Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation
Environmental Assessment

Operator: Klabzuba Oil & Gas, Inc.
Well Name/Number: McSloy 11-13-33-14
Location: SW SW Section 11 T33N R14E
County: Hill, **MT; Field (or Wildcat)** W/C

Air Quality

(possible concerns)
Long drilling time no, 4 to 5 days drilling time
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig) no, 2700' TD
Possible H2S gas production no
In/near Class I air quality area no
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive) Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:
X Air quality permit (AQB review)
__ Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
__ Special equipment/procedures requirements
__ Other:
Comments: no special concerns – using small rig to drill to 2700'

Water Quality

(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud no, freshwater and freshwater mud system.
High water table no
Surface drainage leads to live water yes, well location is close Davenport Coulee ephemeral drainage which then drains to Sherry Coulee ephemeral drainage and finally drains the Milk River, about 2.75 miles south of the well location.
Water well contamination no, nearest water wells over 1 mile from this location. In the event this well is successful casing will be run and cemented to surface.
Porous/permeable soils no, bentonite soils
Class I stream drainage no

Mitigation:
_ Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
__ Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
__ Closed mud system
__ Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
__ Other:
Comments: 200' of surface casing cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones. Also, fresh water mud systems to be used.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)
Steam crossings no
High erosion potential no, small cut, up to 0.4' and small fill, up to 0.6', required.

Loss of soil productivity no, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite no, 200'X200' location size required.
Damage to improvements Slight
Conflict with existing land use/values Slight

Mitigation
__ Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
__ Exception location requested
_X_ Stockpile topsoil
__ Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
_X_ Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
__ Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
__ Other _____

Comments: Access to location will be over existing county gravel roads and existing two track trails. A short ¼ mile of new access will be built into this location. Drilling fluids will be trucked to a private stock pond for sealant with surface owner approval. Solids will be allowed to dry in the pits. Pit after drying will be backfilled. No special concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences None nearby. Closest residence is 1 mile to the northwest of this location.
Possibility of H2S none
Size of rig/length of drilling time Small drilling rig/short 4 to 5 days drilling time

Mitigation:
_X_ Proper BOP equipment
__ Topographic sound barriers
__ H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
__ Special equipment/procedures requirements
__ Other: _____

Comments: no concerns

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified) n/a None identified.
Proximity to recreation sites Fresno Reservoir 4 miles to the west of this location.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat no
Conflict with game range/refuge management no
Threatened or endangered Species no

Mitigation:
__ Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
__ Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
__ Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
__ Other: _____

Comments: no concerns

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites None identified

Mitigation

__ avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)

__ other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)

__ Other:

Comments: on private land

Social/Economic

(possible concerns)

__ Substantial effect on tax base

__ Create demand for new governmental services

__ Population increase or relocation

Comments: no concerns

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

Well is a 2700' Second White Specks Formation test

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): Steven Sasaki

(title:) Chief Field Inspector

Date: June 12, 2006

Other Persons Contacted:

(Name and Agency)

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Groundwater Information Center

(subject discussed)
Water wells in Hill County,
June 12, 2006
(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date: _____
Inspector: _____
Others present during inspection:_____