

**Department of Environmental Quality
Permitting & Compliance Division
Public Water & Subdivision Bureau / Subdivision Section**

Environmental Assessment

Name of Project: Lima Peaks

Type of Project: Subdivision E.Q. #08-1340

Location of Project: Sec 5, T14S, R5W

County: Beaverhead

Description of Project: 5 Lot Subdivision. Served by onsite water supply and onsite sewage disposal.

Summary of Issues: Include issues and concerns / resource conflicts identified by staff and the public.

Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:

Key: Summarize impacts as follows: NA - Not applicable, N - No impact, B - potentially beneficial impact, A - potentially adverse impact, M mitigation required, P - additional permits required (when additional DEQ permits are required, this review must be coordinated between bureaus)

Under Comment below include frequency, duration (long or short term) magnitude (quantify or qualify) and context for any impacts identified (Each category includes a tickler list of items to consider - this does not mean each one needs to be addressed.

Rather, if an issue related to that topic has been raised then provide some additional information. Address impacts related to issues and concerns. Identify reasonable feasible mitigation measures where significant impacts could be avoided and note impacts which are irreversible, irretrievable. Relate discussion to issues. Include background information on affected environment if necessary to discussion. Utilize negative declarations where appropriate (wetlands, T&E, Cultural Resources)

Key	PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT
N	<p>1. Soil Suitability, Topographic and/or Geologic Constraints (soil moisture, unstable soils or geologic conditions, steep slopes, erosion potential, subsidence potential, seismic activity)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: SOILS ARE SUITABLE FOR ON-SITE SYSTEMS. NO STEEP SLOPES OR UNSTABLE SOILS WERE NOTED.</p>
NA	<p>2. Hazardous Facilities (power lines, hazardous waste sites, distances from explosive and flammable hazards including chemical/petrochemical storage tanks, underground fuel storage tanks and related facilities such as natural gas storage facilities and propane tanks)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: NONE HAVE BEEN NOTED IN THE APPLIATION</p>
A	<p>3. Air Quality (effects to and from project, dust, odors, emissions)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: DUST AND ODORS DURING CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD AND BUILDNGS AND IF WOOD BURINING IS ALLOWED. WITH A SHORT PRIVATE ROAD, ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC THAT WOULD CAUSE A DUST PROBLEM WILL NOT UISE THE ROAD.</p>
A	<p>4. Groundwater Resources & Aquifers (quality/nondegradation, quantity/reliability, distribution, uses/rights, # of aquifers, mixing zones)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION:A- HAS DEMONSTRATED THAT ADEQUATE WATER SUPPLY IS AVAILABLE EVEN FOR THE SHARED WELL. NONDEGRADATION HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE DEPARTMENT.</p>
N	<p>5. Surface Water Resources (quality/nondegradation, quantity/reliability, distribution, uses/rights, stormwater controls, source of community supply, community treatment, mixing zones)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: WILL NOT BE USED FOR A POTABLE WATER SUPPLY. STORMWATER CONTOLS HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED BY THE CONSULTANT AND WILL BE INSTALLED. PHOSPHOURS BREAKTHROUGH HAS BEEN APPROVED GREATER THAN 50 YEARS</p>
A	<p>6. Vegetation and Wildlife species and habitats, including fisheries and aquatic resources (threatened, endangered, sensitive species, prime habitat, population stability, potential for human wildlife conflicts, effectiveness of postdisturbance plans)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: NO IMPACTS ARE EXPECTED FROM THE DEVELOPEMT ON VEGETATION OR WILDLIFE.</p>
N	<p>7. Unique, Endangered, Fragile, or Limited Environmental Resources (biologic, topographic, wetlands (within 1 mile), floodplains (within 1 mile), scenic rivers, natural resource areas, etc)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: NONE HAVE BEEN NOTED.</p>
A N	<p>8. Land Use (Waste disposal, Agricultural Lands (grazing, cropland, , forest lands, prime farmland), Recreational Lands (waterways, parks, playgrounds, open space, federal lands), Access, Commercial and Industrial Facilities (production & activity, growth or decline), Growth, land use change, development activity)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: THE LAND IS NOT CURRENTLY BEING USED FOR AGRICULTURE. WILL NOT HAVE AN EFFECT.</p>
NA	<p>9. Historical, Cultural, & Archeological (sites, facilities, uniqueness, diversity)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: NONE HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED.</p>
NA	<p>10. Aesthetics (visual quality, nuisances, odors, noise)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES SHOULD BE A BENEFIT TO VISUAL QUALITY. NOISE FROM THE I-15 COULD BE A PROBLEM.</p>
A	<p>11. DEMANDS ON/ CHANGES IN ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES INCLUDING LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY USE (need for new or</p>

