

RECEIVED *Greg Halvsten*

JUN 19 1992

ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION CHECKLIST

File 016.3

DS-252

PROPOSED ACTION Non mechanized Prospecting

COUNTY Madison TOWNSHIP 8S RANGE 4W SECTION SE 1/4 SE 1/4 4

*(Yes) (No) (NA)

- | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|---|
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 1. Significant use of area by important wildlife and fish species. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 2. Important vegetation communities present which may influence or be influenced by proposed project. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 3. Significant historical, archaeological, or paleontological resource present. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 4. Significant topographic features, terrain or aesthetic values are present. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 5. Reconstruction of existing roads or trails or new road are proposed. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 6. Important surface or groundwater resources present. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 7. Fragile, compactible, or unstable soils present. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 8. Buildings, structures, pipelines, powerlines or other utilities located nearby. |
| <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 9. Land has been included as a part of a baseline study or other type of report, for any purpose. (e.g. Natural Area Program, Resource Development Bureau projects, rights-of-way, easements, or other leasing actions) |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 10. Previously permitted or leased activities on this tract resulted in special protective stipulations. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 11. Present tract management is influenced significantly by adjacent state, federal or private land management practices. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 12. Tract is near designated Class I air area, or non-attainment area. |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 13. Tract contains areas with unique or special reclamation considerations (past mining, erosion, saline seep, etc.). |
| <u> </u> | <u> ✓ </u> | <u> </u> | 14. Public Interest. |

* Explain all "yes" answers in narrative form and attach to checklist.

15. Additional Comments: (If so, explain in attached narrative)

Prepared by: C H Ruyicola Title Land Use Specialist

Based on my review of the above action I have included that a EA is is not required because: Impact should be minimal (Circle appropriate response.)

Area Manager/Bureau Chief
Route copies to: File (016.3)

Date 6/5/92

Page 2 of 2

⑨ Range survey on site at DSL

If mechanized prospecting is applied for a move through archeological survey should be completed along Jack Creek