DEQ OPENCUT MINING PROGRAM

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

APPLICANT: L.S. Jensen Construction & Ready Mix

COUNTY: Ravalli

SITE NAME: Townsend

DATE: September 2011

LOCATION: Section 19, T8 N, R20 W

APPROVED PERMIT #: 1935

Type and Purpose of Action: Operator has applied for an amendment to change the date of final reclamation to June 2018, increase the quantity of material to be mined, remove the 0.2 acre access road from the permit, and add to the postmining land uses. Postmining land uses will include an internal road, rangeland/pasture area, a year-round pond, a beach and boat launch area, a landowner equipment storage area, a landowner material stockpile area, an industrial/commercial area, and berms.

Site Description: No area is proposed to be added to the existing 16.9-acre site. The 0.2 acre access road will be omitted from the main permit area, thereby leaving a total of 16.7 acres. The postmining land use areas can be seen on the attached map. There are no site characteristics of special concern, or nearby residences or public use areas.

Potential Impacts and Mitigation: Use of the amendment area would not cause substantial impacts on the physical environment and human population. Proponent would be legally bound by their permit to reclaim the site to the above stated postmining land uses. The 2010 Environmental Assessment is applicable to this action.

Prepared By: Kenley Stone — Opencut Mining Program Environmental Specialist
Name — Title

Reviewed By: Chris Cronin — Opencut Mining Program Supervisor
Name — Title

PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT (PPAA) CHECKLIST

DOES THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION HAVE TAKINGS IMPLICATIONS UNDER THE PPAA?

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	4. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? (If answer is NO, skip questions 5a and 5b and continue with question 6.)
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property?
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally? (If the answer is NO, skip questions 7a-7c)
		7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
		7b. Has the government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded?
		7c. Has the government action diminished property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?

Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b.

If taking or damaging implications exist, the agency must comply with § 5 of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.

RECEIVED AUG 15 2011