



September 12, 2013

Gene Kaufman, Operations Engineer  
Federal Highway Administration  
585 Shepard Way, Suite 2  
Helena, MT 59601-9785



Subject: Categorical Exclusion Re-Evaluation  
HSIP 7-1(134)18  
SF 119-GR S of Hamilton  
UPN 7841000

Dear Gene Kaufman:

Environmental Services has reviewed the above proposed project's impacts and has determined that this proposed project still qualifies as a Categorical Exclusion (CE) under the provisions of 23 CFR 771.129(c). The original CE was signed May 8, 2013 and is attached. This proposed action also continues to qualify as a categorical exclusion under the provisions of ARM 18.2.261 (Sections 75-1-103 and 75-1-201, M.C.A.).

The Scope of Work originally approved on May 21, 2013 included guardrail installation at two sites on US-93 (N-7) adjacent to the East Fork of the Bitterroot River to address crash clusters. A new crash cluster was identified just north of the project limits. The safety recommendation to address the crashes is to install guardrail between the river and the highway and to upgrade the curve warning signs. An amended Scope-of-Work for the inclusion of the third location to the proposed project was approved on June 20, 2013 and is attached.

The third crash cluster location is between RP 20.8 and 20.3, just north of location 2 (RP 19.8 to 20.2) and will include installing 2,887.5 feet of 9-ft. post steel guardrail and terminal end sections. The long post guardrail will be used to avoid any impacts to the Bitterroot River. There will be no slope flattening behind the guardrail. This location is across the highway from the Medicine Tree. Consultation with the CSKT Preservation Office is ongoing. No impacts are expected.

As a result of this change, we have reviewed the biological/cultural/hazardous waste reports and found that in accordance with 23 CFR 771.117(a), this action will neither individually or cumulatively, have any significant environmental impacts. This change will not require any permits.

In accordance with the Federal Highway Administration's concurrence letter of April 15, 1999, this notification documents that this proposed action is still properly classified as a CE under the provisions of 23 CFR 771.117(d).

Gene Kaufman  
September 12, 2013  
Page 2

If you have any questions, please phone Susan Kilcrease at 406.523.5842. She will be pleased to assist you.

Sincerely,



*for* Heidi Bruner, P.E.  
Engineering Section Supervisor  
Environmental Services

Attachments

E-Copy (with attach.):	Ed Toavs, P.E.	Missoula District Administrator
	Paul Ferry, P.E.	Highway Engineer
	Bill Squires, P.E.	Missoula Area Engineer
	Tom S. Martin, P.E.	Environmental Services Bureau Chief
	Suzy Price	Contract Plans Bureau Chief
	Lisa Hurley	Fiscal Programming Section Supervisor
	Tom Erving	Fiscal Programming Section
	Robert Stapley	Right-of-Way Bureau Chief
	Susan Kilcrease	Environmental Services Bureau
	File	Environmental Services Bureau
	Montana Legislative Branch Environmental Quality Council (EQC)	



Montana Department of Transportation  
 2701 Prospect Avenue  
 PO Box 201001  
 Helena MT 59620-1001

Michael T. Tooley, Director  
 Steve Bullock, Governor

April 29, 2013

MASTER FILE  
 COPY

Kevin L. McLaury  
 Division Administrator  
 Federal Highway Administration  
 585 Shepard Way, Suite 2  
 Helena, MT 59601-9785

Attention: Gene Kaufman

Subject: Programmatic Categorical Exclusion (PCE) Concurrence Request  
 SF 119-GR S of Hamilton  
 HSIP 7-1(134)18  
 CN 7841000

Dear Kevin McLaury:

This submittal requests approval of the above-mentioned proposed project as a Categorical Exclusion under the provisions of 23 CFR 771.117(d) and the Programmatic Agreement as signed by the Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) on April 12, 2001. This proposed action also qualifies as a Categorical Exclusion under ARM 18.2.261 (Sections 75-1-103 and 75-1-201, MCA).

The following form provides the documentation required to demonstrate that all of the conditions are satisfied to qualify for a PCE. A copy of the Scope of Work Report is attached. In the following form, "N/A" indicates not applicable; "UNK" indicates unknown.

