

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

### On an Application for an OPENCUT MINING PERMIT

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) prepared this Environmental Assessment (EA) in accordance with requirements of the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). An EA functions to identify, disclose, and analyze the impacts of a proposed action. This document may disclose impacts that have no legislatively required mitigation measures, or over which there is no regulatory authority.

The state law that regulates gravel mining operations in Montana is the Opencut Mining Act. This law and the rules adopted thereunder place operational guidance and limitations on a project during its lifetime, and provide for the reclamation of land affected by opencut mining operations.

Local governments and other state agencies may have authority over different resources and activities under their regulations. Approval or denial of this Opencut Application will be based on a determination of whether or not the proposed operation complies with the Opencut Mining Act and the rules adopted thereunder. The DEQ approval of this application would not relieve the operator from the obligation to comply with any other applicable federal, state, or county statutes, regulations, or ordinances. The operator is responsible for obtaining any other permits, licenses, approvals, etc. that are required for any part of the proposed operation.

**APPLICANT:** Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

**COUNTY:** Broadwater

**SITE NAME:** Joe Nelson

**DATE:** November 2010

**LOCATION:** Section 27, T6 N, R2 E

**PROPOSAL:** Schellinger Construction Company proposes to mine, crush, and screen approximately 500,000 cubic yards of gravel from a 44.1 acre site located approximately 5 miles south of Townsend, near the intersection of Hwy 287 and Dry Creek Road. A portable asphalt plant would be set up temporarily during the summer of 2011. A reclamation bond would be held by DEQ to ensure that final reclamation of the site to cropland with 8:1 or flatter slopes would be completed by November 2011. This application contains all items required by the Opencut Mining Act and its implementing rules. Proponent commits to properly conducting opencut operations and would be legally bound by the permit.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
<b>1. TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:</b>	<p>The site is active cropland located on an alluvial terrace with 0-2% slopes. The site is located east of the Elk Horn Mountains and the Missouri River. The soil consists of an average of 14" of silt loam to loam soil. This area receives approximately 11 inches of precipitation per year.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> An irreversible and irretrievable removal of gravel from the site would occur. A small impact to the quantity and quality of soils from salvaging, stockpiling, and resoiling activities also would occur, but this would not impair the capacity of the soils to support full reclamation. There are no unusual topographic, geologic, soil, or special reclamation considerations that would prevent reclamation success.</p>
<b>2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION</b>	<p>The Missouri River is located approximately 800 feet to the southwest of the western access road. An irrigation canal is located approximately 700 feet to the east of the eastern mine area. There are approximately nine wells located within 1,000 feet of the proposed permit boundary. The estimated maximum depth of mining would be 12 feet below ground surface and the estimated depth of the</p>

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
	<p>water table ranges from 20 to 41 feet from ground surface. Water would be used on site for crushing and dust abatement. Approximately 20,000 gallons of water per day is expected to be utilized. Water would be obtained from the Eastside Canal Irrigation Ditch operated by the Broadwater Missouri Water Users Association.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed activities would have a minimal effect on the quantity and quality of the surface and groundwater resources.</p> <p><i>Cumulative:</i> Cumulative impacts by the proposed action on resources would be negligible.</p>
<b>3. AIR QUALITY</b>	<p>Air quality standards are based upon the Clean Air Act of Montana and pursuant rules and are administered by the DEQ Air Resources Management Bureau (ARMB). Its program is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). These rules and standards are designed to be protective of human health and the environment.</p> <p>Air quality permits would be required on the processing equipment before installment. Machinery, such as generators, crushers and asphalt plants, are individually permitted for allowable emissions. Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is the usual standard applied.</p> <p>Fugitive dust is that which blows off the pit floor, stockpiles, gravel roads, farm fields, etc. It is considered to be a nuisance but not harmful to health.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Air quality standards as set by the federal government and enforced by the ARMB would allow minimal detrimental air impacts.</p>
<b>4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY</b>	<p>The vegetation at this site is currently cropland consisting of wheat, grain, and alfalfa.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> No long term detrimental impacts to the vegetation would occur.</p>
<b>5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:</b>	<p>Although the area is used primarily for pasture, it also supports populations of deer, rodents, song birds, coyotes, foxes, raptors, insects and various other animal species. Population numbers for these species are not known.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed mine is expected to temporarily displace some individual species and it is likely that the site would be re-inhabited following reclamation to similar habitat.</p>
<b>6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:</b>	<p>The Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP) lists the following three species of concern in the vicinity of the site:</p> <p><b>Bald eagle</b> (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>) is a bird of prey found in North America that is most recognizable as the national bird and symbol of the United States of America. This sea eagle has two known sub-species and forms a species pair with the white-tailed eagle. Its range includes most of Canada and Alaska, all of the contiguous United States and northern Mexico. It is found near large bodies of open water with an abundant food supply and old-growth trees for nesting.</p> <p><b>Long-billed curlew</b> (<i>Numenius americanus</i>) is a large North American shorebird. Adults have a very long bill curved downwards, a long neck and small head. The bird usually feeds in flocks, with food consisting of crabs and various other small invertebrates.</p>

