

**Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation
Environmental Assessment**

Operator: Continental Resources, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Gidley 1-18H
Location: SE SW Section 18 T25N R52E
County: Richland, MT; **Field (or Wildcat)** W/C (Bakken Horizontal)

Air Quality

(possible concerns)

Long drilling time: No, 20 to 30 days drilling time.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple derrick rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 13,221' MD/8,595' TVD.

Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S gas from Mississippian Formations.

In/near Class I air quality area: Yes, nearest Class I air quality area is the Fort Peck Indian Reservation, about 10 miles to the north of this location.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

- Air quality permit (AQB review)
- Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
- Special equipment/procedures requirements
- Other: _____

Comments: No special concerns – using triple rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 13,221' MD/8,595' TVD. If there is an existing gas gathering system for natural gas in the area, then associated gas can be gathered or if no gathering system nearby gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.

Water Quality

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: Yes to intermediate casing string hole to be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids (oil/water ratio of 70/30 to 80/20). . Horizontal lateral will be drilled with brine water. Surface casing hole will use freshwater and freshwater mud system (Rule 36.22.1001).

High water table: No high water table anticipated at this location.

Surface drainage leads to live water: No, nearest drainages are unnamed ephemeral tributary to Long Grass Creek, about 1/16 of a mile to the north and about 1/16 of a mile to the southwest from this location. Long Grass Creek is a tributary to East Redwater Creek, about 2.5 miles to the southwest from this location. No concerns.

Water well contamination: None, closest water wells are about 3/8 of a mile to the north northeast, about 1/2 of a mile to the northwest and about 5/8 of a mile to the north northwest from this location. Depth of these stock water wells range from 49' to 200' in depth. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud system, rule 36.22.1001. Surface casing will be set at 1000' and cemented to surface to protect groundwater, rule 36.22.1001.

Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sandy clay soils.

Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.

Mitigation:

- Lined reserve pit
- Adequate surface casing

Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
 Closed mud system
 Off-site disposal of solids/**liquids (in approved facility)**
 Other: Lined cuttings pit will be used since this is a closed loop mud system to be employed.

Comments: 1000' of surface casing enough to cover the base of the Fox Hills and cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones, Rule 36.22.1001.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Stream crossings: None anticipated.

High erosion potential: No high erosion potential at this location. The location will require a moderate cut, up to 13.0' and small fill, up to 9.9', required.

Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling if unproductive. If productive, unused portion of this drilling location will be restored.

Unusually large wellsite: No a large wellsite, 450'X400' location size required.

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is cultivated land.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

Mitigation

- Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
- Exception location requested
- Stockpile topsoil
- Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
- Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
- Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
- Other _____

Comments: Access will be over existing highway, #201, existing county road, #480 and existing farm trail. About 2220' of new access road will be built into this location off the existing county road. Cuttings will be buried in the lined cuttings pit. Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be hauled to a commercial disposal. Pit will be allowed to dry and then closed by filling in with clay subsoils. No special concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: Nearest residence is about 1/2 of a mile to the northwest from this location.

Possibility of H2S: Slight chance of H2S from Mississippian Formations.

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig/short 20 to 30 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

- Proper BOP equipment
- Topographic sound barriers
- H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
- Special equipment/procedures requirements
- Other: _____

Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with an operational BOP stack (annular and double ram rated for 5,000 psig) should mitigate any problems, Rule 36.22.1014.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None nearby. No concern.

Proximity to recreation sites: None nearby. No concern.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No

Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened or endangered are the Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Least Tern, Whooping Crane and Piping Plover.

Candidate specie is the Sprague's Pipit and the Greater Sage Grouse. NH tracker website indicates one (1) species of concern in this township and range, Iowa Darter.

Mitigation:

Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)

Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)

Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite

Other: _____

Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There may be species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern are discovered at this location. No concerns.

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites None, no historical/cultural/paleontological sites identified.

Mitigation

avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)

other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)

Other: _____

Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite.

Social/Economic

(possible concerns)

Substantial effect on tax base

Create demand for new governmental services

Population increase or relocation

Comments: Wildcat well. No concerns

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

This will be a single lateral Bakken Formation horizontal well test, 13,221'MD/8,595'TVD. No special concerns.

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No significant long term impacts expected, some short term impacts will occur.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
(title): Chief Field Inspector
Date: January 19, 2013

Other Persons Contacted:

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website
(Name and Agency)
Water wells in Richland County
(subject discussed)
January 19, 2013

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES
MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County
(subject discussed)

January 19, 2013
(date)

Montana Natural Heritage Program Website
(Name and Agency)
Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3 T25N R52E
(subject discussed)

January 19, 2013
(date)

Montana Cadastral Website
(Name and Agency)
Surface Ownership and surface use Section 18 T25N R52E
(subject discussed)

January 19, 2013
(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date: _____
Inspector: _____
Others present during inspection: _____