

ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION CHECKLIST

RECEIVED
JUL 17 1992

PROPOSED ACTION Bridge Across Milk River

COUNTY Valley TOWNSHIP 28N RANGE 40E SECTION 29

ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY
COMMISSION

(*Yes) (No) (NA)

- X 1. Significant use of area by important wildlife and fish species.
- X 2. Important vegetation communities present which may influence or be influenced by proposed project.
- X 3. Significant historical, archaeological, or paleontological resource present.
- X 4. Significant topographic features, terrain or aesthetic values present.
- 5. Reconstruction of existing roads or trails, or new road is proposed.
- X 6. Important surface or groundwater resources present.
- X 7. Fragile, compactible, or unstable soils present.
- X 8. Buildings, structures, pipelines, powerlines or other utilities located nearby.
- X 9. Land has been included as part of a baseline study or other type of report for any purpose. (e.g. Natural Area Program, Resource Development Bureau projects, rights-of-way, easements, or other leasing actions)
- X 10. Previously permitted or leased activities on this tract resulted in special protective stipulations.
- X 11. Present tract management is influenced significantly by adjacent state, federal or private land management practices.
- X 12. Tract is near designated Class I air area, or non-attainment area.
- X 13. Tract contains areas with unique or special reclamation considerations (past mining, erosion, saline seep, etc.)
- 14. Additional Comments: (If so, explain in narrative)
- 15. Public Interest:

Prepared by: Craig E. Roberts Title: Area Mng - NECO

Based on my review of the above action, I have concluded that an EA is is not required because:

Area Manager/Bureau Chief Craig E. Roberts Date 3/18/92

*Explain all "yes" answers in narrative form.

This project proposal is to replace an existing bridge over the Milk River

