Legislative Services Division

62nd Legislature

| 1 | (a) wild buffalo or bison, the administrator shall enforce the provisions of 81-2-120 in a manner that |
|----|---|
| 2 | ensures that the risk of additional transmission from wild buffalo or bison to livestock is eliminated or minimized |
| 3 | as much as possible; or |
| 4 | (b) from any other species of wildlife, the administrator shall, in coordination with the department of fish, |
| 5 | wildlife, and parks, develop brucellosis surveillance and prevalence reduction procedures for the wildlife species |
| 6 | identified as the source of transmission within the area affected. |
| 7 | (3) The department shall pay testing costs for the surveillance of livestock pursuant to subsection (1). |
| 8 | Per capita funds as prescribed by 15-24-922 may not be used to pay these costs. |
| 9 | (4) The department of fish, wildlife, and parks shall pay testing costs for brucellosis surveillance and |
| 10 | prevalence reduction in wildlife pursuant to subsection (2)(b). |
| 11 | (5) In promulgating any order or regulation under subsection (1), the administrator shall comply with the |
| 12 | provisions of the Montana Administrative Procedure Act if the order or regulation requires testing of livestock for |
| 13 | more than 1 year. |
| 14 | (6) An order or regulation promulgated under subsection (1) must: |
| 15 | (a) expire within 1 year after the last case of brucellosis in livestock is eradicated in the designated area |
| 16 | unless: |
| 17 | (i) after using statistically sound data to evaluate the risk of brucellosis transmission to livestock, the |
| 18 | administrator determines that the order or regulation should continue in order to protect the health of livestock |
| 19 | in the designated area, in which case the order or regulation may be extended for up to 1 year. Subject to the |
| 20 | requirements of this subsection (6)(a), the administrator may grant more than one extension. |
| 21 | (ii) the administrator extends an order or regulation, in which case the administrator shall review the order |
| 22 | or regulation with livestock producers in the designated area every 6 months; |
| 23 | (b) prior to adoption, include public hearings within the area designated in the order or regulation, |
| 24 | including the opportunity to comment by livestock producers affected by the order or regulation. |
| 25 | (7) DURING A REVIEW REQUIRED UNDER SUBSECTION (6)(A)(II), THE ADMINISTRATOR SHALL COMMUNICATE WITH |
| 26 | LIVESTOCK PRODUCERS ABOUT: |
| 27 | (A) ANY NEED FOR CONTINUED TESTING AND SURVEILLANCE OF LIVESTOCK; |
| 28 | (B) ANY CHANGES TO HERD MANAGEMENT PLANS; AND |
| 29 | (C) THE STATUS AND PROGRESS THE DEPARTMENT IS MAKING IN ADDRESSING: |
| 30 | (I) THE SOURCE OF THE DISEASE TRANSMISSION; AND |
| | Legislative Services -2 - Division |

62nd Legislature

| 1 | (II) THE DISEASE IN WILDLIFE. |
|----|--|
| 2 | (7)(8) In promulgating and reviewing an order or regulation under this section, the administrator shall |
| 3 | coordinate with any county livestock protective committees established within the designated area pursuant to |
| 4 | Title 81, chapter 6, part 1, regarding the requirements and duration of any order or regulation. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | NEW SECTION. Section 2. Codification instruction. [Section 1] is intended to be codified as an |
| 7 | integral part of Title 81, chapter 2, part 1, and the provisions of Title 81, chapter 2, part 1, apply to [section 1]. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | NEW SECTION. Section 3. Retroactive applicability. [This act] applies retroactively, within the |
| 10 | meaning of 1-2-109, to any orders or regulations promulgated on or after January 1, 2011. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | NEW SECTION. Section 4. Effective date. [This act] is effective on passage and approval. |
| 13 | - END - |

