

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation Water Resources Division Water Rights Bureau
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT For Routine Actions with Limited Environmental Impact

Part I. Proposed Action Description

1. *Applicant/Contact name and address:* Andrew Hollensteiner
10460 North Fork Rd
Polebridge, MT 59928

2. *Type of action:* Permit to Appropriate Water 76LJ 30040285

3. *Water source name:* Groundwater

4. *Location affected by project:* NE¹/₄ SE¹/₄ NE¹/₄ of Section 28, Township 35 north,
Range 21 west, Flathead County

5. *Narrative summary of the proposed project, purpose, action to be taken, and benefits:*

This application is to obtain a water use permit for a well located in the Glacier National Park Compact Area in the above-described location. The applicant proposes to divert water using a pump at a rate of 10 GPM up to 5.5 acre-feet per year. The proposed use is for multiple domestic use from January 1 through December 31 and for lawn and garden from April 1 through October 1.

6. *Agencies consulted during preparation of the Environmental Assessment:
(include agencies with overlapping jurisdiction)*

Montana Natural Resource Program Species of Concern
 US Fish and Wildlife Service Wetlands Mapper
 Natural Resource Conservation Service Web Soil Survey

Part II. Environmental Review

1. Environmental Impact Checklist:

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

WATER QUANTITY, QUALITY AND DISTRIBUTION

Water quantity - Assess whether the source of supply is identified as a chronically or periodically dewatered stream by DFWP. Assess whether the proposed use will worsen the already dewatered condition.

Determination: The source is groundwater, therefore this is not applicable.

Water quality - Assess whether the stream is listed as water quality impaired or threatened by DEQ, and whether the proposed project will affect water quality.

Determination: The source is groundwater, therefore this is not applicable.

Groundwater - Assess if the proposed project impacts ground water quality or supply. If this is a groundwater appropriation, assess if it could impact adjacent surface water flows.

Determination: The applicant will derive ground water from the aquifer at a rate up to 10 GPM. The well was completed to a depth of 140 feet below ground surface (bgs) and has a static water level of 100 feet.

DIVERSION WORKS - Assess whether the means of diversion, construction and operation of the appropriation works of the proposed project will impact any of the following: channel impacts, flow modifications, barriers, riparian areas, dams, well construction.

The well was constructed with a 6 inch casing to a depth of 130 feet bgs with screening from 121 to 126 ft. The well was completed by a licensed Montana state driller, license WWC-5, in August of 1989. No significant impacts have been noted.

Determination: No impact.

UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

Endangered and threatened species - Assess whether the proposed project will impact any threatened or endangered fish, wildlife, plants or aquatic species or any "species of special concern," or create a barrier to the migration or movement of fish or wildlife. For groundwater, assess whether the proposed project, including impacts on adjacent surface flows, would impact any threatened or endangered species or "species of special concern."

The Montana Natural Heritage Program website was referenced to determine if there are any threatened or endangered fish, wildlife, plants or aquatic species or any "species of special concern" in vicinity of Township 35N and Range 21W, that could be impacted by the proposed project. The US Fish and Wildlife Service identified the endangered Gray Wolf (*Canis lupus*),

and the threatened Canada Lynx (*Lynx canadensis*), Grizzly Bear (*Ursus arctos*), and Bull Trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*). In addition the State of Montana, US Forest Service, and Bureau of Land Management identified the following species of special concern: Wolverine (*Gulo gulo*); Fisher (*Martes pennanti*); Great Blue Heron (*Area herodias*); Common Loon (*Gavia immer*); Harlequin Duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*); Lewis's Woodpecker (*Melanerpes lewis*); Black-backed Woodpecker (*Picoides arcticus*); Horned Grebe (*Podiceps auritus*); Brewer's Sparrow (*Spizella breweri*); Westslope Cutthroat Trout (*Onchorhynchus clarkia lewisi*); Arctic Grayling (*Thymallus arcticus*); Adnate Moonwort (*Botrychium adnatum*); Frenchman's Bluff Moonwort (*Botrychium gallicomontanum*); Western Moonwort (*Botrychium hesperium*); Pale Moonwort (*Botrychium pallidum*); Peculiar Moonwort (*Botrychium simplex*); Arctic Sweet Coltsfoot (*Petasites frigidus* var. *frigidus*); Gray Lungwort Lichen (*Lobaria hallii*)

Determination: The well is drilled and should not impact area wildlife.

Wetlands - Consult and assess whether the apparent wetland is a functional wetland (according to COE definitions), and whether the wetland resource would be impacted.

Determination: The proposed place of use is not within the boundaries of wetlands mapped by the national wetlands inventory program.

Ponds - For ponds, consult and assess whether existing wildlife, waterfowl, or fisheries resources would be impacted.

Determination: N/A

GEOLOGY/SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE - Assess whether there will be degradation of soil quality, alteration of soil stability, or moisture content. Assess whether the soils are heavy in salts that could cause saline seep.

Determination: No degradation of soils is expected.

VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY/NOXIOUS WEEDS - Assess impacts to existing vegetative cover. Assess whether the proposed project would result in the establishment or spread of noxious weeds.

Determination: There will be no change in land-use characteristics associated with this application so there will be no significant impact.

AIR QUALITY - Assess whether there will be a deterioration of air quality or adverse effects on vegetation due to increased air pollutants.

Determination: No impact.

HISTORICAL AND ARCHEOLOGICAL SITES - Assess whether there will be degradation of unique archeological or historical sites in the vicinity of the proposed project.

Determination: There will be no change in land-use characteristics associated with this application so there will be no significant impact.

DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AND ENERGY - Assess any other impacts on environmental resources of land, water and energy not already addressed.

Determination: None

HUMAN ENVIRONMENT

LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS - Assess whether the proposed project is inconsistent with any locally adopted environmental plans and goals.

Determination: The project is consistent with planned land use.

ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES - Assess whether the proposed project will impact access to or the quality of recreational and wilderness activities.

Determination: There should be no significant impacts on recreational or wilderness activities from this proposed use.

HUMAN HEALTH - Assess whether the proposed project impacts on human health.

Determination: No impact.

PRIVATE PROPERTY - Assess whether there are any government regulatory impacts on private property rights.

Yes ___ No X If yes, analyze any alternatives considered that could reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights.

Determination: No impact.

OTHER HUMAN ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES - For routine actions of limited environmental impact, the following may be addressed in a checklist fashion.

Impacts on:

- (a) Cultural uniqueness and diversity? No
- (b) Local and state tax base and tax revenues? No
- (c) Existing land uses? No
- (d) Quantity and distribution of employment? No
- (e) Distribution and density of population and housing? No
- (f) Demands for government services? No

- (g) Industrial and commercial activity? No
- (h) Utilities? No
- (i) Transportation? No
- (j) Safety? No
- (k) Other appropriate social and economic circumstances? No

2. Secondary and cumulative impacts on the physical environment and human population:

Secondary Impacts: None

Cumulative Impacts: None

3. Describe any mitigation/stipulation measures: None

4. Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives to the proposed action, including the no action alternative, if an alternative is reasonably available and prudent to consider:

The “no action” alternative to this proposed project will result in the landowner not having access to water for domestic purposes.

PART III. Conclusion

1. Preferred Alternative: As proposed

2. Comments and Responses: None

3. Finding:

Yes ___ No **X** Based on the significance criteria evaluated in this EA, is an EIS required?

If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for this proposed action: No significant impacts have been identified; therefore, no EIS is necessary.

Name of person(s) responsible for preparation of EA:

Name: Tim Eichner

Title: Hydrologist/Water Resources Specialist

Date: May 9, 2011