

Application for Beneficial Water Use #30025868 41P Keil Ranch Inc.

EA Form R 1/2007

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
Water Resources Division
Water Rights Bureau

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
For Routine Actions with Limited Environmental Impact

Part I. Proposed Action Description

1. *Applicant/Contact name and address:* Keil Ranch Inc.
Dale Keil, President
315 S. Main
Conrad, MT 59425
2. *Type of action:* Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit 30025868 41P
3. *Water source name:* Marias River Above Tiber Reservoir (Lake Elwell)
4. *Location affected by project:* Point of Diversion located in the NWSWSW, Section 8, T30N, R1W, Toole County. Place of Use 252+/- irrigated crop and stock graze acres located in the N2 of Section 26, T30N, R1W, Pondera County.
5. *Narrative summary of the proposed project, purpose, action to be taken, and benefits:*

The applicant is seeking a provisional permit to appropriate water from the Marias River. The proposed point of diversion is located five miles upstream from the backwaters of Tiber Reservoir (Lake Elwell). The stated use of the water is supplemental irrigation water for 252+/- acres of cropland that has been historically irrigated with Pondera Co. Canal and Reservoir Company water and stock water for 400 Animal Units for 30 days of use. The application is seeking a maximum flow rate of 4.5 CFS up to 504 acre feet to be diverted from January 1st to December 31st inclusive of each year. The application is proposing to utilize two existing 126 acre pivots and will be associated with Application #41P 30025890 by sharing a common point of diversion and means of conveyance. The applicant states that the benefits of the project are an increase in the quality and quantity of the cash crops produced and an increase of the property tax base of Pondera and Toole Counties.

The DNRC shall issue a water use permit if an applicant proves the criteria in 85-2-311 MCA are met.

6. *Agencies consulted during preparation of the Environmental Assessment:
(include agencies with overlapping jurisdiction)*
 Montana Natural Heritage Program
 Montana State Historic Preservation Office
 Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Soils Data Website

 Dept. of Environmental Quality Website (TMDL 303d listing)
 MT Dept. of Fish, Wildlife & Parks Website (Montana Rivers Information System)
 National Wetlands Inventory Website

Part II. Environmental Review

1. Environmental Impact Checklist:

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

WATER QUANTITY, QUALITY AND DISTRIBUTION

Water quantity - Assess whether the source of supply is identified as a chronically or periodically dewatered stream by DFWP. Assess whether the proposed use will worsen the already dewatered condition.

Determination: The Marias River is not currently classified as a dewatered stream by the Dept. of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DFWP). DFWP has a water reservation granted on Marias River from Tiber Reservoir upstream to the confluence of Cut Bank Creek. The water reservation flow rate is 200 cfs from January 1 to December 31 inclusive of each year. The DFWP water reservation information below is for informational purposes only.

From (rm 106.3) to (rm 171.5) TIBER RES to CUT BANK CR

Reservation: Type: Water Reservation Granted

Begin	End	Flow (CFS)	Priority Date
01/01	12/31	200	7/1/1985

Water quality - Assess whether the stream is listed as water quality impaired or threatened by DEQ, and whether the proposed project will affect water quality.

Determination: The Marias River from Tiber Reservoir upstream to the confluence of Cut Bank Creek has been listed by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) on the 2006 TMDL 303(d) list as a Water Quality Category 5. The 2006 303(d) lists no water uses that are impaired at the time the source was surveyed. The source is listed for beneficial use as fully supporting for agriculture, aquatic life, cold water fishery, drinking water, and industrial uses and primary contact recreation. Water quality is not expected to be affected by the proposed irrigation project.

Application for Beneficial Water Use #30025868 41P Keil Ranch Inc.

Groundwater - *Assess if the proposed project impacts ground water quality or supply. If this is a groundwater appropriation, assess if it could impact adjacent surface water flows.*

Determination: Where the source is surface water from the Marias River, limited analysis of impacts to groundwater were considered and therefore unknown.

