

Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation
Environmental Assessment

Operator: Petro Hunt, LLC
Well Name/Number: Rehbein 18B-2-1
Location: NW NW Section 18 T23N R55E
County: Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) W/C

Air Quality

(possible concerns)

Long drilling time 30-40 days drilling time

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig) No, triple drilling rig for 11,950' TD

Possible H₂S gas production yes

In/near Class I air quality area No

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive) Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

Air quality permit (AQB review)

Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas

Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other: _____

Comments: no special concerns, adequate surface casing 2000' to be set and cemented back to surface with proper BOP stack should mitigate any concerns. Triple rig to drill to 11,950'.

Water Quality

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud use freshwater and freshwater mud system on surface and oil based mud system from base of surface casing to TD.

High water table no

Surface drainage leads to live water no, closest drainage is a ephemeral tributary drainage to Fox Creek, about 1/2 to 3/4 of a mile either to the south or southwest of this location.

Water well contamination no, closest water well is 1/2 mile away or further. Deepest water well closed by is only 305' in depth, surface casing will be set to 2000' and cemented back to surface.

Porous/permeable soils no, bentonite soils

Class I stream drainage no

Mitigation:

Lined reserve pit

Adequate surface casing

Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage

Closed mud system

Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)

Other: _____

Comments: 2000' of surface casing cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones. Also, fresh water mud systems to be used on surface hole.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)
Steam crossings none.
High erosion potential no, moderate cut, up to 10.1' and moderate fill, up to 14.4', required.
Loss of soil productivity no, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite Large, 400'X350' location size required.
Damage to improvements slight
Conflict with existing land use/values Slight

- Mitigation
- Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
 - Exception location requested
 - Stockpile topsoil
 - Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
 - Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
 - Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
 - Other _____

Comments: Access will be over existing county roads and existing trails. About 900' of new access will be built off the existing trail into this location. Reserve pit liquids to be disposed of at Landtech 201. Solids will be allowed to dry, pit liner folded over the top of the solids, spoil dirt to fill pit, top soil spread over pit area, and seeded to land owners specification. No special concerns

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences Residences about 3/4 of a mile to the south and about 1 mile to the southeast of this wellsite.
Possibility of H2S yes
Size of rig/length of drilling time Triple drilling rig 30 to 40 days drilling time

- Mitigation:
- Proper BOP equipment
 - Topographic sound barriers
 - H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
 - Special equipment/procedures requirements
 - Other: _____

Comments: no concerns, residences almost a mile away from wellsite. H2S safety company to setup alarms and train rig employees. Proper BOP stack and surface casing should be able to control any problems that occurs.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified) n/a
Proximity to recreation sites Fox Lake Water Fowl Management Area about 6 miles to the southeast of this location.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat no
Conflict with game range/refuge management no
Threatened or endangered Species no

Mitigation:

- Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
- Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
- Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
- Other: _____

Comments: no concerns, Fox Lake Water Fowl Project area 6 miles to the southeast of wellsite.

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites None identified

Mitigation

- avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
- other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
- Other: _____

Comments: on private land

Social/Economic

(possible concerns)

- Substantial effect on tax base
- Create demand for new governmental services
- Population increase or relocation

Comments: no concerns

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site


Well is a 11,950' Red River formation test

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): Steven Sasaki
(title:) Chief Field Inspector



Date: April 27, 2006

Other Persons Contacted:

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website

(Name and Agency)

Richland County water wells

(subject discussed)

April 27, 2006

(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:

Inspection date: _____

Inspector: _____

Others present during inspection: _____