

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

On an Application for an OPENCUT MINING PERMIT

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) prepared this Environmental Assessment (EA) in accordance with requirements of the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). An EA functions to identify, disclose, and analyze the impacts of a proposed action. This document may disclose impacts that have no legislatively required mitigation measures, or over which there is no regulatory authority.

The state law that regulates gravel mining operations in Montana is the Opencut Mining Act. This law and the rules adopted thereunder place operational guidance and limitations on a project during its lifetime, and provide for the reclamation of land affected by opencut mining operations.

Local governments and other state agencies may have authority over different resources and activities under their regulations. Approval or denial of this Opencut Application will be based on a determination of whether or not the proposed operation complies with the Opencut Mining Act and the rules adopted thereunder. The DEQ approval of this application would not relieve the operator from the obligation to comply with any other applicable federal, state, or county statutes, regulations, or ordinances. The operator is responsible for obtaining any other permits, licenses, approvals, etc. that are required for any part of the proposed operation.

APPLICANT: Woodford, Louanne and Jay

COUNTY: Petroleum

SITE NAME: Woodford North

DATE: January 2012

LOCATION: Section 32 & 33, T14 N, R30 E

PROPOSAL: The applicant proposes to permit a new, long-term gravel pit to mine, screen, crush, stockpile, and transport 800,000 cubic yards of gravel from a 41.4-acre site located 5 miles south of Mosby. County Road 500 bisects the site with a right of way 90 feet wide. The site's proposed permit area has 25.8 acres located west of the road and 15.6 acres on the east side of the road. The site has two small historical gravel pit areas that have been reclaimed. The reclaimed pit areas encompass approximately 2.5 acres that will be re-disturbed.

A reclamation bond would be held by DEQ to ensure that final reclamation of the site to grassland would be completed by November 2034. This application contains all items required by the Opencut Mining Act and its implementing rules. Proponent commits to properly conducting opencut operations and would be legally bound by the permit.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
1. TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:	<p>The site is a gently sloping alluvial terrace located above the Musselshell River. The onsite soils consist of clay loams. The operator will replace 10 inches of soil and 8 inches of overburden. The site receives approximately 13 inches of precipitation per year.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> An irreversible and irretrievable removal of gravel from the site would occur. A small impact to the quantity and quality of soils from salvaging, stockpiling, and resoiling activities also would occur, but this would not impair the capacity of the soils to support full reclamation. There are no unusual topographic, geologic, soil, or special reclamation considerations that would prevent reclamation success.</p>

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION	<p>The site has a small (1/2 acre) seasonal pond in a reclaimed gravel pit area. The pond water is from snowmelt and surface flow. The south and east boundaries of the site are adjacent to breaks and gullies that flow to the Musselshell River. Water used onsite will only be for dust control and will come from an offsite source.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed activities would have a minimal effect on the quantity and quality of the surface and groundwater resources.</p> <p><i>Cumulative:</i> Cumulative impacts on the proposed resources would be negligible.</p>
3. AIR QUALITY	<p>Air quality standards are based upon the Clean Air Act of Montana and pursuant rules and are administered by the DEQ Air Resources Management Bureau (ARMB). Its program is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). These rules and standards are designed to be protective of human health and the environment.</p> <p>Air quality permits would be required on the processing equipment before installment. Machinery, such as generators, crushers and asphalt plants, are individually permitted for allowable emissions. Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is the usual standard applied.</p> <p>Fugitive dust is that which blows off the pit floor, stockpiles, gravel roads, farm fields, etc. It is considered to be a nuisance but not harmful to health.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Air quality standards as set by the federal government and enforced by the ARMB would allow minimal detrimental air impacts.</p>
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY	<p>There are no known rare or sensitive plants or cover types present in the site area. Onsite vegetation consists of sagebrush and numerous wheatgrasses including crested, intermediate, bluebunch and western; and provides approximately 75 to 90% cover. The vegetation would be removed as soil is stripped and the site would be replanted with plant species compatible with the proposed reclaimed use.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> No long term detrimental impacts to the vegetation would occur.</p>
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:	<p>Although the area is used primarily for pasture, it also supports populations of deer, antelope, rodents, song birds, coyotes, foxes, raptors, insects and various other animal species. Population numbers for these species are not known.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed mine is expected to temporarily displace some individual species and it is likely that the site would be re-inhabited following reclamation to similar habitat.</p>
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:	<p>The Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP) lists the following 5 species of concern in the vicinity of the site:</p> <p>Greater sage-grouse (<i>Centrocercus urophasianus</i>) is the largest of Montana's grouse. In Montana, it ranges primarily in the southwestern and eastern portions of the state. This species does not migrate. Sagebrush is its preferred habitat.</p> <p>Sauger (<i>Sander canadensis</i>) is a fish native to Montana east of the Continental Divide. It inhabits both large rivers and reservoirs, but is mainly a river fish. In the spring, sauger broadcast their spawn over riffles in rivers. Sauger are a highly prized sport fish and in some areas outside Montana are also a commercial fish. Their major food items are insects and small fish.</p>

