ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION CHECK LIST

RECEIVED
AUG 05 1992
ENVIRONMENTAL
INFORMATION COUNCIL

PROPOSED ACTION STOCK WATER PIPELINE

COUNTY PETROLEUM TOWNSHIP 17N RANGE 25E SECTION 36

(*Yes)	(No)	(NA)	
	✓		1. Significant use of area by important wildlife and fish species.
	✓		2. Important vegetation communities present which may influence or be influenced by proposed project.
	✓	ok (do)	3. Significant historical, archaeological, or paleontological resource present.
	✓		4. Significant topographic features, terrain or aesthetic values present.
	✓		5. Reconstruction of existing roads or trails, or new road is proposed.
	✓		6. Important surface or groundwater resources present.
	✓		7. Fragile, compactible, or unstable soils present.
	✓		8. Buildings, structures, pipelines, powerlines or other utilities located nearby.
	✓		9. Land has been included as part of a baseline study or other type of report for any purpose. (e.g. Natural Area Program, Resource Development Bureau projects, rights-of-way, easements, or other leasing actions)
	✓		10. Previously permitted or leased activities on this tract resulted in special protective stipulations.
	✓		11. Present tract management is influenced significantly by adjacent state, federal or private land management practices.
	✓		12. Tract is near designated Class I air area, or non-attainment area.
	✓		13. Tract contains areas with unique or special reclamation considerations (past mining, erosion, saline seep, etc.)

14. Additional Comments: (If so, explain in narrative)

15. Public Interest:

Prepared by: BILL BAUMGARTNER Title: LAND USE SPECIALIST

Based on my review of the above action, I have concluded that an EA is/is not required because:

Area Manager/Bureau Chief Craig E. Roberts Date 5/14/92

*Explain all "yes" answers in narrative form.

NO HISTORICAL, ARCHAEOLOGICAL, OR PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES WERE FOUND ALONG THE PROPOSED PIPELINE ROUTE AND STOCKTANK LOCATION. VERY LITTLE SURFACE ROCK WAS SEEN.