

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

### On an Application for an OPENCUT MINING PERMIT

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) prepared this Environmental Assessment (EA) in accordance with requirements of the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). An EA functions to identify, disclose, and analyze the impacts of a proposed action. This document may disclose impacts that have no legislatively required mitigation measures, or over which there is no regulatory authority.

The state law that regulates gravel mining operations in Montana is the Opencut Mining Act. This law and the rules adopted thereunder place operational guidance and limitations on a project during its lifetime, and provide for the reclamation of land affected by opencut mining operations.

Local governments and other state agencies may have authority over different resources and activities under their regulations. Approval or denial of this Opencut Application will be based on a determination of whether or not the proposed operation complies with the Opencut Mining Act and the rules adopted thereunder. The DEQ approval of this application would not relieve the operator from the obligation to comply with any other applicable federal, state, or county statutes, regulations, or ordinances. The operator is responsible for obtaining any other permits, licenses, approvals, etc. that are required for any part of the proposed operation.

**APPLICANT:** SK Construction, Inc.

**COUNTY:** Blaine

**SITE NAME:** Pike

**DATE:** December 2011

**LOCATION:** Section 23, T33N, R19 E

**PROPOSAL:** The applicant proposes to permit a new, short-term borrow pit to mine 120,000 cubic yards of soil from a 24.3-acre site located 1.8 miles northeast of Chinook, MT. A reclamation bond would be held by DEQ to ensure that final reclamation of the site to rangeland/pasture would be completed by November, 2015. This application contains all items required by the Opencut Mining Act and its implementing rules. Proponent commits to properly conducting opencut operations and would be legally bound by the permit.

<b>IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</b>	
<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
<b>1. TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:</b>	<p>The site is situated on a terrace above the Lodge Creek floodplain and consists of hummocky ridges surrounded by flat level ground. Minor isolated gravel deposits occupy knobs and ridge tops.</p> <p>The onsite soils consist primarily of Hillon-Kevin clay loams, 15 to 35 percent slopes and Phillips-Elloam complex, 0 to 4 percent slopes. The operator will replace 12 inches of soil and 0 inches of overburden. The site receives approximately 13 inches of precipitation a year.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> An irreversible and irretrievable removal of gravel from the site would occur. A small impact to the quantity and quality of soils from salvaging, stockpiling, and resoiling activities also would occur, but this would not impair the capacity of the soils to support full reclamation. There are no unusual topographic, geologic, soil, or special reclamation considerations that would prevent reclamation success.</p>

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
<b>2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION</b>	<p>No surface water is located within 1,000 feet of the site. Water would be imported to the site for use in dust control. The water source would be located in excess of 1,000 feet from the site.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed activities would have a minimal effect on the quantity and quality of the surface and groundwater resources.</p>
<b>3. AIR QUALITY</b>	<p>Air quality standards are based upon the Clean Air Act of Montana and pursuant rules and are administered by the DEQ Air Resources Management Bureau (ARMB). Its program is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). These rules and standards are designed to be protective of human health and the environment.</p> <p>Air quality permits would be required on the processing equipment before installment. Machinery, such as generators, crushers and asphalt plants, are individually permitted for allowable emissions. Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is the usual standard applied. Fugitive dust is that which blows off the pit floor, stockpiles, gravel roads, farm fields, etc. It is considered to be a nuisance but not harmful to health.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Air quality standards as set by the federal government and enforced by the ARMB would allow minimal detrimental air impacts.</p>
<b>4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY</b>	<p>There are no known rare or sensitive plants or cover types present in the site area. Onsite vegetation consists of various grasses, yellow sweet clover, and sage brush; and provides approximately 90% cover. The vegetation would be removed as soil is stripped and the site would be replanted with plant species compatible with the proposed reclaimed use.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> No long term detrimental impacts to the vegetation would occur.</p>
<b>5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:</b>	<p>Although the area is used primarily for pasture, it also supports populations of deer, rodents, song birds, coyotes, foxes, raptors, insects and various other animal species. Population numbers for these species are not known.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed mine is expected to temporarily displace some individual species and it is likely that the site would be re-inhabited following reclamation to similar habitat.</p>
<b>6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:</b>	<p>The Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP) lists the following eight species of concern in the vicinity of the site:</p> <p><b>Great Blue Heron</b> (<i>Ardea herodias</i>) is the largest heron in North America, 60 cm tall and 97 to 135 cm long. Its upper parts are gray, and the fore-neck is streaked with white, black, and rust-brown. Great Blue Herons breed from southern Alaska southeast across central Canada to Nova Scotia and south to Guatemala, Belize, and the Galapagos Islands. Most Montana nesting colonies are in cottonwoods along major rivers and lakes; a smaller number occur in riparian ponderosa pines and on islands in prairie wetlands. Great Blue Herons eat mostly fish but also amphibians, invertebrates, reptiles, mammals, and birds. Disturbance by humans and loss of protected colony sites are major threats.</p> <p><b>Ferruginous hawk</b> (<i>Buteo regalis</i>) is a large bird of prey. Most of Montana is summer range for this raptor. Fall migration begins in August and continues into early September. Young birds will migrate south earlier than, and independent of adults. The habitat of this hawk is described as mixed-grass prairie, shrub-grasslands, grasslands, grass-sagebrush complex, and sagebrush steppe.</p> <p><b>Northern Redbelly Dace</b> (<i>Phoxinus eos</i>) is a Montana small minnow. Its</p>

**IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT**

<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
	<p>maximum size is about 3 inches. The Northern Redbelly Dace is olive to dark brown above; the lower side and belly are yellow or silvery except on adult males during summer when the lower side is red. Northern Redbelly Dace are found in clear, cool, slow-flowing creeks, ponds and lakes with aquatic vegetation, including filamentous algae, and sandy or gravelly bottoms interspersed with silt. As with many small native stream fishes, Northern Redbelly Dace could be adversely affected by stream channelization, reductions to discharge, changes in water quality and temperature, and introductions of non-native predatory fishes.</p> <p><b>Pearl Dace</b> (<i>Margariscus margarita</i>) is a fish native to both the eastern and northern drainages within the glaciated plains of Montana. It has a dark back, sides that are dusky-silver, and white underside. They prefer small cool streams either clear or turbid. They eat a variety of aquatic organisms including insects, crustaceans, worms, and small fish.</p> <p><b>Iowa Darter</b> (<i>Etheostoma exile</i>) is a fish that is greenish or brownish with about eight saddle bands across the back and about nine to twelve dark blotches on the side. They range across much of south-central Canada and the north-central United States. They prefer clear slow-flowing streams with solid bottoms, although they have a wide range of tolerance for changes in water flow rates. Food consists mostly of small crustaceans and aquatic insect larvae.</p> <p><b>Sauger</b> (<i>Sander canadensis</i>) is a fish native to Montana east of the Continental Divide. It inhabits both large rivers and reservoirs, but is mainly a river fish. In the spring, sauger broadcast their spawn over riffles in rivers. Sauger are a highly prized sport fish and in some areas outside Montana are also a commercially fished. Their major food items are insects and small fish.</p> <p><b>Golden Eagle</b> (<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>) is a large predator bird with gold on the head and neck feathers and light brown bands in the tail. Golden Eagles nest on cliffs and in large trees and hunt over prairie and open woodlands. They primarily eat jack rabbits, ground squirrels and carrion, although they will occasionally prey on deer and pronghorn (mostly fawns), waterfowl, grouse, weasels, skunks, and other animals.</p> <p><b>Swift fox</b> (<i>Vulpes velox</i>) is small fox with white chest and belly and black tipped tail. Its habitat includes open prairie and arid plains, including areas intermixed with winter wheat fields. They utilize burrows located in sandy soil on high ground, such as hill tops. Its diet likely consists of small mammals and insects, although it is an opportunistic feeder.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> None of the listed species have been found on this site. Even if suitable habitat did exist on this site, the disturbance area would be small and large areas of similar or identical habitat surrounds the site. The possible impact to these species would be minimal.</p>
<p><b>7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES</b></p>	<p>The Montana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) was notified of the application. It reported that one archeological site has been discovered previously on this property. The Montana historical society recommends that a cultural resource inventory be conducted at this site in order to determine whether or not sites exist and if they would be impacted. A pedestrian survey of the area by DEQ personnel did not reveal any artifacts or signs of occupation.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> If during operations resources were to be discovered, activities would be temporarily moved to another area or halted until SHPO was contacted and the importance of the resources was determined.</p>

<b>IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</b>	
<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
<b>8. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY</b>	<p>There are no unusual demands on land, water, air or energy anticipated as a result of this project.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Negligible impacts to land, water, air, or energy would occur.</p>

<b>IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION</b>	
<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
<b>9. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS</b>	County zoning clearance has been obtained. The site is not zoned.
<b>10. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING</b>	<p>As seen on the aerial photo of the surrounding area, there are 6 to 7 nearby residences. Two of them are within 500 feet.</p> <p><i>Impact:</i> This commercial pit is being sited in this area because of the location of the resource, and to provide resources for an MDT project.</p>
<b>11. AESTHETICS</b>	<p>The site is located in a common pastureland area. There would be a temporary alteration of aesthetics while mining is under way. However, reclamation would return the area to a visually acceptable landscape. This project is considered to be short-term, i.e., planned to take 4 years to complete.</p> <p>Hours of Operation have been limited to 6a.m. to 10p.m. Monday through Saturday.</p>
<b>12. QUANTITY/ DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT</b>	<p>Existing employees would mainly be utilized for this operation. There is low potential that this project would create a significant number of new jobs.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> New employment opportunities would be limited.</p>
<b>13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL, AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION</b>	<p>The acreage listed in the proposal would be taken out of rangeland/pasture use. Upon completion of mining, the land would be reclaimed to rangeland/pasture.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Rangeland/pasture production would cease for the duration of mining and reclamation activity.</p>
<b>14. LOCAL, STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES, PERSONAL AND COMMUNITY INCOME</b>	Local, state and federal governments would be responsible for appraising the property, setting tax rates, collecting taxes, etc., from the companies, employees, or landowners benefitting from this operation. Following reclamation, it is assumed the tax base would revert to pre-mine levels.
<b>15. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES</b>	Limited oversight by DEQ Opencut Program personnel would be conducted in concert with other area activity when in the vicinity.
<b>16. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY</b>	Any industrial activity will increase the opportunities for accidental injury. There are agencies that require specific safety measures are in place. If followed there is no reason to believe that significant safety issues would be present.
<b>17. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES</b>	This activity would not inhibit the use of the identified resources.
<b>18. NATIVE CULTURAL CONCERNS</b>	<i>Impacts:</i> None identified.



## PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT (PPAA) CHECKLIST

DOES THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION HAVE TAKINGS IMPLICATIONS UNDER THE PPAA?

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	4. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? (If answer is NO, skip questions 5a and 5b and continue with question 6.)
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property?
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally? (If the answer is NO, skip questions 7a-7c)
		7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
		7b. Has the government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded?
		7c. Has the government action diminished property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?

Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b.

If taking or damaging implications exist, the agency must comply with § 5 of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.



RECEIVED NOV 20 2011