



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2015 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	SB0167	<b>Title:</b>	Free combination sports license for residents over 70
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	Webb, Roger	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact     | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2  | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2014 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2015 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2016 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2017 Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	(\$199,229)	(\$199,229)	(\$199,229)	(\$199,229)
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance:</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

**Description of fiscal impact:** SB 167 provides for a free combination sportsman's licenses to resident hunters over 70, which would reduce license revenue by \$199,229 annually.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Assumptions:

- Deer A licenses are the most popular "senior" hunting license currently purchased by hunters 62+ years of age. The current Senior Sportsman combination license includes a Deer A license.
- In LY 2012, seniors 62+ years of age purchased 17,274 Deer A licenses and 1,298 senior sportsman licenses.
- FWP assumes that all hunters 70+ years of age (8,244) that purchased Deer A licenses or the Senior Sportsman combination license in LY 2012 would purchase the new Free Senior Sportsman's combination license.
- Therefore, 44% of the current senior licensees were hunters 70+ years of age ( $8,224/18,572 = 44\%$ )
- In 2012, seniors purchased the following licenses:
  - 17,274 seniors over 62 bought the \$10 deer A license,
  - 15,052 bought the \$12 elk, and
  - 1,298 bought the \$55 sportsman license.

6. If residents over age 70 receive a free license that was previously purchased, lost license revenue would be \$186,893. The total senior licenses sold, times the price, times the ratio.
  - a. Deer A licenses (17,274 x \$10 x 44% = \$76,006)
  - b. Elk Licenses (15,052 x \$12 x 44% = \$79,475)
  - c. Sportsman Licenses (1,298 x \$55 x 44% = \$31,412)
7. Under current statute, resident hunters must pay a Hunting Access Enhancement Fee (HAEF) of \$2 whenever they purchase their first hunting license of the year. Since this sportsman license would be issued free of charge, that fee would not be collected. It is estimated that 75% of these hunters would not buy any other hunting licenses that would require that fee. HAEF revenue lost would be 8,224 x 75% x \$2 = \$12,336.
8. Total revenue loss would be \$199,229. (\$186,893 + \$12,336 = \$199,229)

	<u>FY 2014</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2016</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2017</u> <u>Difference</u>
<b><u>Fiscal Impact:</u></b>				
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b><u>Expenditures:</u></b>				
TOTAL Expenditures	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<b><u>Funding of Expenditures:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<b><u>Revenues:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	<u>(\$199,229)</u>	<u>(\$199,229)</u>	<u>(\$199,229)</u>	<u>(\$199,229)</u>
TOTAL Revenues	<u>(\$199,229)</u>	<u>(\$199,229)</u>	<u>(\$199,229)</u>	<u>(\$199,229)</u>
<b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$199,229)	(\$199,229)	(\$199,229)	(\$199,229)

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Sponsor's Initials*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Date*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Budget Director's Initials*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Date*