

ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION CHECKLIST

RECEIVED
JUL 17 1992
ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY COUNCIL

PROPOSED ACTION Highway Reconstruction R/W Easement
COUNTY Judith Basin TOWNSHIP 16 N RANGE 12 E SECTION 35

(*Yes) (No) (NA)

- 1. Significant use of area by important wildlife and fish species.
- 2. Important vegetation communities present which may influence or be influenced by proposed project.
- 3. Significant historical, archaeological, or paleontological resource present.
- 4. Significant topographic features, terrain or aesthetic values present.
- 5. Reconstruction of existing roads or trails, or new road is proposed.
- 6. Important surface or groundwater resources present.
- 7. Fragile, compactible, or unstable soils present.
- 8. Buildings, structures, pipelines, powerlines or other utilities located nearby.
- 9. Land has been included as part of a baseline study or other type of report for any purpose. (e.g. Natural Area Program, Resource Development Bureau projects, rights-of-way, easements, or other leasing actions)
- 10. Previously permitted or leased activities on this tract resulted in special protective stipulations.
- 11. Present tract management is influenced significantly by adjacent state, federal or private land management practices.
- 12. Tract is near designated Class I air area, or non-attainment area.
- 13. Tract contains areas with unique or special reclamation considerations (past mining, erosion, saline seep, etc.)
- 14. Additional Comments: (If so, explain in narrative)
- 15. Public Interest:

Prepared by Craig E. Roberts Title: Area Mgr - NECO

Based on my review of the above action, I have concluded that an EA is/is not required because:

Area Manager/Bureau Chief Craig E. Roberts Date 12/17/91

*Explain all "yes" answers in narrative form.

This project involves the widening & reconstruction of an existing Highway.