

**Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation  
Environmental Assessment**

**Operator:** Slawson Exploration Company, Inc.  
**Well Name/Number:** Android 1-6H  
**Location:** SE SE Section 6 T23N R53E  
**County:** Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat

**Air Quality**

(possible concerns)

Long drilling time: No, 25-35 days drilling time.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): Triple derrick drilling rig. Drilling a single lateral horizontal Middle Bakken Formation well, 13,093'MD/9,221'TVD.

Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S.

In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

Air quality permit (AQB review)

Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas

Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Single lateral, 13,093'MD/9,221'TVD, a single lateral Bakken Formation horizontal well.

**Water Quality**

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: Yes intermediate string casing hole will be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids. Oil based invert drilling fluids for horizontal leg. Surface casing hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud.

High water table: No high water table expected.

Surface drainage leads to live water: Yes, closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to East Redwater Creek, about 1/16 of a mile to the south from this location. East Redwater Creek is about 5/8 of a mile to the northeast from this location.

Water well contamination: No, closest nearby wells are 1 mile and further from this location. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and surface casing will be cemented to surface from 1550'.

Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sand clay soils.

Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.

Mitigation:

Lined reserve pit

Adequate surface casing

Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage

Closed mud system

Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: 1550' surface casing to be set to protect freshwater zones and to cover the Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and BOP equipment to prevent problems in and around freshwater slough.

**Soils/Vegetation/Land Use**

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated.

High erosion potential: Yes, location will require moderate cut, up to 16.3' and small fill, up to 9.0' required.

Loss of soil productivity: None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.

Unusually large wellsite: No, very large well site 450'X400'

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is grazing land.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

Mitigation

Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)

Exception location requested

Stockpile topsoil

Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)

Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive

Special construction methods to enhance reclamation

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Access will be over existing county road, #313 and existing two track trail. An access road will be built into location off the existing two track trail, about 390' new road will be built into this location. Closed Loop Mud System will be used. Cuttings will be held in an above ground lined and bermed area. Cuttings will be flyashed and buried with a minimum of 4' of cover. Oil based invert drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be hauled to a Class II disposal. Pit will be allowed to dry before being backfilled. No concerns.

### Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residence is 1 mile and further in any direction from this location.

Possibility of H2S: Slight chance H2S.

Size of rig/length of drilling time Triple drilling rig 25 to 35 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

Proper BOP equipment

Topographic sound barriers

H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan

Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should mitigate any problems.

### Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.

Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No

Threatened or endangered Species Threatened or endangered species listed in Richland county by USFW service are Pallid Sturgeon, Piping Plover, Interior Lease Tern and Whooping Crane. Candidate species are the Greater Sage Grouse and the Sprague's Pipit. NH tracker website lists the following as "Species of Concern": None listed.

Mitigation:

Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)

Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)

Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There maybe species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern are discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.

### Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites None identified.

Mitigation

avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)

other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.

### Social/Economic

(possible concerns)

Substantial effect on tax base

Create demand for new governmental services

Population increase or relocation

Comments: No concerns.

---

---

### Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

A single lateral Bakken horizontal well, 13,093' MD/9,221' TVD.

### Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki

(title:) Chief Field Inspector

Date: October 22, 2011

Other Persons Contacted:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Name and Agency)

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website.

(subject discussed)

Water wells in Richland County

(date)

October 22, 2011

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website

(Name and Agency)

ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA  
COUNTIES, Richland County

(subject discussed)

October 22, 2011 \_\_\_\_\_

(date)

Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP)

(Name and Agency)

Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T23N R53E

(subject discussed)

October 22, 2011 \_\_\_\_\_

(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:

Inspection date: \_\_\_\_\_

Inspector: \_\_\_\_\_

Others present during inspection: \_\_\_\_\_