ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

On an Application for an

OPENCUT MINING PERMIT

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) prepared this Environmental Assessment (EA) in accordance with requirements of the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). An EA functions to identify, disclose, and analyze the impacts of a proposed action. This document may disclose impacts that have no legislatively required mitigation measures, or over which there is no regulatory authority.

The state law that regulates gravel mining operations in Montana is the Opencut Mining Act. This law and the rules adopted thereunder place operational guidance and limitations on a project during its lifetime, and provide for the reclamation of land affected by opencut mining operations.

Local governments and other state agencies may have authority over different resources and activities under their regulations. Approval or denial of this Opencut Application will be based on a determination of whether or not the proposed operation complies with the Opencut Mining Act and the rules adopted thereunder. The DEQ approval of this application would not relieve the operator from the obligation to comply with any other applicable federal, state, or county statutes, regulations, or ordinances. The operator is responsible for obtaining any other permits, licenses, approvals, etc. that are required for any part of the proposed operation.

APPLICANT: Sweet Grass County

COUNTY: Sweet Grass

SITE NAME: Rostad

DATE: June 2010

LOCATION: Section 2, T1S, R14E

PROPOSAL: The site is located approximately 5 miles southwest of the Big Timber. Approximately 40,000 cubic yards of material would be excavated in a 10.5 acre permit area. The processing equipment to be used would include a grizzly, screen, and crusher. Sweet Grass County would be responsible for the completion of final reclamation to grazing land and a small material stockpile by October 2016.

This application contains all items required by the Opencut Mining Act and its implementing rules. Proponent commits to properly conducting opencut operations and would be legally bound by the permit.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	**POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**
1. TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:	The site is located on an alluvial terrace above the Boulder River. *Impacts:* An irreversible and irretrievable removal of gravel from the site would occur. A small impact to the quantity and quality of soils from salvaging, stockpiling, and resoiling activities also would occur, but this would not impair the capacity of the soils to support full reclamation. There are no unusual topographic, geologic, soil, or special reclamation considerations that would prevent reclamation success.
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION	Lamp-Nelson Irrigation Ditch is located above and approximately 500 feet southeast of the site. Dry Creek Irrigation Ditch is located below and approximately 250 feet west of the site, on the other side of Old Boulder Road. Stormwater would drain into the pit, but erosion control fencing and straw wattles will be used to control erosion if necessary. *Impacts:* The proposed activities would have a minimal effect on the quantity and quality of the surface and groundwater resources.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	**POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**
	Cumulative: Cumulative impacts by the proposed action on resources would be negligible.
3. AIR QUALITY	Air quality standards are based upon the Clean Air Act of Montana and pursuant rules and are administered by the DEQ Air Resources Management Bureau (ARMB). Its program is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). These rules and standards are designed to be protective of human health and the environment. Air quality permits would be required on the processing equipment before installment. Machinery, such as generators and crushers, are individually permitted for allowable emissions. Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is the usual standard applied. Fugitive dust is that which blows off the pit floor, stockpiles, gravel roads, farm fields, etc. It is considered to be a nuisance but not harmful to health. *Impacts:* Air quality standards as set by the federal government and enforced by the ARMB would allow minimal detrimental air impacts.
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY	Site vegetation consists of native shortgrass prairie grasses. The site has 100% vegetative cover in areas not degraded by an extensive prairie dog colony. *Impacts:* No long term detrimental impacts to the vegetation would occur.
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS	Although the area is used primarily for pasture, it also supports populations of deer, prairie dogs, rodents, song birds, coyotes, foxes, raptors, insects, and various other animal species. Population numbers for these species are not known. *Impacts:* The proposed mine is expected to temporarily displace some individual species and it is likely that the site would be re-inhabited following reclamation to similar habitat.
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES	The Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP) found the following species of concern in the vicinity of the proposed site: Peregrine Falcon *(Falco peregrinus)* Greater Sage Grouse *(Centrocercus urophasianus)* Bobolink *(Dolichonyx oryzivorus)* Gray Wolf *(Canis Lupus)* Greater Short-horned Lizard *(Phrynosoma hernandesi)* *Impacts:* None of the listed species have been found on this site. Even if suitable habitat for species of concern did exist on this site, the disturbance area would be small and large areas of similar or identical habitat surrounds the site. The possible impact to these species would be minimal.
7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES	The Montana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) was notified of the application. It reported no sites have been discovered previously on this property. However, SHPO recommends that a cultural resource inventory be conducted in order to determine whether or not sites exist and if they would be impacted. A pedestrian survey of the area by DEQ personnel did not reveal any artifacts or signs of occupation. No signs were evident at depth in previously disturbed areas.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	**POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**
	Impacts: If during operations resources were to be discovered, activities would be temporarily moved to another area or halted until SHPO was contacted and the importance of the resources was determined.
8. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY	*Impacts:* Negligible impacts to land, water, air, or energy would occur.

