

TIAA-CREF 1670 Broadway, Suite 2200 Denver, CO 80202 Roderick B. Crane
Director
Institutional Development & Sales
Government Market
(303) 607-2896
rcrane@tiaa-cref.org

Memorandum

Date: May 14, 2010

To: Rachel Weiss, Staff

Montana State and Veterans' Affairs Interim Committee

From: Roderick B. Crane, JD

Director, Government Market

Re: Risk Managed Defined Contribution Pension Options for Consultant Analysis

TIAA-CREF appreciates the opportunity to share our pension expertise with the SAVA Interim Committee regarding the potential advantages of our Risk Managed Defined Contribution Pension Plan design for public employees in the State of Montana. As a follow-up to that presentation, this memorandum provides a more detailed description of two ways the Risk Managed Defined Contribution Pension Plan approach may be used to meet the objectives of the Committee:

- Option 1 a DC-only approach
- Option 2 a combination DB/DC approach

The tables that follow outline the major elements of each option and how each incorporates the risk management principles discussed with the Committee during our presentation in April. The significant advantages of using the Risk-Managed design elements include:

- No new unfunded liabilities for the DC components of the retirement program
- A high probability of delivering adequate and secure retirement income for life for plan participants.
- Continued delivery of important ancillary disability and survivor benefits.
- Cost effective approaches that continue to utilize expertise of the BOI.

If the Committee is interested, we would be pleased to discuss how such a plan could be included in the analysis along with the other options being prepared by your advisor, Buck Consulting.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide this information and to address and further questions the Committee may have.

| | Montana SAVA Interim Committee | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Plan Design Element | | | | | | | | | | |
| G | Opt | ion 1 – DC Only | | | | | | | | |
| | | ΓΙΑΑ-CREF | | | | | | | | |
| | Risk Managed DC Pension Plan Feature | | | | | | | | | |
| Eligibility | Same as current plans | | | | | | | | | |
| | Best practice: Low age and service requirements | | | | | | | | | |
| Participation entry date | Same as current plans | | | | | | | | | |
| | Best practice: Immediate, no longer than 1-year service | requirement | | | | | | | | |
| Vesting | Recommend no more than 5 years | | | | | | | | | |
| | Best practice: 3 years or less | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Employer and Employee | Minimum 12% of covered compensation | | | | | | | | | |
| Contributions | e not participating in Social Security, if any. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Consider age and/or service based contribution structure; for example: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Contribution An | nounts Based on Salary and Age | | | | | | | | |
| | Age of Employee | % of Salary Contributed | | | | | | | | |
| | Up to 24 | 6.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | 25 to 29 | 7.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | 30 to 44 | 10.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | 45 to 54 | 13.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | 55 and up | 15.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | Employer/Employee share of total contribution amounts | would be determined based on employer affordability | | | | | | | | |
| Investments | Sponsor directed/mandatory or default into age/risk appropriate target date/target risk fund with custom designed allocation | | | | | | | | | |
| in vestments | strategies to achieve desired outcome probability and volatility objectives. | | | | | | | | | |
| | J 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Alternatives: Core DC menu created and participants mandated to use either sophisticated or simplified QMA/asset allocation | | | | | | | | | |
| | advice service. Cost range: 1-20 bps depending on complexity of the QMA/advice service selected. | | | | | | | | | |
| | y BOI | | | | | | | | | |
| Hardship Distributions | Core funds under all alternatives selected and managed by BOI None | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans | None | | | | | | | | | |
| ~~~~ | 1 1 1000 | | | | | | | | | |

| | Montana SAVA Interim Committee | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Plan Design Element Option 1 – DC Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TIAA-CREF | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Risk Managed DC Pension Plan Feature | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Retirement Distribution Eligibility | Stated Normal and/or early retirement age only – Not upon separation unless small account value exception is applicable | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Permitted Forms of Retirement Distributions - Some level of mandatory guaranteed lifetime income (e.g., 50%); may vary by exception process (e.g., 50%); | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - Periodic life expectancy payments | • • • | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - Very limited or no lump sums | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-retirement survivor | Separately provided through group term life | | | | | | | | | | | |
| benefits Post-retirement survivor | Decision needs to be made regarding source | of funding – empl | loyer vs. employee | or shared. | | | | | | | | |
| benefits | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Disability benefits | Options to consider: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - Provided through existing DB disability fund | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - Provided through separate Long-Term D | isability Insurance |) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Decision needs to be made regarding source | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Administrative costs | Depends on eligible population – e.g., all ne | | | | | | :41- | | | | | |
| | Estimated to range from 30-50 bps with the potential for lower depending on the plan structure and whether associated with existing 457 plans to achieve greater cost efficiencies | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investment costs | Depends on underlying fund manager select | ted at 10-20 bps | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fund of funds administration/recordkeeping fees | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Estimated at approximately 5-10 bps. | | <u> </u> | 41 D 41 | DI D | | | | | | | |
| • | vith Retirement Program Goals | | Consistency with Retirement Plan Risk Factors | | | | | | | | | |
| Suggested R | ating (1-10 Low to High Value) Suggested Rating (1-10 Low to High Risk | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employee Retention Death and disability Professional investment management Adequate | income replacement Lifetime income security Maintain adequate income HR management tool Employee attraction Attract entry | Reward Career Employees Cost/tax | Low bang for the buck Increase to other costs | Contribution rate volatility High administrative | Hit to bond ratings | High cont. vs. competing employers | High contr. Vs. public sector | | | | | |
| 6 6 10 | 6 6 6 6 5 6 | 7 3 | 4 6 | 1 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |

