

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
For Routine Actions with Limited Environmental Impact

Revised 11-00

Note: Instructions to DNRC staff for preparing this EA can be found at:
http://www.dnrc.state.mt.us/eis_ea.html

Part I. Proposed Action Description

1. **Applicant/Contact name and address: MARY CRISAFULLI
P.O. BOX 1203
GLENDDIVE, MT 59330**

2. **Type of action: WATER RIGHT CHANGE APPLICATION NO. 42M-G(W)165802**

3. **Water source name: GROUNDWATER**

4. **Location affected by action: NENWNE, SECTION 24, T16N, R55E, DAWSON COUNTY**

5. **Narrative summary of the proposed project, purpose, action to be taken, and benefits:
THIS CHANGE IS A REPLACEMENT WELL FOR A COMMERCIAL WELL THAT FAILED. THE NEW WELL WAS DRILLED IN JULY, 2000 AND IS LOCATED 26 FEET FROM THE ORIGINAL 1959 WELL. THE NEW WELL WILL CONTINUE TO PROVIDE WATER TO A COMMERCIAL BUILDING. THERE WILL BE NO INCREASE IN THE FLOW RATE OR VOLUME FROM PAST USE.**

THE LOCATION OF THE NEW WELL IS IN THE NENWNE, SECTION 24, T16N, R55E, DAWSON COUNTY. THE WELL IS LOCATED IN AN AREA OF COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS ON THE EDGE OF GLENDDIVE. THE PROJECT HAS BEEN COMPLETED.

THE DNRC SHALL ISSUE AN AUTHORIZATION TO CHANGE IF THE APPLICANT PROVES THE CRITERIA IN 85-2-402 ARE MET.

6. **Agencies consulted during preparation of the Environmental Assessment:
(include agencies with overlapping jurisdiction)**

NONE

Part II. Environmental Review

1. Environmental Impact Checklist:

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

Water quantity, quality and distribution

Water quantity: Assess whether the source of supply is identified as a chronically or periodically dewatered stream by DFWP. Assess whether the proposed use will worsen the already dewatered condition.

Determination: THIS CHANGE APPLICATION WILL BE UTILIZING GROUNDWATER FROM A DEPTH OF 110 FEET AT A RATE OF 20 GPM. IT IS VERY UNLIKELY THAT IT WOULD HAVE ANY IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER FLOWS.

Water quality: Assess whether the stream is listed as water quality impaired or threatened by DEQ, and whether the proposed project will affect water quality.

Determination: THIS CHANGE APPLICATION WILL BE UTILIZING GROUNDWATER FROM A DEPTH OF 110 FEET AT A RATE OF 20 GPM. THE PROJECT WILL HAVE NO IMPACT ON ANY LISTED (WATER QUALITY IMPAIRED OR THREATENED) STREAMS.

Groundwater: Assess if the proposed project impacts ground water quality or supply. If this is a groundwater appropriation, assess if it could impact adjacent surface water flows.

Determination: THIS WELL IS A REPLACEMENT WELL. THE REPLACEMENT WELL IS LOCATED 26 FEET FROM THE ORIGINAL WELL IN THE SAME AQUIFER. THERE IS NO INCREASE IN THE FLOW RATE WITH THE NEW WELL. THE ORIGINAL WELL HAD BEEN IN USE SINCE 1959 BEFORE IT FAILED. IT IS VERY UNLIKELY THAT IT WOULD HAVE ANY IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER FLOWS.

Diversion works:

Assess whether the means of diversion, construction and operation of the appropriation works of the proposed project will impact any of the following: channel impacts, flow modifications, barriers, riparian areas, dams, well construction.

Determination: THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE WELL WAS COMPLETED IN JULY, 2000 BY A MONTANA LICENSED WELL DRILLER. AS THIS PROJECT IS UTILIZING GROUNDWATER, THERE WILL BE NO CHANNEL IMPACTS, FLOW MODIFICATIONS, BARRIERS OR IMPACTS TO RIPARIAN AREAS.

Unique, endangered, fragile or limited environmental resources

Endangered and threatened species: Assess whether the proposed project will impact any threatened or endangered fish, wildlife, plants or aquatic species or any “species of special

concern," or create a barrier to the migration or movement of fish or wildlife. For groundwater, assess whether the proposed project, including impacts on adjacent surface flows, would impact any threatened or endangered species or "species of special concern."

Determination: THE EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING HAS BEEN IN THIS LOCATION AT LEAST 41 YEARS. THE DRILLING OF THIS REPLACEMENT WELL JUST OUTSIDE OF THIS BUILDING WILL HAVE NO IMPACT ON THE WILDLIFE OF THE AREA.