DIVERSION WORKS - *Assess whether the means of diversion, construction and operation of the appropriation works of the proposed project will impact any of the following: channel impacts, flow modifications, barriers, riparian areas, dams, well construction.*

Determination: The proposed diversion works consist of intake screens that will be placed in the river channel at sufficient depths to avoid cavitations in the flow of water supplied to the pumps. The particular type of screens that are to be used at the specified point of diversion are to be removed from the river channel during periods of freezing weather to avoid damage from ice jams that occur in this particular location. Other diversion works proposed in the application are a series of centrifugal pumps along with arterial and ancillary buried pipe to supply flow to the center pivots. The construction and operation of the diversion works do not include any flow modifications, barriers, dams, or well construction. Preliminary diversion works schematics and specifications were designed by a professional engineer whose services were retained by the applicant. Impacts to riparian areas should be minimal and limited in scope to the construction of the diversion works.

UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

Endangered and threatened species - *Assess whether the proposed project will impact any threatened or endangered fish, wildlife, plants or aquatic species or any "species of special concern," or create a barrier to the migration or movement of fish or wildlife. For groundwater, assess whether the proposed project, including impacts on adjacent surface flows, would impact any threatened or endangered species or "species of special concern."*

Determination: According to a report from the Montana Natural Heritage program, there is one species of concern and one species listed in the Inferred Extent Report. The species of concern listed is a bird commonly known as the Chestnut-collared Longspur. Information referenced on the Montana Heritage website described the bird's habitat in the following: Breeding uses level to rolling mixed-grass and shortgrass uplands, and, in drier habitats, moist lowlands. Prefers open prairie and avoids excessively shrubby areas. However, scattered shrubs and other low elevated perches such as Canada thistle often are used for singing. Areas with dense litter accumulations are avoided.

The species identified in the Inferred Extent Report is commonly known as the Burbot. According to a study by DFWP biologists, they are a cold water, bottom-dwelling fish that typically range from 15-22 inches in length, although they can reach lengths up to 46 inches. They typically weigh 1-3 pounds, but can grow as heavy as 12 pounds. They are long-lived, up to 14 years, and do not reach reproductive maturity until they are 3-4 years of age. Burbot are a reclusive fish that prefer to be near the bottom in areas of low light, and are typically found in the deepest waters available, as well as areas with aquatic vegetation, rock piles, submerged logs,

Application for Beneficial Water Use #30025868 41P Keil Ranch Inc.

and other underwater structures where they can hide during the day. Because of their use of deep-water habitat and their limited sport fish potential, not much is known about Burbot population status and trends.

No significant impact is anticipated due to the proposed project being consistent in nature with other agricultural based activities in the immediate area.

Wetlands - *Consult and assess whether the apparent wetland is a functional wetland (according to COE definitions), and whether the wetland resource would be impacted.*

Determination: No known wetlands exist in the project area according to the National Wetlands Inventory geo-database. No wetland resources are anticipated to be impacted by the proposed project.

Ponds - *For ponds, consult and assess whether existing wildlife, waterfowl, or fisheries resources would be impacted.*

Determination: There are no ponds associated with the proposed project therefore an assessment of this component does not apply.

GEOLOGY/SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE - *Assess whether there will be degradation of soil quality, alteration of soil stability, or moisture content. Assess whether the soils are heavy in salts that could cause saline seep.*

Determination: A review of NRCS soils data was conducted listing soil types and suitability of use of the types located within the project area. Soil types listed are Floweree silt loam, 0 to 4 percent slope, Telstad-Joplin loams, 4 to 8 percent slopes, Hillon-Kevin clay loams, 8 to 15 percent slopes, Scobey-Kevin clay loams, 0 to 4 percent slopes, Telstad-Joplin loams, 0 to 4 percent slopes, Scobey-Kevin clay loams, 4 to 8 percent slopes, Neldore-Lambeth-Rock outcrop complex, 35 to 70 percent slopes. Further soils analysis was submitted by the applicant pertaining to the implementation of management practices and monitoring systems to control saline seep. Due to the design, operation and intended purpose of the project, impacts should be negligible.

VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY/NOXIOUS WEEDS - *Assess impacts to existing vegetative cover. Assess whether the proposed project would result in the establishment or spread of noxious weeds.*

Determination: There will be minimal stream bank disturbance during the construction phase of the proposed project. The current banks of the Marias River are currently in native vegetation primarily consisting of deciduous trees and shrubs. The removable water intake screens should not have a significant impact to existing vegetative cover. The applicant states in the application that it is desirable to control noxious weeds within the project area. No significant impact of vegetative cover, quantity and quality is anticipated by the proposed project.

Application for Beneficial Water Use #30025868 41P Keil Ranch Inc.

AIR QUALITY - Assess whether there will be a deterioration of air quality or adverse effects on vegetation due to increased air pollutants.

Determination: Crop production will likely be significantly increased therefore positive impacts to air quality may occur as a result of this project by reducing the amount of carbon dioxide in the air through plant transpiration.

HISTORICAL AND ARCHEOLOGICAL SITES - Assess whether there will be degradation of unique archeological or historical sites in the vicinity of the proposed project.

Determination: According to the Montana State Historical Society (SHPO), there have been a few previously recorded sites within the designated search locales. In addition to the sites, there have been previously conducted resource inventories conducted within the project area and further inventories are felt to be unwarranted at this time.

DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AND ENERGY - Assess any other impacts on environmental resources of land, water and energy not already addressed.

Determination: No additional impacts on other environmental resources were identified.

HUMAN ENVIRONMENT

LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS - Assess whether the proposed project is inconsistent with any locally adopted environmental plans and goals.

Determination: There are no known environmental plans or goals in this area.

ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES - Assess whether the proposed project will impact access to or the quality of recreational and wilderness activities.

Determination: The project should have no significant or harmful impact on recreational or wilderness activities.

HUMAN HEALTH - Assess whether the proposed project impacts on human health.

Determination: The project should have no impact on human health.

PRIVATE PROPERTY - Assess whether there are any government regulatory impacts on private property rights.

Yes___ No_x_ If yes, analyze any alternatives considered that could reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights.

Determination: No adverse effect on private property rights is anticipated from this project.

OTHER HUMAN ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES - For routine actions of limited environmental impact, the following may be addressed in a checklist fashion.

Impacts on:

- (a) Cultural uniqueness and diversity? No significant impact.
- (b) Local and state tax base and tax revenues? Potential positive impact.
- (c) Existing land uses? Project is consistent with other land uses in the region therefore no significant impact is anticipated.
- (d) Quantity and distribution of employment? No significant impact.
- (e) Distribution and density of population and housing? No significant impact.
- (f) Demands for government services? No significant impact.
- (g) Industrial and commercial activity? No significant impact.
- (h) Utilities? No significant impact.
- (i) Transportation? No significant impact.
- (j) Safety? No significant impact.
- (k) Other appropriate social and economic circumstances? No significant impact.

2. *Secondary and cumulative impacts on the physical environment and human population:*

Secondary Impacts? No secondary impacts have been identified.

Cumulative Impacts? No cumulative impacts have been identified.

3. *Describe any mitigation/stipulation measures:* None

4. *Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives to the proposed action, including the no action alternative, if an alternative is reasonably available and prudent to consider:*

No action alternative:

The applicant would not have the benefit of increasing economic returns to both the applicant and the immediate region. The applicant's agricultural operation would have to continue without the stated benefits of irrigated crop production.

Application for Beneficial Water Use #30025868 41P Keil Ranch Inc.

Alternative 1:

Approve the application and issue a provisional permit as submitted if the applicant proves the necessary criteria is met.

Alternative 2: The applicant's project is located within several miles of Tiber Reservoir. A contract for irrigation water may be available with the Bureau of Reclamation from Tiber Reservoir.

PART III. Conclusion

1. **Preferred Alternative:** Alternative 1.
2. **Comments and Responses:** None
3. **Finding:**
Yes ___ No X Based on the significance criteria evaluated in this EA, is an EIS required?

If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for this proposed action: No significant impacts have been identified, therefore an EIS is not necessary.

Name of person(s) responsible for preparation of EA:

Name: Matt Miles

Title: Water Resources Specialist

Date: 03/05/2008