



Impacts to any life stage of existing fish populations due to competition and/or predation? None X Minor \_\_\_\_\_ Major \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

See above

Impacts to other forms of aquatic life that may be caused by this introduction? None X Minor \_\_\_\_\_ Major \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

See above

Potential for the proposed new species to reproduce in this location? None X Minor \_\_\_\_\_ Major \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

See above

If necessary, would it be feasible to remove this species after it has been stocked?

yes

Would this introduction result in impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?

Not likely. There are, however, numerous such ponds in the Bitterroot Valley, and the cumulative effects are unknown.

Describe reasonable and prudent alternatives to this action, if any (including no action).

The proposal to renew this private fish pond license includes the stocking of fish. The application is for "trout".

Alternatives would include which species to stock:

Four (4) potential trout species are:

1. Rainbow trout
2. Brook trout - (not presently allowed by MFWP policy).
3. Brown trout
4. Westslope cutthroat trout - (The westslope cutthroat that are commercially available do not originate from the Bitterroot and have a different genetic makeup than bitterroot fish.)

2. No action. Mill Creek already has rainbow, brown and brook trout. There is no reason to believe that the stocking of rainbows and/or browns in this pond would have any adverse impact on these populations, so a no action alternative would serve no purpose.

Describe and evaluate mitigation, stipulations, or other control measures enforceable by the agency, if any.

Current laws regarding the transfer of live fish from one water body to another govern the legality of movement of fish planted in this pond to other waters. This movement of fish to other waters would be enforceable under this regulation.

List any other agencies or individuals that may be affected by the proposed introduction:

none

List all agencies and individuals who have been notified of this proposed introduction:

none

Based on this evaluation, is an EIS required? Yes/No If no, explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for the proposed action.

No. The proposed action occurs on private lands. This issue can be handled at the EA level.

EA prepared by Larry Javorsky—acting Fisheries Biologist 05/30/00

Comments will be accepted until June 30, 2000

Comments should be sent to: Larry Javorsky  
Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks  
1801 N. First St.  
Hamilton, MT. 59840  
E-mail:ljavorsky@fs.fed.us