Wetlands: Consult and assess whether the apparent wetland is a functional wetland (according to COE definitions), and whether the wetland resource would be impacted.

Determination: NO KNOWN WETLANDS EXIST IN THE PROJECT AREA.

Ponds: For ponds, consult and assess whether existing wildlife, waterfowl, or fisheries resources would be impacted.

Determination: NOT APPLICABLE – THIS CHANGE APPLICATION WILL BE USING GROUNDWATER.

Geology/Soil quality, stability and moisture

Assess whether there will be degradation of soil quality, alteration of soil stability, or moisture content. Assess whether the soils are heavy in salts that could cause saline seep.

Determination: THE DRILLING OF THIS REPLACEMENT WELL WILL HAVE NO IMPACT ON THE SOIL QUALITY, SOIL STABILITY OR MOISTURE CONTENT.

Vegetation cover, quantity and quality/Noxious weeds

Assess impacts to existing vegetative cover. Assess whether the proposed project would result in the establishment or spread of noxious weeds.

Determination: THERE WAS SOME MINOR GROUND DISTURBANCE AT THE TIME THE WELL WAS DRILLED. AS THE PROJECT WAS COMPLETED IN JULY, ANY DISTURBANCE TO THE VEGETATIVE COVER HAS MOST LIKELY REGROWN. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNER TO CONTROL ANY NOXIOUS WEEDS ON THEIR PROPERTY.

Air quality

Assess whether there will be a deterioration of air quality or adverse effects on vegetation due to increased air pollutants.

Determination: THE DRILLING OF THE REPLACEMENT WELL WILL HAVE NO IMPACT ON THE AIR QUALITY.

Historical and archeological sites

Assess whether there will be degradation of unique archeological or historical sites in the vicinity of the proposed project.

Determination: THE LOCATION OF THIS WELL IS JUST OUTSIDE OF A COMMERCIAL BUILDING ADJACENT TO NUMEROUS OTHER COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS ON THE EDGE OF GLENDIVE. IT IS HIGHLY UNLIKELY THAT ANY UNIQUE ARCHEOLOGICAL OR HISTORICAL SITES COULD HAVE BEEN DISTURBED.

Demands on environmental resources of land, water, and energy

Assess any other impacts on environmental resources of land, water and energy not already addressed.

Determination: NO ADDITIONAL IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES WERE IDENTIFIED.

HUMAN ENVIRONMENT

Locally adopted environmental plans and goals

Assess whether the proposed project is inconsistent with any locally adopted environmental plans and goals.

Determination: THERE ARE NO KNOWN ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS OR GOALS IN THIS AREA.

Access to and quality of recreational and wilderness activities

Assess whether the proposed project will impact access to or the quality of recreational and wilderness activities.

Determination: THIS REPLACEMENT WELL WILL HAVE NO IMPACT ON RECREATIONAL OR WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES.

Human health

Assess whether the proposed project impacts on human health.

Determination: THIS REPLACEMENT WELL WILL HAVE NO IMPACT ON HUMAN HEALTH.

Private property

Assess whether there are any government regulatory impacts on private property rights.

Yes___ No_X__. If yes, analyze any alternatives considered that could reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights.

Determination: THERE ARE NO ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT REGULATORY IMPACTS ON PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS WELL.

Other human environmental issues

For routine actions of limited environmental impact, the following may be addressed in a checklist fashion.

Impacts on:

- (a) Cultural uniqueness and diversity ? NO IMPACT
- (b) Local and state tax base and tax revenues ? NO IMPACT
- (c) Existing land uses ? NO IMPACT
- (d) Quantity and distribution of employment ? NO IMPACT
- (e) Distribution and density of population and housing ? NO IMPACT
- (f) Demands for government services ? NO IMPACT
- (g) Industrial and commercial activity ? NO IMPACT
- (h) Utilities ? NO IMPACT
- (i) Transportation ? NO IMPACT
- (j) Safety ? NO IMPACT
- (k) Other appropriate social and economic circumstances ? NO IMPACT

2. Secondary and cumulative impacts on the physical environment and human population: NO SECONDARY OR CUMMULATIVE IMPACTS HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED.

3. Describe any mitigation/stipulation measures: NONE

4. Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives to the proposed action, including the no action alternative, if an alternative is reasonably available and prudent to consider: UNDER THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE, THE APPLICANT WOULD NOT HAVE THE BENEFIT OF A REPLACEMENT WELL TO PROVIDE WATER TO THEIR COMMERCIAL BUILDING.

PART III. Conclusion

Based on the significance criteria evaluated in this EA, is an EIS required?

If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for this proposed action:

Name of person(s) responsible for preparation of EA:

Name: DENISE BIGGAR

Title: WATER RESOURCES SPECIALIST

Date: DECEMBER 18, 2000