<b>IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</b>	
<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
	<p><b>Gray wolf</b> (<i>Canus lupus</i>) is the largest of the wild dogs. In Montana, its range is predominately the western mountainous portion of the state. This species is not migratory but may move seasonally following migrating ungulates within its territory. The gray wolf exhibits no particular habitat preference except for the presence of native ungulates within its territory on a year round basis.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> None of the listed species have been found on this site. Even if suitable habitat did exist on this site, the disturbance area would be small and large areas of similar or identical habitat surrounds the site. The possible impact to these species would be minimal.</p>
<b>7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES</b>	<p>The Montana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) was notified of the application. It reported that a few previously recorded sites have been discovered on this property. A pedestrian survey of the area by DEQ personnel did not reveal any artifacts or signs of occupation. SHPO feels there is a low likelihood that cultural properties will be impacted and therefore they do not recommend a cultural resource inventory at this time.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> If during operations resources were to be discovered, activities would be temporarily moved to another area or halted until SHPO was contacted and the importance of the resources was determined.</p>
<b>8. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY</b>	<p><i>Impacts:</i> Negligible impacts to land, water, air, or energy would occur.</p>

<b>IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION</b>	
<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
<b>9. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS</b>	<p>This site is not zoned.</p>
<b>10. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING</b>	<p>As seen on the aerial photo of the surrounding area, the area surrounding the proposed pit is sparsely populated and consists mainly of cropland.</p> <p><i>Impact:</i> This pit is being sited in this area because of the location of the resource, and to provide materials for road projects in the area.</p>
<b>11. AESTHETICS</b>	<p>The landowner's residence is located adjacent and to the southeast of the western mine area. An additional residence is located approximately 900 feet northwest of the proposed mine area. The hours of operation would be from 7 am to 7 pm, Monday to Saturday. The site is located in active cropland. There would be a temporary alteration of aesthetics while mining is under way. However, reclamation would return the area to cropland. The project is considered to be short-term, i.e., planned to take one year to complete.</p>
<b>12. QUANTITY/ DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT</b>	<p>Existing employees would mainly be utilized for this operation. There is low potential that this project would create a significant number of new jobs.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> New employment opportunities would be limited.</p>

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
<b>13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL, AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION</b>	The acreage listed in the proposal would be taken out of cropland use and put into industrial/commercial use. Upon completion of mining, the land would be reclaimed back to cropland. <i>Impacts:</i> Cropland production would be reduced as soil stripping and operations progress across the site. When the entire site is opened up for mining and mine-related activities, all cropland activities would cease temporarily.
<b>14. LOCAL, STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES, PERSONAL AND COMMUNITY INCOME</b>	Local, state and federal governments would be responsible for appraising the property, setting tax rates, collecting taxes, etc., from the companies, employees, or landowners benefitting from this operation. Following reclamation, it is assumed the tax base would revert to pre-mine levels
<b>15. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES</b>	Limited oversight by DEQ Opencut Program personnel would be conducted in concert with other area activity when in the vicinity.
<b>16. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY</b>	Any industrial activity will increase the opportunities for accidental injury. There are agencies that require specific safety measures are in place. If followed there is no reason to believe that significant safety issues would be present.
<b>17. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES</b>	This activity would not inhibit the use of the identified resources.
<b>18. NATIVE CULTURAL CONCERNS</b>	<i>Impacts:</i> None identified.

**19. Alternatives Considered:**

- A. Denial Alternative: The Department would deny an application that does not comply with the Act and Rules. No impacts to the natural or human environment would occur.
- B. Approval Alternative: The Department would approve an application that complies with the Act and Rules. Impacts of this application are addressed in the body of the EA.

**20. Public Involvement, Agencies, Groups or Individuals contacted:** Montana State Historic Preservation Office, Montana Natural Heritage Program.

**21. Other Governmental Agencies which May Have Overlapping or Sole Jurisdiction include, but may not be limited to:** Broadwater County Planning Department (zoning), Broadwater County Weed Control Board, MSHA and OSHA (worker safety), DEQ ARMB (air quality) and Water Protection Bureau (groundwater and surface water discharge; stormwater), DNRC (water rights), and MDT (road access).

**22. Regulatory Impact on Private Property:** The analysis done in response to the Private Property Assessment Act indicates no impact. The Department does not plan to deny the application or impose conditions that would restrict the use of private property so as to constitute a taking.

**23. Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impacts:** This proposal is not likely to create impacts of significance due to mitigation, restrictions, and oversight mandated by the Opencut Mining Act and pursuant rules and the Montana Clean Air Act.



## PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT (PPAA) CHECKLIST

DOES THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION HAVE TAKINGS IMPLICATIONS UNDER THE PPAA?

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	4. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? (If answer is NO, skip questions 5a and 5b and continue with question 6.)
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property?
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally? (If the answer is NO, skip questions 7a-7c)
		7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
		7b. Has the government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded?
		7c. Has the government action diminished property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?

Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b.

If taking or damaging implications exist, the agency must comply with § 5 of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.

NGER CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
SON SITE  
WATER COUNTY  
T27, T6N, R2E  
R 25, 2010

