

ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION CHECK LIST

PROPOSED ACTION R/W Easement - Fiber Optic Buried Cable

COUNTY Hill TOWNSHIP 33N RANGE 11E SECTION 36

(\*Yes) (No) (NA)

- X  1. Significant use of area by important wildlife and fish species.
- X  2. Important vegetation communities present which may influence or be influenced by proposed project.
- X  3. Significant historical, archaeological, or paleontological resource present.
- X  4. Significant topographic features, terrain or aesthetic values present.
- X  5. Reconstruction of existing roads or trails, or new road is proposed.
- X  6. Important surface or groundwater resources present.
- X  7. Fragile, compactible, or unstable soils present.
- 8. Buildings, structures, pipelines, powerlines or other utilities located nearby.
- X  9. Land has been included as part of a baseline study or other type of report for any purpose. (e.g. Natural Area Program, Resource Development Bureau projects, rights-of-way, easements, or other leasing actions)
- X  10. Previously permitted or leased activities on this tract resulted in special protective stipulations.
- X  11. Present tract management is influenced significantly by adjacent state, federal or private land management practices.
- X  12. Tract is near designated Class I air area, or non-attainment area.
- X  13. Tract contains areas with unique or special reclamation considerations (past mining, erosion, saline seep, etc.)
- 14. Additional Comments: (If so, explain in narrative)
- 15. Public Interest:

RECEIVED  
JUL 17 1992  
ENVIRONMENTAL  
QUALITY CONTROL

Prepared by: Craig E. Roberts Title: Area Mgr - NELO

Based on my review of the above action, I have concluded that an EA is/is not required because:

\_\_\_\_\_

Area Manager/Bureau Chief Craig E. Roberts Date 7/6/92

\*Explain all "yes" answers in narrative form.

Item 8 - Mt Hwy 2 adjoins the cable route to the south.  
The State Land involved in the R/W Easement is classified Agricultural

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_