| Plan Design Element | Montana SAVA Interim Committee Option 2 – Hybrid DB/ Risk Managed DC Pension | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | ΓΙΑΑ-CREF | | | | | | | | |
| | Hybrid DB/Risk Managed DC Pension Plan Feature | | | | | | | | | |
| Eligibility | Same as current plans | | | | | | | | | |
| | Best practice: Low age and service requirements | | | | | | | | | |
| Participation entry date | Same as current plans | | | | | | | | | |
| | Best practice: Immediate, no longer than 1-year service | requirement | | | | | | | | |
| Vesting | Recommend no more than 5 years | | | | | | | | | |
| | Best practice: 3 years or less | | | | | | | | | |
| DB Accrual Formula | purposes a 1.0% multiplier. | Depends on multiple factors including ability of plan sponsor to continue to take on funding rate risk. Assume for illustration purposes a 1.0% multiplier. | | | | | | | | |
| Total Employer and Employee | Minimum 4-6% of covered compensation | | | | | | | | | |
| DC Contributions | Higher contributions for public safety personnel and those not participating in Social Security, if any. Consider age and/or service based contribution structure; for example: | | | | | | | | | |
| | Contribution Amounts Based on Salary and Age | | | | | | | | | |
| | Age of Employee | % of Salary Contributed | | | | | | | | |
| | Up to 24 | 2.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | 25 to 29 | 3.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | 30 to 44 | 6.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | 45 to 54 | 7.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | 55 and up | 8.0% | | | | | | | | |
| | Employer/Employee share of total contribution amounts would be determined based on employer affordability | | | | | | | | | |
| Investments | DB: Sponsor managed investments | | | | | | | | | |
| | DC: Sponsor directed/mandatory or default into age/risk appropriate target date/target risk fund with custom designed | | | | | | | | | |
| | allocation strategies to achieve desired outcome probability and volatility objectives. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Alternatives: Core DC menu created and participants mandated to use either sophisticated or simplified QMA/asset allocation | | | | | | | | | |
| | advice service. Cost range: 1-20 bps depending on complexity of the QMA/advice service selected. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Core funds under all alternatives selected and managed by BOI | | | | | | | | | |

| Plan Design Element | Montana SAVA Interim Committee Option 2 – Hybrid DB/ Risk Managed DC Pension TIAA-CREF Hybrid DB/Risk Managed DC Pension Plan Feature | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Hardship Distributions | None | | | | | | |
| Retirement Distribution Eligibility | None Stated Normal and/or early retirement age only – Not upon separation unless small account value exception is applicable | | | | | | |
| Permitted Forms of Retirement Distributions | DB: Mandatory annuitization DC: Some level of mandatory guaranteed lifetime income (e.g., 50%); may vary by exception process (e.g., proof of other financial support) and Social Security eligibility - Periodic life expectancy payments - Very limited or no lump sums | | | | | | |
| Pre-retirement survivor benefits | Provided through DB component or separately through group term life insurance. Associated costs depends on benefit level. Decision needs to be made regarding source of funding – employer vs. employee or shared. | | | | | | |
| Post-retirement survivor benefits | Joint and Survivor features | | | | | | |
| Disability benefits | Options to consider: - Provided through existing DB disability fund - Provided through separate Long-Term Disability Insurance Decision needs to be made regarding source of funding – employer vs. employee or shared. | | | | | | |
| Administrative costs | DB: Similar to existing DB DC: Depends on eligible population – e.g., all new hires, optional for new hires, optional for current DB participants. Estimated to range from 30-50 bps with the potential for lower depending on the plan structure and whether associated with existing 457 plans to achieve greater cost efficiencies | | | | | | |
| Investment costs | DB: Similar to existing DB DC: Depends on underlying fund manager selection by BOI estimated at 10-20 bps Fund of funds administration/recordkeeping fees Estimated at approximately 5-10 bps. | | | | | | |

| Plan Design Element Option 2 – Hybr | | | | | | | | a SAVA Interim Committee rid DB/ Risk Managed DC Pension TIAA-CREF Managed DC Pension Plan Feature | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---|--|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | irement Plan Risk Factors (1-10 Low to High Risk) | | | | | | | | |
| Employee Retention | Death and disability | Professional investment management | Adequate income replacement | Lifetime income security | Maintain adequate income | HR management tool | Employee attraction | Attract entry level employees | Reward Career Employees | Cost/tax mismatch | Low bang for the buck | Increase to other costs | Contribution rate volatility | High administrative costs | Hit to bond ratings | High cont. vs. competing employers | High contr. Vs. public sector |
| 6 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 |