**NOTE: A response in a large box will require additional documentation for a Categorical Exclusion request in accordance with 23 CFR 771.117(d).**

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>UNK</u>
1. This proposed project would have (a) significant environmental impact(s) as defined under 23 CFR 771.117(a).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. This proposed project involves (an) unusual circumstance(s) as described under 23 CFR 771.117(b).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. This proposed project involves one (or more) of the following situations where:				
A. Right-of-Way, easements, and/or construction permits would be required.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>UNK</u>
1. The context or degree of the Right-of-Way action would have (a) substantial social, economic, or environmental effect(s).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. There is a high rate of residential growth in this proposed project's area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. There is a high rate of commercial growth in this proposed project's area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Work would be on and/or within approximately 1.6 kilometers (1± mile) of an Indian Reservation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. There are parks, recreational, or other properties acquired/improved under <i>Section 6(f)</i> of the 1965 <i>National Land &amp; Water Conservation Fund Act</i> (16 USC 460L, <i>et seq.</i> ) on or adjacent to proposed the project area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The use of such <i>Section 6(f)</i> sites would be documented and compensated with the appropriate agencies. ( <i>e.g.</i> : MDFWP, local entities, etc.).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Are there any sites either on, or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places with concurrence in determination of eligibility or effect under <i>Section 106</i> of the <i>National Historic Preservation Act</i> (16 USC 470, <i>et seq.</i> ) by the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), which would be affected by this proposed project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. There are parks, recreation sites, school grounds, wildlife refuges, historic sites, historic bridges, or irrigation that might be considered under <i>Section 4(f)</i> of the 1966 <i>US DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Act</i> (49 USC 303) on or adjacent to the project area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. The proposed project would not impact the site(s), so a 4(f) evaluation is not necessary.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. De minimis finding(s) is/are necessary for this project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. "Nationwide" Programmatic <i>Section 4(f)</i> Evaluation forms for these sites are attached.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. This proposed project requires a full ( <i>i.e.</i> : DRAFT & FINAL) <i>Section 4(f)</i> Evaluation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. The activity would involve work in a streambed, wetland, and/or other waterbody(ies) considered as "waters of the United States" or similar ( <i>e.g.</i> , "state waters").	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>UNK</u>
1. Conditions set forth in <i>Section 10</i> of the <i>Rivers and Harbors Act</i> (33 USC 403) and/or <i>Section 404</i> under 33 CFR Parts 320-330 of the <i>Clean Water Act</i> (33 USC 1251-1376) would be met.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Impacts in wetlands, including but not limited to those referenced under Executive Order (E.O.) #11990, and their proposed mitigation would be coordinated with the US Army Corps of Engineers and other Resource Agencies (Federal, State and Tribal) as required for permitting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. A 124SPA Stream Protection Authorization would be obtained from the MDFWP?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. There is a delineated floodplain in the proposed project area under FEMA's Floodplain Management criteria.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The water surface at the 100-year flood limit elevation would exceed floodplain management criteria due to an encroachment by the proposed project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Tribal Water Permit would be required.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Work would be required in, across, and/or adjacent to a river which is a component of, or proposed for inclusion in Montana's Wild and/or Scenic Rivers system as published by the US Department of Agriculture, or the US Department of the Interior.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The designated National Wild & Scenic River systems in Montana are:				
a. Middle Fork of the Flathead River (headwaters to South Fork confluence).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. North Fork of the Flathead River (Canadian Border to Middle Fork confluence).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. South Fork of the Flathead River (headwaters to Hungry Horse Reservoir).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Missouri River (Fort Benton to Charles M. Russell National Wildlife Refuge).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
In accordance with <i>Section 7</i> of the <i>Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</i> (16 USC 1271 – 1287), this work would be coordinated and documented with either the Flathead National Forest (Flathead River), or US Bureau of Land Management (Missouri River).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>UNK</u>
C. This is a "Type I" action as defined under 23 CFR 772.5(h), which typically consists of highway construction on a new location or the physical alteration of an existing route which substantially changes its horizontal or vertical alignments or increases the number of through-traffic lanes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1. If yes, are there potential noise impacts?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. A Noise Analysis would be completed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. There would be compliance with the provisions of both 23 CFR 772 for FHWA's Noise Impact analyses and MDT's Noise Policy.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D. There would be substantial changes in access control involved with this proposed project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, would they result in extensive economic and/or social impacts on the affected locations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E. The use of a temporary road, detour, or ramp closure having the following conditions when the action(s) associated with such facilities:				
1. Provisions would be made for access by local traffic, and be posted for same.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Adverse effects to through-traffic dependant businesses would be avoided or minimized.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Interference to local events ( e.g. festivals) would be minimized to all possible extent.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Substantial controversy associated with this pending action would be avoided.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
F. Hazardous wastes /substances, as defined by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and/or the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), and/or (a) listed "Superfund" (under CERCLA or CECRA) site(s) are currently on and/or adjacent to this proposed project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
All reasonable measures would be taken to avoid and/or minimize substantial impacts from same.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
G. The Stormwater Discharge conditions (ARM 17.30.1101-1117), including temporary erosion control features for construction would be met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
H. Permanent desirable vegetation with an approved seeding mixture would be established on exposed areas.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>UNK</u>
I. Documentation of an “invasive species” review to comply with both EO #13112 and the <i>County Noxious Weed Control Act</i> (7-22-2152, MCA), including directions as specified by the county(ies) wherein its intended work would be done.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
J. There are “Prime” or “Prime if Irrigated” Farmlands designated by the Natural Resources Conservation Service on or adjacent to the proposed project area.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If the proposed work would affect Important Farmlands, then a CPA 106 Farmland Conversion Impact Rating form would be completed in accordance with the <i>Farmland Protection Policy Act</i> (7 USC 4201, <i>et seq.</i> ).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
K. Features for the <i>Americans with Disabilities Act</i> (PL 101-336) compliance would be included.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
L. A written Public Involvement Plan would be completed in accordance with MDT’s Public Involvement Handbook.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. This proposed project complies with the <i>Clean Air Act’s Section 176(c)</i> (42 USC 7521(a), as amended) under the provisions of 40 CFR 81.327 as it’s either in a Montana air quality:				
A. “Unclassifiable/Attainment” area. This proposed project is <u>not</u> covered under the EPA’s September 15, 1997 Final Rule on air quality conformity.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
and/or				
B. “Nonattainment” area. However, this type of proposed project is either exempted from the conformity determination requirements (under EPA’s September 15, 1997 Final Rule), or a conformity determination would be documented in coordination with the responsible agencies (Metropolitan Planning Organizations, MDEQ’s Air Resources Management Bureau, etc.).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. Is this proposed project in a “Class I Air Shed” under 40 CFR 52.1382(c)(2-4) and 40 CFR 81.417? (Northern Cheyenne, Flathead, and Fort Peck Indian Reservations; Glacier and Yellowstone National Parks; Anaconda-Pintlar, Bob Marshall, Cabinet Mountains, Gates of the Mountains, Medicine Lake, Mission Mountain, Red Rock Lakes, Scapegoat, Selway-Bitterroot, and U.L. Bend Wilderness Areas)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Federally listed Threatened or Endangered (T/E) Species:				
A. There are recorded occurrences and/or critical habitat in this proposed project’s vicinity.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