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
	<p>Blue Sucker (<i>Cycleptus elongates</i>) is a fish that appears to inhabit only the larger streams, primarily the Missouri and Yellowstone rivers. It has an elongated shape, long dorsal fin and slate-blue coloration. It grows to slightly larger than 10 pounds. They prefer water with low turbidity and swift current. They feed mainly on aquatic insects.</p> <p>Black-tailed prairie dog (<i>Cynomys ludovicianus</i>) is the largest of the prairie dog species. In Montana, its range includes the eastern and central portions of the state, plus some intermountain valleys. This species is not known to migrate. Prairie dog colonies are found on flat, open grasslands and shrub/grasslands with low, relatively sparse vegetation.</p> <p>Spiny softshell turtle (<i>Apalone spinifera</i>) is primarily a riverine species, occupying large rivers and river impoundments, but it also occurs in lakes, ponds along rivers, pools along intermittent streams, bayous, irrigation canals, and oxbows. It usually is found in areas with open sandy or mud banks, a soft bottom, and submerged brush and other debris. Adult females can reach 52 centimeters in carapace length, but much less in adult males (which average about 10 centimeters shorter). The shell of the spiny softshell is flattened (pancake-like), with flexible edges and covered with leathery skin; the snout is tubular; the tail is thick and long.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> None of the listed species have been found on this site. Even if suitable habitat did exist on this site, the disturbance area would be small and large areas of similar or identical habitat surrounds the site. The possible impact to these species would be minimal.</p>
7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES	<p>The Montana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) was notified of the application. It reported that no sites have been discovered previously on this property. A pedestrian survey of the area by DEQ personnel did not reveal any artifacts or signs of occupation. No signs were evident at depth in the previously disturbed area. The SHPO feels that the project has the potential to impact cultural resources, and recommends that a cultural resource inventory be conducted at this site in order to determine whether or not sites exist and if they would be impacted.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> If during operations resources were to be discovered, activities would be temporarily moved to another area or halted until SHPO was contacted and the importance of the resources was determined.</p>
8. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY	<p>There are no unusual demands on land, water, air or energy anticipated as a result of this project.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Negligible impacts to land, water, air, or energy would occur.</p>

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
9. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS	<p>County zoning clearance has been obtained.</p> <p>The site is not zoned.</p>

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
10. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING	As seen on the aerial photo of the surrounding area, there are rural ranch residences ½ mile to the east and 1 ½ miles to the south. <i>Impact:</i> This commercial pit is being sited in this area because of the location of the resource, and to service the need for domestic oil production by providing resources for facility roads and drill pads in this area of the country.
11. AESTHETICS	The site is located in a common grassland area. The site will be visible to motorists on County Road 500. There would be a temporary alteration of aesthetics while mining is under way. However, reclamation would return the area to a visually acceptable landscape. This project is considered to be long-term, i.e., planned to take 23 years to complete.
12. QUANTITY/ DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT	Existing employees would mainly be utilized for this operation. There is low potential that this project would create a significant number of new jobs. <i>Impacts:</i> New employment opportunities would be limited.
13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL, AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION	The acreage listed in the proposal would be taken out of grassland use. Upon completion of mining, the land would be reclaimed to grassland. <i>Impacts:</i> Grassland production would be reduced as soil stripping and operations progress across the site. When the entire site is opened up for mining and mine-related activities, all grassland activities would cease.
14. LOCAL, STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES, PERSONAL AND COMMUNITY INCOME	Local, state and federal governments would be responsible for appraising the property, setting tax rates, collecting taxes, etc., from the companies, employees, or landowners benefitting from this operation. Following reclamation, it is assumed the tax base would revert to pre-mine levels.
15. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES	Limited oversight by DEQ Opencut Program personnel would be conducted in concert with other area activity when in the vicinity.
16. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY	Any industrial activity will increase the opportunities for accidental injury. There are agencies that require specific safety measures are in place. If followed there is no reason to believe that significant safety issues would be present.
17. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES	This activity would not inhibit the use of the identified resources.
18. NATIVE CULTURAL CONCERNS	<i>Impacts:</i> None identified.

19. Alternatives Considered:

- A. Denial Alternative: The Department would deny an application that does not comply with the Act and Rules. No impacts to the natural or human environment would occur.
- B. Approval Alternative: The Department would approve an application that complies with the Act and Rules. Impacts of this application are addressed in the body of the EA.

20. Public Involvement, Agencies, Groups or Individuals contacted: Montana State Historic Preservation Office, Montana Natural Heritage Program, Petroleum County Weed Coordinator, and Petroleum County Commissioners

PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT (PPAA) CHECKLIST

DOES THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION HAVE TAKINGS IMPLICATIONS UNDER THE PPAA?

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	4. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? (If answer is NO, skip questions 5a and 5b and continue with question 6.)
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property?
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally? (If the answer is NO, skip questions 7a-7c)
		7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
		7b. Has the government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded?
		7c. Has the government action diminished property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?

Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b.

If taking or damaging implications exist, the agency must comply with § 5 of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.



RECEIVED NOV 09 2011