

**Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation
Environmental Assessment**

Operator: Continental Resources, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Babka Federal 2-12H
Location: SE SE Section 12 T24N R52E
County: Richland, MT; **Field (or Wildcat)** W/C (Bakken Horizontal)

Air Quality

(possible concerns)

Long drilling time: No, 25 to 35 days drilling time.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple derrick rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 15,663'MD/9,082'TVD.

Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S gas from Mississippian Formations.

In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area nearby.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

- Air quality permit (AQB review)
- Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
- Special equipment/procedures requirements
- Other: _____

Comments: No special concerns – using triple rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 15,663'MD/9,082'TVD. If there is an existing pipeline for natural gas in the area, then associated gas can be gathered or if no gathering system nearby gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.

Water Quality

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: Yes to intermediate casing string hole to be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids (oil/water ratio of 70/30 to 80/20). Horizontal lateral will be drilled with brine water. Surface casing hole will use freshwater and freshwater mud system (Rule 36.22.1001).

High water table: No high water table anticipated at this location.

Surface drainage leads to live water: No, nearest drainages are unnamed ephemeral tributary to the East Redwater Creek, about 1/8 of a mile to the east and about 1/8 of a mile to the west from this location. No concerns.

Water well contamination: None, closest water wells are about 3/4 of a mile to the southwest and about 1.125 miles to the northwest from this location. Depth of these water wells range from 160' to 210' in depth. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud system, Rule 36.22.1001. Surface casing will be set at 1290' and cemented to surface.

Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sandy clay soils.

Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.

Mitigation:

- Lined reserve pit
- Adequate surface casing
- Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
- Closed mud system
- Off-site disposal of solids/**liquids (in approved facility)**

X Other: Lined cuttings pit will be used since this is a closed loop mud system to be employed.

Comments: 1290' of surface casing enough to cover the base of the Fox Hills and cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones, Rule 36.22.1001.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Stream crossings: None anticipated.

High erosion potential: No high erosion potential at this location. The location will require a moderate cut, up to 15.8' and moderate fill, up to 14.1', required.

Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling if unproductive. If productive, unused portion of this drilling location will be restored.

Unusually large wellsite: No a large wellsite, 500'X300' location size required.

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is a hill adjacent to cultivated field.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

Mitigation

 Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)

 Exception location requested

X Stockpile topsoil

 Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)

X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive

 Special construction methods to enhance reclamation

 Other _____

Comments: Access will be over existing county road, #134. About 5588' of new access road will be built into this location off the existing county road. Cuttings will be buried in the lined cuttings pit. Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled. Reserve pit fluids will be hauled to a commercial disposal. Pit will be allowed to dry and then closed by filling in with clay subsoils. No special concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: Nearest residence is about 1.25 miles to the east. There are no other residences within 1 mile from this location.

Possibility of H2S: Slight chance of H2S from Mississippian Formations.

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig/short 25 to 35 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

X Proper BOP equipment

 Topographic sound barriers

 H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan

 Special equipment/procedures requirements

 Other: _____

Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with an operational BOP stack (annular and double ram rated for 5,000 psig) should mitigate any problems, Rule 36.22.1014.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None nearby. No concern.

Proximity to recreation sites: None nearby. No concern.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No
Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened or endangered are the Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Least Tern, Whooping Crane and Piping Plover. Candidate specie is the Sprague's Pipit and the Greater Sage Grouse. NH tracker website indicates one (1) species of concern in this township and range, Iowa Darter.

Mitigation:

- Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
- Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
- Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
- Other: _____

Comments: Private surface lands. There may be species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern are discovered at this location. No concerns.

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites None identified

Mitigation

- avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
- other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
- Other: _____

Comments: Private surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite.

Social/Economic

(possible concerns)

- Substantial effect on tax base
 - Create demand for new governmental services
 - Population increase or relocation
- Comments: Wildcat well. No concerns

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

A single lateral Bakken horizontal well test, 15,663'MD/9,082'TVD. No special concerns.

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No significant long term impacts expected, some short term impacts will occur.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
(title:) Chief Field Inspector
Date: November 20, 2012

Other Persons Contacted:

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website
(Name and Agency)
Water wells in Richland County
(subject discussed)
November 20, 2012

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES
MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County
(subject discussed)
November 20, 2012
(date)

Montana Natural Heritage Program Website
(Name and Agency)
Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3 T24N R52E
(subject discussed)
November 20, 2012
(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date: _____
Inspector: _____
Others present during inspection: _____