CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name:	Proposed Re-entry Oil Well- State 13-16
Proposed Implementation Date:	Winter 2013
Proponent:	KeeSun Corporation, PO Box 430, Cut Bank, MT 59427- Operator
Location:	Section 16, T36N, R3W State #13-16-SW4SW4, 660' FSL & 660' FWL
County:	Toole
Trust:	Common Schools

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

KeeSun Corporation has requested permission to re-enter a plugged and abandoned oil well on state land. State well 13-16 was drilled into the Sunburst Formation (top of the Rierdon) a total depth of 1,915.00'. The proposed oil well is located on land that is classified as grazing. A small drilling pad will be reconstructed and a rig will re-enter the old well bore. If sufficient quantities of oil are present, then a commercial well site will be developed. If tests indicate that commercial quantities of recoverable oil are not present, then the well will be plugged in conformance with standards approved by the Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation. After drilling operations have been completed, the disturbed areas will be reclaimed. The area will be recontoured and the topsoil redistributed over the area. The site will then be returned to native rangeland. The well site will be accessed by using an existing trail from the Kevin North Road.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

KeeSun Corporation-Operator
Tommy C. Craighead-Lessee
DNRC-Surface and Mineral Owner
Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation permit form 22 has been submitted for approval for this well. KeeSun Corporation has a farmout agreement on State of Montana Oil and Gas lease #OG-39334-09 associated with this state land. DNRC is not aware of any other agencies with jurisdiction or other permits needed to complete this project.

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Alternative A (No Action) – Deny KeeSun Corporation permission to re-enter the oil well.

Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant KeeSun Corporation permission to re-enter the oil well using the Conrad Unit Office's recommendations to minimize adverse environmental impacts.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

Soils at the proposed well sites are silty to clayey in texture. Topography is flat to gently rolling and suitable for oil well drilling and access road use. The top 12 inches of soil will be removed from the well site and stock piled for reclamation purposes. Access to the well site will be from the Kevin North Road, using an existing trail. The well site pad will require a small amount of dirt work and leveling. Road improvements will be held to a minimum. The proposed action may cause localized areas of soil erosion and compaction from the manipulation of vehicles and equipment on the surface. The proposed action will temporarily disturb a small portion of the landscape. Reclamation and returning this site to rangeland production will minimize long-term soil loss. No long-term negative impacts on the soil resources are expected. The rangeland areas will be reseeded per the seeding recommendations included in item #7.

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

There is one water right associated with the tract of state land. Water right #40F-18370-00 is for a dam for stock water on an unnamed tributary of Sunburst Coulee. The water right is located in the NE4SE4SW4, which is outside of the proposed project area. The re-entry process will only require a work over pit, so no impacts are expected to the existing water or water right. These actions will mitigate any potential damage to surface and ground water.

Other water quality and/or quantity issues will not be impacted by the proposed action.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

Dirt work associated with pad building, access road building, well drilling, and vehicle traffic on the county road will generate airborne dust. These activities will minimally affect air quality for a very limited amount of time. No cumulative effects to air quality are anticipated.

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

A 150' X 150' area consisting of 0.52 acres of classified grazing land will be impacted by the removal of topsoil and the manipulation of vehicles on the ground surface at the well site location and the access road. This area was previously disturbed when the well was originally drilled. The proponent will be responsible for noxious weeds that may arise from implementing this proposed action. The site will be returned to grazing land following site reclamation. The proposed action will impact a small portion of the landscape. The grazing land will be reclaimed and reseeded with the following species: western wheatgrass 35%, slender wheatgrass 35%, blue bunch wheatgrass 15%, green needle grass 10%, and Lewis blue flax 5%. The seeding rate will be 7 lbs/acre if drilled and 14 lbs/acre if broadcast seeded.

A review of Natural Heritage data through the NRIS was conducted for T36N, R3W. There was zero species of concern and zero potential species of concern noted on the NRIS survey.

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

The area is not considered critical wildlife habitat. This tract provides habitat for a variety of big game species (mule deer, whitetail deer, and pronghorn antelope), predators (coyote, fox, and badger), upland game birds (sharp tail grouse, Hungarian partridge), other non-game mammals, raptors and various songbirds. The proposal does not include any major land use change which would yield changes to the wildlife habitat. The proposed action will not impact wildlife forage, cover, or traveling corridors. Nor will this action change the juxtaposition of wildlife forage, water, or hiding and thermal cover. Wildlife usage is expected to return to "normal" (pre-action usage) following the drilling operations. The proposed action will not have long-term negative effects on existing wildlife species and/or wildlife habitat.

