

CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Project Name: | Varney Road Fence Construction |
| Proposed Implementation Date: | May 2010 |
| Proponent: | Combs River Ranch LLC |
| Location: | Township 7S Range 1W Section 16 |
| County: | Madison |
| Trust: | Common Schools |

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

The proposed Improvements Request is for the construction of a fence along the county road (Varney Road) bordering the northern portion of the section. The fence (made of wooden posts and four strings of barb wire) would contain cattle within the parcel, and inhibit motorized/ATV use that is damaging the land and causing erosion problems. The proposed fence will include three metal gates and two walk in gates.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

Combs River Ranch LLC – Lessee
Montana Natural Heritage Program – Animal species of Concern
Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks – Julie Cunningham, Wildlife Biologist
John Scully – Road Easement has no issues with the Combs building a fence.

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

None

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Grant Improvements – Allow for the construction of the fence.

No Action – Do not allow for the construction of the fence, leaving the parcel as is.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

None

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

No effect on water quality, quantity and distribution.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

None

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

No cumulative effects to vegetation are expected as a result of this proposed project.

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

The area in which this parcel is location is highly traveled by Pronghorns, and a fence could greatly limit their mobility and potentially be life threatening if they got tangled in the wire (seen a lot during hunting season), Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks would prefer that if a fence must be built, it be wildlife friendly. This would include such things as the bottom wire being 16 inches or higher, a smooth bottom wire, a 'goat bar' and/or gaps in the fence.

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

The Montana Natural Heritage Program lists one mammal, six birds, and one fish as species of concern in the Township and Range in which the parcel is located. The mammal (Grizzly Bear) may be inhibited by the construction of a fence.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

No cultural resources have been identified on the track.

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

The proposed project is located along Varney Road (one mile east of Varney Bridge on the Madison River), and would be visible from the road. However, the project would not be detrimental to the aesthetic values of the area.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

None

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

None

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- *RESOURCES* potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain **POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS** following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

None

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

None

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

None

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

None

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

None

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

None

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

ATV use is causing some land degradation of the parcel in which the construction of a fence could help mitigate. The proposed construction of the fence includes three medal gates and two walk in gates in order for recreational activities to continue on the parcel.

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing.

None

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

None

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

None

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

The fence would enable more efficient management of the livestock on this parcel of school Trust Land leased for Grazing.

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| EA Checklist Prepared By: | Name: Katie Svoboda/s/ | Date: 6/3/2010 |
| | Title: Bozeman Unit Office Manager | |

| |
|-------------------|
| V. FINDING |
|-------------------|

25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED: Allow for the construction of the fence.

26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: The fence will be required to be constructed according to MTFWP wildlife friendly standards and will be following a county road. No significant impacts would be expected.

27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:

EIS

More Detailed EA

No Further Analysis

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| EA Checklist Approved By: | Name: Craig Campbell |
| | Title: Bozeman Unit Manager |
| Signature: Craig Campbell/s/ | Date: 6/4/2010 |