B. Would this proposed project result in a "jeopardy" opinion (under 50 CFR 402) from the Fish & Wildlife Service on any Federally listed T/E Species?

YES    NO    N/A    UNK  
           

The proposed project would not induce significant land use changes, nor promote unplanned growth. There would be no significant effects on access to adjacent property, nor to present traffic patterns.

This proposed project would not create disproportionately high and/or adverse impacts on the health or environment of minority and/or low-income populations (EO #12898). It also complies with the provisions of *Title VI* of the *Civil Rights Act* of 1964 (42 USC 2000d) under the FHWA's regulations (23 CFR 200).

In accordance with the provisions of 23 CFR 771.117(a), this pending action would not cause any significant individual, secondary, or cumulative environmental impacts. Therefore, the FHWA's concurrence is requested that this proposed project is properly classified as a Categorical Exclusion.

Susan Kilcrease, Date: 4/29/2013  
Susan Kilcrease - Missoula District Project Development Engineer  
MDT Environmental Services Bureau

Concur Heidy Bruner, Date: 5/7/13  
Heidy Bruner, P.E. - Engineering Section Supervisor  
MDT Environmental Services Bureau

Concur MF Kaufman, Date: 5/8/13  
Federal Highway Administration

MDT attempts to provide accommodation for any known disability that may interfere with a person participating in any service, program or activity of the Dept. Alternative accessible formats of this information will be provided upon request. For further information, call 406-444-7228 or TTY (800-335-7592), or call Montana Relay at 711.

Attachment: Scope of Work Report (December 10, 2012)

- |                     |  |                                       |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Copy (w/o attach.): | Ed Toavs   | Missoula District Administrator       |
|                     | Kent Barnes, P.E.  | Bridge Engineer                       |
|                     | Tom S. Martin, P.E.  | Environmental Services Bureau Chief   |
|                     | Heidy Bruner, P.E.   | Environmental Services Bureau         |
|                     | Suzy Price   | Contract Plans Bureau Chief           |
|                     | Lisa Hurley  | Fiscal Programming Section Supervisor |
|                     | Tom Erving   | Fiscal Programming Section            |
|                     | Robert Stapley   | Right-of-Way Bureau Chief             |
|                     | Susan Kilcrease  | Environmental Services Bureau         |
|                     | File   | Environmental Services Bureau         |
|                     | Montana Legislative Branch Environmental Quality Council (EQC) |                                       |



Montana Department of Transportation  
 PO Box 201001  
 Helena, MT 59620-1001

MASTER FILE  
 COPY

**Memorandum**

To: James A. Walther, P.E. - Engineering  
 Preconstruction Engineer

From: Damian Krings, P.E. *DKK*  
 Road Design Engineer

Date: June 20, 2013

Subject: HSIP 7-1(135)18  
 SF 119-GR S of Hamilton  
 UPN 7841000  
 Work Type 310 – Roadway and Roadside Safety Improvements

We hereby request approval to amend the scope of work for this project. The scope of work was originally approved on May 21, 2013. It included guardrail installation at two sites on US 93 (N-7) adjacent to the East Fork Bitterroot River (RP 17.8 to 18.0 and RP 19.8 to 20.2) to address crash clusters.

