DEQ OPENCUT MINING PROGRAM

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

APPLICANT: Concord Field Services, LLC **COUNTY:** Roosevelt

SITE NAME: Anderson **DATE:** June 2012

LOCATION: Section 8 & 9, Township 27 North, Range 57 East

APPROVED PERMIT #: 2125

Type and Purpose of Action: Operator has applied for an amendment to add 6.0 acres to their 128.0-acre permit for the purpose of expanding the mine area to encompass adjacent scoria knobs. The total permitted area would be 134.0 acres.

Site Description: The 6.0-acre proposed amendment area is an addition directly adjacent and to the south and east of the existing permitted area. The operation will continue to mine from west to east. There are no site characteristics of special concern, or nearby residences or public use areas.

Potential Impacts and Mitigation: Use of the amendment area would not cause substantial impacts on the physical environment and human population. Proponent would be legally bound by their permit to reclaim the site to grassland. The 2011 Environmental Assessment is applicable to this action.

Prepared By: J.J. Conner — Opencut Mining Program Environmental Specialist
Name — Title

Reviewed By: Chris Cronin — Opencut Mining Program Supervisor
Name — Title

PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT (PPAA) CHECKLIST

DOES THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION HAVE TAKINGS IMPLICATIONS UNDER THE PPAA?

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	4. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? (If answer is NO, skip questions 5a and 5b and continue with question 6.)
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property?
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally? (If the answer is NO, skip questions 7a-7c)
		7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
		7b. Has the government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded?
		7c. Has the government action diminished property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?

Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b.

If taking or damaging implications exist, the agency must comply with § 5 of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.

Legend

- BOUNDARY COORDINATES
- Fix
- Quarter Sections
- Sections

N

0 137.5 275 550 Feet

SITE MAP

Concord Field Services LLC
Anderson
Sec 8&9, T27N, R57E
134 Bonded Acres

May 3rd, 2012
Roosevelt County, MT
Aerial Photo NRIS 2011

Azurite Inc & Mountain Mapping

Received Opencut 5/25/2012