

Other: _____
Comments: no special concerns, Bakken produces no H2S.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified) no
Proximity to recreation sites none in immediate area
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat no
Conflict with game range/refuge management no
Threatened or endangered Species no
Mitigation:
 Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
 Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
 Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
 Other: _____
Comments: no special concerns in this area

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites none identified
Mitigation
 avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
 other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
 Other: _____
Comments: private lands

Social/Economic

(possible concerns)
 Substantial effect on tax base
 Create demand for new governmental services
 Population increase or relocation
Comments: no special concerns

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

Well is a dual lateral Horizontal Bakken test-1280 TSU-Docket 94-2004
Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
Minor, short term impacts associated with this project.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC) 
(title:) Administrator
Date: April 25, 2004 Other Persons Contacted:

(Name and Agency)

(subject discussed)

(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date: _____
Inspector: _____
Others present during inspection: _____