

Fiscal Note 2015 Biennium

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

Bill #	# HB0309			Revise supplemental nutrition assistance program funding laws		
Primary Sponso	or: Wilmer, Franke		Status: As Intro	oduced		
	ant Local Gov Impact d in the Executive Budget	□ Needs to be include□ Significant Long-Te	_	Technical Concerns Dedicated Revenue For	rm Attached	
Expenditures General Fun		FY 2014 Difference \$0	UMMARY FY 2015 Difference \$0	FY 2016 <u>Difference</u> \$0	FY 2017 <u>Difference</u> \$0	
Federal Spec	cial Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> Passage of HB 309 has no fiscal impact to the state. This bill is a switch between HB 2 appropriation and statutory appropriation for the benefits component of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP).

\$0

\$0

\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Revenue:

General Fund

Federal Special Revenue

Net Impact-General Fund Balance:

1. This bill proposes a switch between sources of appropriation authority. There is no net change in revenues or expenditures.

\$0

\$0

\$0

(continued)

	FY 2014 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2015 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2016 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2017 <u>Difference</u>
Expenditures:				
Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Funding of Expenditures: Federal Special Revenue (03) HI Federal Special Revenue (03) SA TOTAL Funding of Exp.		(\$190,942,034) \$190,942,034 \$0	(\$190,942,034) \$190,942,034 \$0	(\$190,942,034) \$190,942,034 \$0
Revenues:				
Federal Special Revenue (03)	\$0	\$0_	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Re Federal Special Revenue (03)	venue minus Fun \$0	ding of Expenditur \$0	res): \$0	\$0

Technical Notes:

- 1. A decision package was included in the Executive Budget request to remove this funding from HB 2. If the proposed legislation is not approved, federal SNAP benefit authority in HB 2 would need to be increased by \$190,942,034 federal funds in each year of the 2015 biennium.
- 2. Based on research conducted, it appears that Montana is the only remaining state which appropriates SNAP benefits in its budget omnibus bill.

		<u> </u>		
Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date	



Statutory Appropriation 2015 Biennium

17-1-508(2), MCA.

Answer yes or no to each of the following subsections of 17-1-508(2) regarding statutory appropriation analysis in proposed legislation when preparing a fiscal note. Copy the table below into the fiscal note as the final assumption in the fiscal note.

1. 17-1-508, MCA requires analysis of the statutory appropriation relative to the guidance in 17-1-508(2), MCA, to be published in the fiscal note. In reviewing and establishing statutory appropriations, the legislature shall consider the following guidelines. Answer yes or no to each of the following guidelines regarding the statutory appropriation:

		<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
a.	The fund or use requires an appropriation.	X	
b.	The money is not from a continuing, reliable, and estimable source.	X	
c.	The use of the appropriation or the expenditure occurrence is not predictable and reliable.	X	
d.	The authority does not exist elsewhere.		X
e.	An alternative appropriation method is not available, practical, or effective.	X	
f.	Other than for emergency purposes, it does not appropriate money from the state general fund.	X	
g.	The money is dedicated for a specific use.	X	
h.	The legislature wishes the activity to be funded on a continual basis.	X	
i.	When feasible, an expenditure cap and sunset date are included.		X

Comments: