



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

**Bill #** HB0088

**Title:** Generally revise laws related to sex offender registration

**Primary Sponsor:** Laszloffy, Sarah

**Status:** As Amended in House Committee

- Significant Local Gov Impact       Needs to be included in HB 2       Technical Concerns  
 Included in the Executive Budget       Significant Long-Term Impacts       Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

### FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2016 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2017 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2018 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2019 Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$64,200	\$52,530	\$54,106	\$55,729
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance:</b>	<u>(\$64,200)</u>	<u>(\$52,530)</u>	<u>(\$54,106)</u>	<u>(\$55,729)</u>

**Description of fiscal impact:** HB 88 will increase the Judicial Branch costs for psychosexual evaluations and will require reconfiguration of the Criminal History Records System and Computerized Criminal History System, which are maintained by the Department of Justice.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Assumptions:

##### **Judicial Branch**

1. HB 88 requires district courts to designate a sexual offender evaluator to conduct a psychosexual evaluation if a defendant was convicted under certain offenses.
2. Currently, if the Office of Public Defender (OPD) requests and pays for a psychosexual evaluation prior to conviction, the same evaluation can be used by the district court for sentencing. This allows the Judicial Branch to avoid the cost of a second evaluation.
3. HB 88 would require the district court to order another evaluation, precluding the court from using the OPD evaluations for sentencing, thus increasing costs to the Judicial Branch.

4. Psychosexual evaluation costs range from \$1,500 to \$3,500 including necessary travel costs. In FY 2014, the Judicial Branch paid \$69,207 for psychosexual evaluations. Over a four-period, OPD paid an average of \$51,000 each year for evaluations that were used in sentencing.
5. The Judicial Branch is unable to determine the exact number of additional evaluations that will be required, but will assume the \$51,000 average paid by OPD with a percentage increase of 3% to account for inflationary costs.

**Department of Justice**

6. Justice Information Technology Services Division (JITSD) will need to reconfigure code in the statewide databases. Modifications are needed in both the Criminal History Records System (CHRS) and Computerized Criminal History (CCH) system.
7. It is estimated that these reconfigurations will cost \$13,200. This will be a one-time expenditure in FY 2016 and is funded with general fund.

	<u>FY 2016 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2017 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2018 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2019 Difference</u>
<b><u>Fiscal Impact:</u></b>				
<b><u>Expenditures:</u></b>				
Operating Expenses	\$64,200	\$52,530	\$54,106	\$55,729
<b>TOTAL Expenditures</b>	<u>\$64,200</u>	<u>\$52,530</u>	<u>\$54,106</u>	<u>\$55,729</u>
<b><u>Funding of Expenditures:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$64,200	\$52,530	\$54,106	\$55,729
<b>TOTAL Funding of Exp.</b>	<u>\$64,200</u>	<u>\$52,530</u>	<u>\$54,106</u>	<u>\$55,729</u>
<b><u>Revenues:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>TOTAL Revenues</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	(\$64,200)	(\$52,530)	(\$54,106)	(\$55,729)

**Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:**

1. Counties may be responsible for increased costs when subsequent psychosexual evaluations are requested by a county attorney.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sponsor's Initials

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Budget Director's Initials

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date