

CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT	
Project Name: Install a salt water disposal pipeline and a fresh water pipeline across State land.	Proposed Implementation Date: January 2011
Proponent: Oasis Petroleum North America LLC, 1001 Fannin Suite 1500, Houston Texas, 77002	
Type and Purpose of Action: Oasis Petroleum North America LLC has made a Land Use License application to place a salt water disposal and fresh water pipe line across State lands. The water pipelines are to be used to assist in the disposal of produced water from Oasis oil well Falcon Federal well 2759 12-16H located on the NE4NW4 of Section 16 Township 27N Range 59E to the main disposal pipe lines in the S2 of Section 9 Township 27 North Range 59 East.	
Location: NE4NW4 Section 16 Township 27 North Range 59 East	County: Roosevelt

I. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT	
1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED: Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.	Oasis Petroleum North America LLC contacted the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, Glasgow Unit Office and made a Land Use License application to place salt water and fresh water pipelines on State land. The surface lessee of the State land involved with this project has been contacted. There may be other agencies or groups that have involvement with this project that the writer of this document is not aware of.
2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:	Other government agencies that may have jurisdiction for this type of project are Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, Oil and Gas Division.
3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:	<p>Action Alternative: Grant a Land Use License to Oasis Petroleum North America LLC to place salt water disposal and fresh water pipelines on State land.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Deny a Land Use License to Oasis Petroleum North America LLC to place salt water disposal and fresh water pipelines on State land.</p>

II. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS
<p>4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are fragile, compatible or unstable soils present? Are there unusual geologic features? Are there special reclamation considerations?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: This type of project will alter the silty loam and clay soils on the State land. The top soil will be removed for the installation of the water pipelines. The topsoil will be replaced after the pipeline installation is completed. The soils will continue to produce native vegetation on the rangeland ecological site.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative, there will be no impacts to the silty and clay soils on the State land.</p>
<p>5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or groundwater resources present? Is there potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The project will move water located at the Falcon Federal well to a main water disposal pipe line.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative, there will be no impacts to water quality, quantity or distribution.</p>
<p>6. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate be produced? Is the project influenced by air quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The water pipeline will have no impacts to the air quality on the State land.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the air quality.</p>
<p>7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be permanently altered? Are any rare plants or cover types present?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: There is native vegetation on the pipeline route. The vegetation will be destroyed on the pipeline route. The area will be reseeded to native vegetation upon pipeline installation.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to any type of small grain or native rangeland vegetation.</p>
<p>8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The State land contains very minimal habitat resources for wildlife and upland birds. The Montana National Heritage Programs identified the following species of birds found in this portion</p>

II. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
	<p>of Roosevelt county, Leconte's sparrow, Nelson's sparrow, Sedge Wren and Bobolink. These are not species of concern in this area.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there would be no impacts to the habitat resources associated with the State land.</p>
<p>9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are any federally listed threatened or endangered species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Sensitive Species or Species of special concern?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The area of impact contains no known unique, endangered, fragile or limited environmental resources. The State land contains no wetlands or sensitive animal or plant species.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there would be no impacts to the unique, endangered, fragile or limited environmental resources.</p>
<p>10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or paleontological resources present?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The area of impact contains no known historical or archaeological sites. Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation found no historical or archaeological sites on or near project area.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the historical or archaeological sites.</p>
<p>11. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent topographic feature? Will it be visible from populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive noise or light?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: This type of project will have no impacts to the aesthetics associated with the State land. The pipeline construction will not be visible to the general public from a county road.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the aesthetics of the State land.</p>
<p>12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: This type of project will place no demands on environmental resources of land, water, air, or energy.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the land, water air or energy.</p>
<p>13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS</p>	<p>Action Alternative: This type of</p>

II. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

PERTINENT TO THE AREA: Are there other studies, plans or projects on this tract?

project will have no impact to other studies, plans or projects that Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation may have in place on the State land.

No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the other projects that may be occurring on the State land.

III. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

RESOURCE

POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this project add to health and safety risks in the area?

Action Alternative: The installation of a water pipelines has various human health and safety risks. The risks are understood by the employer and employee as occupational hazards. The impacts will be mitigated by the use of professional employees in the pipeline construction business.

No Action Alternative: There would be no impacts on human health and safety under this alternative.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter these activities?

Action Alternative: The project will have no impact to the current activities that may be occurring on the State land. Livestock grazing activities will continue to occur in the vicinity of the pipeline project.

No Action Alternative: There will be no impacts to the livestock grazing activities that are occurring on the State land.

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.

Action Alternative: The project may create jobs for pipeline construction companies that will construct the water pipelines.

No Action Alternative: There would be no impacts to the employment of the area under this alternative.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate tax revenue?

Action Alternative: The project may have impacts on the local and state tax base and tax revenues. The writer of this document is not aware of what types of taxable values can be placed

	<p>on a water pipelines.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the local and state tax base.</p>
<p>18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES: Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads? Will other services (fire protection, police, schools, etc) be needed?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The project will place no additional demands for government services.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: There will be no demands for government services under this alternative.</p>
<p>19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or management plans in effect?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The project will have no impacts on environmental plans or goals. There are no other management plans on this project other than those implemented by Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: There will be no impacts to locally adopted environmental plans or goals under this alternative.</p>
<p>20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there recreational potential within the tract?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The area of impact has some recreational values for hunting, bird watching, or scenic hiking etc. The project will have no impacts on the recreational values of the surrounding lands.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: There would be no impacts to the recreational activities under this alternative.</p>
<p>21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project add to the population and require additional housing?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The project will not impact the density and distribution of population and housing.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: There would be no impacts to population and housing under this alternative.</p>
<p>22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is some disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities possible?</p>	<p>Action Alternative: The project will not disrupt the traditional lifestyles of the local community. The local communities are rural agriculture orientated and current oil activity has not altered the traditional lifestyles.</p> <p>No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts on local communities.</p>

