

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
AND CONSERVATION
BOARD OF OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION

BRIAN SCHWEITZER, GOVERNOR

OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION DIVISION



STATE OF MONTANA

December 31, 2007

Mr. Todd Everts
Environmental Quality Council
Room 106, State Capitol
Helena, MT 59620

RECEIVED

JAN 03 2008

LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL
POLICY OFFICE

Re: Environmental Assessment Reports

Dear Todd:

Enclosed please find a copy of the environmental assessments completed by this office covering the period from October 1 through December 31, 2007 with regard to applications for permits to drill oil or gas wells on state and privately owned lands in the State of Montana.

Let us know if you require any further information.

Sincerely,

Thomas P. Richmond
Administrator

km

Encs. 150

DIVISION OFFICE
1625 ELEVENTH AVENUE
PO BOX 201601
HELENA, MONTANA 59620-1601
(406) 444-6675

TECHNICAL AND
SOUTHERN FIELD OFFICE
2535 ST. JOHNS AVENUE
BILLINGS, MONTANA 59102-4693
(406) 656-0040

NORTHERN FIELD OFFICE
201 MAIN STREET
PO BOX 690
SHELBY, MONTANA 59474-0690
(406) 434-2422

**Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation
Environmental Assessment**

Operator: KeeSun Corporation
Well Name/Number: Burrows 3B-24
Location: NE NW Section 24 T34N R3W
County: Toole MT; Field (or Wildcat) Kevin-Sunburst

Air Quality

(possible concerns)

Long drilling time: No, 3 to 4 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, 1550' TD
Possible H₂S gas production: No
In/near Class I air quality area: No
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

- Air quality permit (AQB review)
- Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
- Special equipment/procedures requirements
- Other: _____

Comments: No special concerns – using small rig to drill to 1550'.

Water Quality

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: No, freshwater, freshwater mud system, air, air mist.
High water table: No
Surface drainage leads to live water: None
Water well contamination: No, closest domestic water well is about 3/8 of a mile to the northwest of this location.
Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy bentonitic soils.
Class I stream drainage: No

Mitigation:

- Lined reserve pit
- Adequate surface casing
- Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
- Closed mud system
- Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
- Other: _____

Comments: 300' of surface casing cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones. Also, air/air mist and/or fresh water mud systems to be used.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Stream crossings: No, stream crossings.
High erosion potential: No, small cut, up to 0.5' and small fill, up to 1.8', required.
Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.

Unusually large wellsite: No, 200'X200' location size required.

Damage to improvements: Slight, cultivated land.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

Mitigation

Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)

Exception location requested

Stockpile topsoil

Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)

Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive

Special construction methods to enhance reclamation

Other _____

Comments: Access will be over existing county roads and existing two track well access road. About 500' of new access will be built into this location from the two track well access road. Cuttings will be buried in and unlined earthen pit. Drilling fluids if used will be allowed to dry in the pit. Pits will be backfilled after drying. no special concerns

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residence is 1.5 miles to the northwest of this location.

Possibility of H2S: None

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Small drilling rig/short 3 to 4 days drilling time

Mitigation:

Proper BOP equipment

Topographic sound barriers

H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan

Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other: _____

Comments: No concerns

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.

Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No

Threatened or endangered Species: No

Mitigation:

Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)

Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)

Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite

Other: _____

Comments: Private surface lands. No concerns

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites: None identified

Mitigation

avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
 other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
 Other: _____
Comments: Private surface lands. No concerns.

Social/Economic

(possible concerns)
 Substantial effect on tax base
 Create demand for new governmental services
 Population increase or relocation
Comments: No concerns. Well is in the Kevin-Sunburst Oil & Gas Field.

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

Well is a 1550' Rierdon Formation test

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur, but can be mitigated.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): Steven Sasaki 
(title:) Chief Field Inspector
Date: October 4, 2007

Other Persons Contacted:

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website
(Name and Agency)
Toole County water wells.
(subject discussed)
October 4, 2007
(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date: _____
Inspector: _____
Others present during inspection: _____