

**Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation
Environmental Assessment**

Operator: Continental Resources, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Jeanette 2-11H
Location: NE NE Section 11 T23N R54E
County: Richland, **MT**; **Field (or Wildcat)** W/C (Bakken Horizontal)

Air Quality

(possible concerns)

Long drilling time: No, long time drilling, will require about 30 to 35 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, will utilize a triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single lateral Bakken Formation horizontal well, 19,546'MD/10,008'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance of H2S gas.
In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area nearby.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under rule 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

- Air quality permit (AQB review)
- Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
- Special equipment/procedures requirements
- Other: _____

Comments: No special concerns – using triple rig to drill a single lateral Bakken Formation horizontal well, 19,546'MD/10,008'TVD.

Water Quality

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: Yes, oil based invert drilling fluids to drill the intermediate casing string hole and saltwater/brine fluid system to drill the horizontal lateral. Freshwater and freshwater mud system to be used to drill the surface hole.
High water table: None anticipated at this location.
Surface drainage leads to live water: Yes, nearest ephemeral drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to Fox Lake, about 1/16 of a mile to the west of this location.
Water well contamination: No, closest water well is about 1/2 of a mile to the south southwest from this location. All water wells range in depth from 27' to 236' in depth. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater drilling fluids. Surface casing will be set at 1900' and cemented to surface.
Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sandy clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.

Mitigation:

- Lined reserve pit
- Adequate surface casing
- Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
- Closed mud system
- Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
- Other: _____

Comments: 1900' of surface casing cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones and will cover the base of the Fox Hills Formation.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated.

High erosion potential: No, moderate cut, up to 12.8' and small fill, up to 5.9', required.

Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling if unproductive. If productive, unused portion of this drilling location will be restored.

Unusually large wellsite: No, large wellsite, 500'X270' location size required.

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use cultivated land.

Conflict with existing land use/values Slight

Mitigation

Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)

Exception location requested

Stockpile topsoil

Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)

Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive

Special construction methods to enhance reclamation

Other

Comments: Access will be over existing county roads, #321 and #129. About 50' of new access road will be built into this location. Cuttings will be buried in the lined reserve pit. Oil based invert drilling fluids will be recycled. Reserve pit fluids and completion fluids will hauled to a commercial SWD well. No special concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: No residences within 1 mile in any direction from this location

Possibility of H2S: Slight chance.

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig/short 30 to 35 days drilling time required.

Mitigation:

Proper BOP equipment

Topographic sound barriers

H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan

Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other:

Comments: Adequate surface casing and operational BOPs should mitigate any problems. No concerns.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): Fox Lake State Game Management Area 6.7 miles to the southeast from this location.

Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No

Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened by the USFWS are Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Lease Tern, Piping Plover and Whooping Crane. Species listed as candidate species are the Greater Sage Grouse and Spragues Pipit. NH tracker website for this Township and Range lists no species of concern.

Mitigation:

- Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
- Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
- Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
- Other: _____

Comments: No concerns.

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites None identified.

Mitigation

- avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
- other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
- Other: _____

Comments: Private cultivated land. No concerns.

Social/Economic

(possible concerns)

- Substantial effect on tax base
- Create demand for new governmental services
- Population increase or relocation

Comments: No concerns.

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

Will drill a single lateral Bakken Formation horizontal well, 19,546'MD/10,008'TVD. Surface use is a cultivated field. No special concerns.

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No significant long term impacts expected, some short term impacts will occur.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): Steven Sasaki

(title:) Chief Field Inspector

Date: May 6, 2011

Other Persons Contacted:

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website

(Name and Agency)
Water wells in Richland County
(subject discussed)
May 6, 2011
(date)

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA
COUNTIES, Richland County
(subject discussed)
May 6, 2011
(date)

Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP)
(Name and Agency)
Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T23N R54E
(subject discussed)
May 6, 2011
(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date: _____
Inspector: _____
Others present during inspection: _____