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

This tract is adjacent to the Kevin Rim and therefore adjacent to the BLM's Area of Critical Environmental Concern, (ACEC). The Kevin rim is classed as an ACEC due to wildlife, cultural resources, and recreation. The Montana Audubon Society further defines the ACEC as an Important Bird Area, (IBA). The IBA contains ten species of raptors including Ferruginous Hawk, Swainson's Hawk, Prairie Falcon, Golden Eagle, American Kestrels, Red-tailed Hawks, Northern Harriers, Peregrine Falcons, Great-horned Owls, and Burrowing Owls. The species are located in a 4,657.00 acre ACEC. This tract is located just outside of the ACEC, but is contained in the IBA. There are no known nesting locations, so the proposed action area is likely only used for hunting by the above mentioned raptor species.

Ryan Rauscher, Wildlife Biologist,-MT Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, commented, "I have reviewed the Toole County DNRC proposed re-entry and offer the following measures to mitigate any concerns to the Kevin Rim ACES:

1. Minimize the amount of traffic and disturbance from April 15 to June 1 which is during the raptor nesting period.
2. No shooting of animals in the well area, primarily of ground squirrels, as the lead contained in the bullets is very deadly to the raptors.
3. Any open pits needs to be covered to avoid bat and bird entrapment."

The implementation of the above mentioned mitigation measures will help to prevent any damage to unique, endangered, fragile or limited environmental resources located around the proposed project area for wildlife. Mitigation measures for cultural resources are covered in item #10 and mitigation measures for recreation are covered in item #20.

A review of Natural Heritage data through the NRIS was conducted for T36N, R3W. There were seven species of concern and zero potential species of concern noted on the NRIS survey: Birds—Golden Eagle, Burrowing Owl, Ferruginous Hawk, Chestnut-collared Longspur, McCown's Longspur, and Brewer's Sparrow. Mammals-Hoary Bat. This particular tract of native rangeland does not contain many, if any of these species. If any are present, they will be dispersed into the surrounding permanent cover and return to the project area once it is completed.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

A cultural resource inventory was completed by the Conrad Unit Office on March 1, 2013. The area has been previously disturbed in drilling of the oil well. No cultural resources were found within the project area, so it is assumed that cultural resources will not be impacted by this proposed project.

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

The proposed action will occur in a remote area and will not cause a large change in the aesthetic character of the land. The main industries in this area are agricultural, grazing, and oil and gas production. If a producing well is developed, a small portion of the lands aesthetic character will be changed. Daytime noise levels may slightly increase during the time of the project, but noise levels will return to "normal" (pre-action conditions) after the project is completed. No other changes to the aesthetics character of the land area are expected.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

The demand on environmental resources such as land, water, air, or energy will not be affected by the proposed action. The proposed action will not consume resources that are limited in the area. There are no other projects in the area that will affect the proposed project.

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

The proposed well is located in the Rattlesnake Coulee Field. There are no other projects or plans being considered on the tract listed on this EA.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

The proposed well will not change human safety in the area.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

The intent of the proponent's action is too locate and remove oil for commercial sale. If tests indicate the existence of economically recoverable quantities of oil, a producing well will be established, and extraction will follow. If a producing well is developed, the Common School Trust will receive royalty payments at current market rate for all oil produced by the well. Activities associated with the proposed action will minimally affect the surface use of the land (grazing). A minimal amount of acreage will be taken out of production if a producing well is developed. All actual damages to the surface have been mitigated between the surface lessee and the proponent. The project will not add to or deter from other industrial, commercial, or agricultural activities in the area.

No direct or cumulative impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposal.

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

The proposed action will create a well drilling job and generally add to the economy of surrounding communities.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

The proposed action will add to the tax revenue.

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:
Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

There will be no increases in traffic, no changes in traffic patterns, and no need for additional fire protection, or police services.

There will be no direct or cumulative effects on government services.

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:
List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

The proposed action is in compliance with State and County laws. No other management plans are in effect for the area.