A new crash cluster has just come up on N-7 beginning at RP 20.3 (just north of the 19.8-20.2 location) and extending 0.5 miles north to RP 20.8. The safety recommendation to address these crashes is to install guardrail between the river and the highway and to upgrade the curve warning signs.

We are requesting approval to increase the current project limits to include this crash cluster and to install safety improvements at this third site.

The work will include installing 2,887.5 feet of 9-ft. post steel guardrail, one intersecting-roadway-terminal end section, and five optional terminal end sections. This will address the new crash cluster by providing a barrier between the highway and the steep inslope to the river. Long-post guardrail will be used to avoid any impacts to the river. There will be no slope flattening behind the guardrail.

Kraig McLeod, Safety Management Engineer, indicated that with the addition of this third site, the project benefit/cost analysis would still be positive and that it would be beneficial to add it to the existing project.

Below is the updated cost estimate:

Cost Estimate	Estimated cost	Inflation (INF) (from PPMS)	TOTAL costs w/INF + IDC (from PPMS)
Road Work	246,000		
Traffic Control	16,500		
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>262,500</b>		
Mobilization (10%)	26,000		
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>288,500</b>		
Contingencies (8%)	23,000		
<b>Total CN</b>	<b>\$311,500</b>	<b>\$8,871</b>	<b>\$ 348,780</b>
CE (10%)	\$31,000	\$887	\$ 34,878
<b>TOTAL CN+CE</b>	<b>\$342,500</b>	<b>\$ 9,758</b>	<b>\$ 383,658</b>

With your approval we will take all action requested and proceed with the design accordingly.

Approval  Date 6/25/2013  
for James A. Walther, P.E.  
Preconstruction Engineer

**Distribution:**

Ed Toavs, District Administrator  
Kent Barnes, Bridge Engineer  
Paul Ferry, Highways Engineer  
Roy Peterson, Traffic and Safety Engineer  
Robert Stapley, Right-of-Way Bureau Chief

Tom Martin, Environmental Services Bureau Chief  
Lynn Zanto, Rail, Transit, & Planning Division Administrator  
Jake Goettle, Construction Engineering Services Bureau  
Matt Strizich, Materials Engineer

**cc:**

Megan Harpster, Project Design Mgr., Missoula District  
Damian Krings, Road Design Engineer

Dawn Stratton, Fiscal Programming Section

**e-copies:**

Jim Walther, Engineering, Preconstruction Engineer  
Lesly Tribelhorn, Highways Design Engineer  
Mark Goodman, Hydraulics Engineer  
KC Yahvah, District Hydraulics Engineer  
Bill Semmens, Env. Resources Section Supervisor  
Pat Basting, District Biologist  
Susan Kilcrease, District Project Development Engineer  
Danielle Bolan, Traffic Operations Engineer  
Ivan Ulberg, Traffic Design Engineer  
Gabe Priebe, District Traffic Project Engineer  
Kraig McLeod, Safety Engineer  
Chris Hardan, Bridge Area Engineer, Missoula District  
Michael Grover, Engineering Cost Analyst  
Marty Beatty, Engineering Information Services  
Paul Grant, Public Involvement Officer  
Sue Sillick, Research Section Supervisor  
Alyce Fisher, Fiscal Programming Section  
Mark Keeffe, Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordinator

Jake Goettle, Construction Bureau – VA Engineer  
Shane Stack, District Preconstruction  
Ben Nunnallee, District Projects Engineer  
Darin Reynolds, District Materials Lab  
Jack May, District Maintenance Chief  
Maureen Walsh, District Right of Way Supervisor  
Phillip Inman, Utilities Engineering Manager  
David Hoerning, R/W Engineering Manager  
Greg Pizzini, Acquisition Manager  
Joe Zody, R/W Access Management Section Manager  
Matt Strizich, Materials Engineer  
Daniel Hill, Pavement Analysis Engineer  
Bret Boundy, District Geotechnical Manager  
Bryce Larsen, Supervisor, Photogrammetry & Survey  
Paul Johnson, Project Analysis Bureau  
Jean Riley, Planner  
Dawn Stratton, Fiscal Programming Section