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION	
RESOURCE	**POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**
9. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS	This site is currently not zoned.
10. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING	The site is located in a rural location. *Impact:* This commercial pit is being sited in this area because of the location of the resource.
11. AESTHETICS	The site is located in a rural setting, away from residences and commercial businesses.
12. QUANTITY/ DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT	*Impacts:* New employment opportunities would be limited. This is a relatively short-term operation.
13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL, AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION	*Impacts:* The acreage listed in the application would be taken out of agricultural/pastureland use and put into industrial/commercial use. Upon completion of mining, the land would be reclaimed back to pastureland.
14. LOCAL, STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES, PERSONAL AND COMMUNITY INCOME	Local, state and federal governments would be responsible for appraising the property, setting tax rates, collecting taxes, etc., from the companies, employees, or landowners benefitting from this operation. Following reclamation, it is assumed the tax base would revert to pre-mine levels.
15. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES	Limited oversight by DEQ Opencut Program personnel would be conducted in concert with other area activity when in the vicinity.
16. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY	Any industrial activity will increase the opportunities for accidental injury. There are agencies that require specific safety measures are in place. If followed there is no reason to believe that significant safety issues would be present.
17. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES	This activity would not inhibit the use of the identified resources.
18. NATIVE CULTURAL CONCERNS	*Impacts:* None

19. Alternatives Considered:

A. Denial Alternative: The Department would deny an application that does not comply with the Act and Rules. No impacts to the natural or human environment would occur.

B. Approval Alternative: The Department would approve an application that complies with the Act and Rules. Impacts of this application are addressed in the body of the EA.

20. Public Involvement, Agencies, Groups or Individuals contacted: Montana State Historic Preservation Office, Montana Natural Heritage Program, Sweet Grass County Planning Department, public response to notifications, local interest groups.

21. Other Governmental Agencies which May Have Overlapping or Sole Jurisdiction include, but may not be limited to: Mine Safety & Health Administration for safety permit; Montana Department of Labor & Industry, Bureau of Safety for safety permit: DEQ Air Resource Management Bureau, Sweet Grass County Weed Control Board, Sweet Grass County Commissioners, MSHA and OSHA regarding mine safety, DEQ Water Protection Bureau.

22. Regulatory Impact on Private Property: The analysis done in response to the Private Property Assessment Act indicates no impact. The Department does not plan to deny the application or impose conditions that would restrict the use of private property so as to constitute a taking.

23. Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impacts: This proposal is not likely to create impacts of significance due to mitigation, restrictions, and oversight mandated by the Opencut Mining Act and pursuant rules and the Montana Clean Air Act.

24. Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis: [ ] EIS [X] No Further Analysis

EA Prepared By:	Ric Casteel	Environmental Science Specialist
	Name	Title
EA Reviewed By:	Chris Cronin	Opencut Mining Program Supervisor
	Name	Title

PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT (PPAA) CHECKLIST

DOES THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION HAVE TAKINGS IMPLICATIONS UNDER THE PPAA?

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	4. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? (If answer is NO, skip questions 5a and 5b and continue with question 6.)
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property?
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally? (If the answer is NO, skip questions 7a-7c)
		7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
		7b. Has the government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded?
		7c. Has the government action diminished property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?

Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b.

If taking or damaging implications exist, the agency must comply with § 5 of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.