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:
Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

This tract of state land is rural and generally has low recreational value. The tract is not legally accessible and the proposed action is not expected to impact general recreational and wilderness activities on this state tract.

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:
Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing

The proposal does not include any changes to housing or developments.

No direct or cumulative effects to population or housing are anticipated.

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:
Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

There are no native, unique or traditional lifestyles or communities in the vicinity that would be impacted by the proposal.

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:
How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

The proposed action will not impact the cultural uniqueness or diversity of the area.

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:
Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

The proponent has interest in the State of Montana Oil and Gas Lease #OG-39334-09 that is associated with this state tract. This lease entitles them to reasonable development of oil and gas wells on this tract after DNRC approval. The Common School trust will be compensated for all oil removed from a producing well. The surface lessee will receive $100.00 for surface damages on the well.

	Name:	Tony Nickol	**Date:**	March 11, 2013
	Title:	Land Use Specialist, Conrad Unit, Central Land Office		

V. FINDING

25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:

Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant KeeSun Corporation, Operator, permission to re-enter the oil well using the Conrad Unit Office's recommendations to minimize adverse environmental impacts.

26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:

Significant impacts are not expected to occur as a result of the proposed oil well re-entry on state land. No archaeological sites were observed within the project area. Following drilling operations, all disturbed areas will be recontoured and reseeded to the seed mixture outlined in this EA. If the well is economical to produce, the common school trust will receive royalty payments. If well is not developed for production, it will be plugged and abandoned in accordance with BOOG regulations. Other mitigation measures which are common and effective have been incorporated into the proposed action to minimize the potential for environment impact.

27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:

[ ] EIS [ ] More Detailed EA [X] No Further Analysis

EA Checklist Approved By:	**Name:**	Erik Eneboe
	Title:	Conrad Unit Manager, CLO, DNRC
Signature:		**Date**: March 11, 2012

WELL LOCATION

13-16 STATE
SW1/4SW1/4 SECTION 16, T36N, R3W, P.M.M.
TOOLE COUNTY, MONTANA
649' FSL X 671' FWL
ELEVATION BEFORE GRADING: 3493'



ELEVATION BEFORE GRADING: 3493'
BASIS - NAVD 88 / GEOID 09

GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATES OF SURFACE LOCATION:
48°52'19.68" N 112°00'45.07" W (NAD 83 BASIS)

BASE POSITION FOR GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATES:
48°53'23.44" N 111°56'33.98" W (NAD 83 BASIS)
(BEACON DERIVED - SUB METER ACCURACY)

LOCAL GRID CENTER POSITION:
48°53'23.44" N 111°56'33.98" W (NAD 83 BASIS)

LAND USE: GRASSLAND

NO ATTEMPT HAS BEEN MADE BY THE SURVEYOR TO LOCATE UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES OR BURIED UTILITIES, AND APPROPRIATE AGENCIES AND SURFACE LANDOWNERS MUST BE CONTACTED FOR FIELD LOCATION OF ANY UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES OR BURIED UTILITIES BEFORE ANY CONSTRUCTION COMMENCES. CALL 1-800-424-5555 BEFORE ANY CONSTRUCTION COMMENCES.

NOTE: SUBDIVISION LINES AND GOVERNMENT LOT BOUNDARIES ARE SHOWN FOR DEPICTIVE PURPOSES ONLY AND SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR SCALING OR LOCATION PURPOSES.

ON THE BASIS OF MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION AND BELIEF, I CERTIFY THAT AS A RESULT OF A SURVEY MADE ON THE GROUND TO THE NORMAL STANDARD OF CARE OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS PRACTICING IN THE STATE OF MONTANA, I FIND THE LOCATION OF THE 13-16 STATE AS SHOWN ON THE SUBJOINED DRAWING.

MONTANA RUDY S. CICON 9963 LS 1410 CFED

Rudy S. Cicon

RUDY S. CICON 9963 LS
1410 CFED

REQUESTED BY: KEESUN CORPORATION P.O. BOX 430 CUT BANK, MONTANA 59427	SCALE 1"=1000'
13-16 STATE SW1/4SW1/4 SECTION 16, T36N, R3W, P.M.M.	03-01-13
CICON AND ASSOCIATES BOX 541 CHESTER, MONTANA 59522	JOB NO. 13-002
DRAWING NO. 13002KEE.DWG	PAGE 1 OF 4