	<p>upgraded energy sources, potential for recycling, etc)</p> <p>(See #8, #4, #5) COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: SIX SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES WILL HAVE LITTLE EFFECT ON WATER AND AIR.</p>
--	--

Key	IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION
N	<p>12. CHANGES IN DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS (population quantity, distribution and density, rate of change)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: SIX SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES WILL HAVE LITTLE EFFECT ON POPULATION DISTRIBUTION</p>
B	<p>13. General Housing Conditions (quality, quantity and affordability)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: NOT KNOWN</p>
N	<p>14. Potential for Displacement or Relocation of Business or Residents</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION NONE</p>
N	<p>15. Public Health and Safety (Medical services and facilities, Police, Fire Protection & Hazards (see #2), Emergency Medical Services, (see land use for waste disposal))</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: TOWN OF LIMA WILL ALLOW PUBLIC WATER TO BE USED FOR FIRE PROTECTION. NO OTHER IMPACTS TO LOCAL SERVICE PROVIDERS ARE EXPECTED FROM THE DEVELOPMENT OF 5 LOTS.</p>
B	<p>16. Local Employment and Income Patterns (quantity and distribution of employment, economic impact)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: NOT KNOWN AS TO THE CITY OF EMPLOYEMENT.</p>
N	<p>17. Local and State Tax Base and Revenues</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: SHOULD BE A BENEFIT FOR BOTH LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE.</p>
N	<p>18. Effects on Social Structures and Mores (standards of Social Conduct/Social Conventions) Demand on Social Services (law enforcement, Educational Facilities (libraries, schools colleges, universities) welfare, etc)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: NO IMPACTS TO LOCAL SERVICE PROVIDERS ARE EXPECTED FROM THE DEVELOPMENT OF 5 LOTS.</p>
N	<p>19. Transportation Networks (condition and use of roads, traffic flow conflicts, rail, airport compatibility etc)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: WILL ADD SOME VEHICLAR TRIPS EACH DAY. SIX RESIDENCES SHOULD NOT ADD A LARGE QUANTITY OF TRAFFIC ON THE HIGHWAY. ONE INTERNAL ROAD WILL ELIMINATE FIVE DRIVEWAYS ONTO THE HIGHWAY.</p>
N	<p>20. Consistency with local ordinances, resolutions, or plans (conformance with local comprehensive plans, zoning or capital improvement plans)</p> <p>COMMENTS AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION: HAS BEEN APPROVED BY BOTH LOCAL COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND COUNTY SANITARIAN</p>
NA	<p>21. Regulatory Restrictions on Private Property Rights (Are we regulating pursuant to a police power? Does the Agency action restrict the use of the property beyond the minimum necessary to achieve compliance with the Act? What are the costs of such additional restrictions resulting from proposed permit conditions? Are other less restrictive ways of achieving the same goal? See your assigned legal counsel for assistance preparing this section.) Comments and Source of Information:</p>

22. Description of and Impacts of Other Alternatives Considered:
A. IF SUBDIVISION NOT APPROVED, NO IMPACT WOULD OCCUR
B. IF APPROVED, PROPOSAL COMPLIES WITH ALL APPLICABLE LAWS AND RULES
23. Public Involvement: *Identify dates of meetings, comment periods, numbers of comments, etc and reference any attached responses, if any were appropriate to this project.*
24. Other Bureaus and Governmental Agencies with Jurisdiction: County Commissioners & County Health Department
25. Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impacts:
26. Cumulative Effects: No significant long term impacts with conditions (complies with all applicable laws and rules)

Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:

EIS More Detailed EA No Further Analysis

Rationale for Recommendation: No significant long-term impacts.

EA Checklist Prepared By:

Name: Mary Schroeder

Date: 10-18-07