

IT Project Portfolio: Post Implementation Report

LFC Meeting Date: December xx, 2011

1. **Agency:** Department of Transportation
2. **Project title:** Traffic Safety Web Services
3. **Executive sponsor:** Mike Bousliman
4. **Project close date:** July 2010
5. **Appropriated budget amount:** \$325,650
6. **Total project development cost:** \$325,650
7. **Expected ongoing annual cost:** \$5,000
8. **Year the ongoing annual cost started:** FY 2011
9. **Funding source(s) for ongoing cost:** MDT, Information Services Division operating budget
10. **List the primary project goals:** Improvements to traffic safety within the State of Montana by the timely sharing and integration of accurate traffic safety data for analysis, decision support and performance measurement.
11. **List the key project objectives, the metrics used to measure these objectives, and the final metric results.**

	Key Project Objectives	Metric Used	Final Results
1	Integration	Multiple agencies will be capable of exchanging traffic safety related data.	Court disposition data can now be digitally provided to MDT and combined with MHP crash-related data for state highway traffic safety analysis
2	Accuracy	Single-sources of agency-specific data would be available to multiple users in lieu of multiple users maintaining similar data.	One source of agency-specific data is now available to multiple agencies.
3	Timeliness	Traffic safety data will be available to MDT Traffic Safety Division on a daily basis in lieu of the previous delay of 2-3 weeks.	A data exchange occurs nightly.

IT Project Portfolio: Post Implementation Report

LFC Meeting Date: December xx, 2011

12. List and describe all post-implementation issues that have arisen and, if they have been resolved, what was the solution. If they have not been resolved, describe actions taken so far and possible solutions. Also list and describe any possible concerns.

	Start Date	Resolved Date	Issues and Concerns
1	July, 2010	Sept, 2010	Initially, the volume of data was more than the network could handle due to network infrastructure. The problem has since been resolved.

13. **Please add any additional comments the agency would like to provide to the committee, if any.** Additional data exchanges from the Courts are being considered. This information would be attained via the mechanism (MDT Broker) established as a result of this project.